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Abstract

Hydrothermally grown structures of uranium dioxide (UO\textsubscript{2}), thorium dioxide (ThO\textsubscript{2}), and U\textsubscript{x}Th\textsubscript{1-x}O\textsubscript{2} alloys were characterized for suitability in uranium-based neutron detectors and nuclear reactor fuels. ThO\textsubscript{2} was studied for its potential in an envisioned UO\textsubscript{2}/ThO\textsubscript{2} heterojunction. A U\textsubscript{0.71}Th\textsubscript{0.29}O\textsubscript{2} alloy was studied because of its resistance to oxidation, and potential to stabilize the surface of UO\textsubscript{2}.

The majority carrier mobility of the UO\textsubscript{2} samples, as measured by Hall effect, was consistent with established literature values on samples requiring substantial surface preparation. Direct current I(V) measurements provided evidence that the Hall currents may penetrate below any surface oxidized region caused by ambient air exposure. The depth of this surface structure was further investigated using angular resolved x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES).

The U\textsubscript{0.71}Th\textsubscript{0.29}O\textsubscript{2} effective Debye temperature of 217 ± 24 K was measured using temperature-dependent x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). The specific heat capacity for the U\textsubscript{0.71}Th\textsubscript{0.29}O\textsubscript{2} alloy was calculated from the Debye temperature in order to compare to the heat capacity obtained from the more traditional modulated-temperature differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC). The XPS derived Debye temperature specific heat capacity was lower than with MDSC, showing how surface oxidation and hydrolysis effect surface stability.

The U\textit{4f}\textsubscript{7/2} peak energy was observed using ARPES, providing evidence of U\textsuperscript{4+} and U\textsuperscript{6+} oxidation states at the surface with an increased concentration of the U\textsuperscript{4+} oxidation states further from the surface. The U\textit{5f} peaks observed in ARPES provided
evidence of U$^{4+}$ at the surface but does not preclude the presence of U$^{6+}$. Throughout the surface measurement, the electronic band-gap energy remained at 2.2 eV, confirming the dominance of UO$_2$ in establishing the electronic structure. These surface characteristics also revealed a vacuum reduced UO$_2$ surface and excess oxygen incorporated into the lattice to form a UO$_{2+x}$ selvedge region.
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I. Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Tracking and identifying radiation sources in the age of nuclear proliferation and well-resourced non-state actors is a national priority. Current neutron detection methods favor large detector volumes and long data collection times. Additionally, portable neutron detection methods have persistent problems with low signal-to-noise (small pulse height) and require large applied voltages.

Conventional neutron detection usually employs scintillators, gas proportional tubes, or semiconductors with separate conversion layers that convert neutrons to charged reaction products. The challenge in conversion-layer devices is to construct a layer of adequate thickness for neutron capture that is also thin enough to allow the resulting reaction products to interact with the charge-sensitive areas. Standard conversion-layer devices typically employ 30 to 40 \( \mu m \) of enriched \(^{10}\text{B}\) due to the high thermal capture cross section of the isotope \(^{10}\text{B}\) and the ability for the energetic Li (0.84 MeV) and \( \alpha \) (1.47 MeV) daughter particles to escape. The conversion layer thickness is thus a compromise between the neutron interaction rate and the ability to capture the charge in an electrically active medium. Some novel solid-state technologies provide a thin-film neutron detector consisting of a (or a stack of) semiconductor diode(s), each surrounded by a thin neutron-absorbing material.

As an alternative to a conversion layer, the conversion atoms can also be incorporated into the detection medium (gas, scintillator, or semiconductor). This method has the advantage of
a more efficient structure to capture the reaction products, but often results in a reduced electronic signature (or in the case of scintillators, reduced light output). As an example, icosahedral semiconducting boron carbides [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] have recently garnered interest because a high quality electronic material can be made that incorporates $^{10}$B as a component of the semiconductor material.

Another consideration in $^{10}$B based neutron detectors is the energy dependence of the capture cross section. For thermal neutrons ($\approx 0.025$ eV), the capture cross section for $^{10}$B is about 3840 barns. However, for neutrons of 1.6 MeV (the mean energy of prompt fission neutrons from $^{235}$U [6]) the $^{10}$B absorption cross section is 0.2 barns. Therefore, to achieve the highest detection efficiency for neutrons from fission, $^{10}$B based neutron detectors require neutron moderation, resulting in a loss of efficiency either due to scattering out or capture outside of the detection medium. Similar arguments can be made for other conventional neutron conversion materials.

For $^{235}$U, the thermal fission cross section is 585 barns and the fast neutron (1.6 MeV) fission cross section is 2 barns as shown in Figure 1. Also from Figure 1, the $^{238}$U thermal fission cross section is 16.8 microbarns and its fast neutron (1.6 MeV) fission cross section is 1.1 barns. Therefore, $^{235}$U is approximately 2x more likely to fission with fast neutrons than $^{238}$U in materials of the same density. In comparison, $^{10}$B has a thermal neutron absorption cross section 6.5x’s greater than the thermal fission cross section for $^{235}$U. However, for neutrons at 1.6 MeV, $^{235}$U has a 4x larger fission cross section and $^{238}$U has a 2x larger fission cross section than the $^{10}$B neutron absorption cross section of approximately 0.5 barns. Therefore, for fast neutron detection, the uranium cross section is preferred.
A uranium-based neutron detector has a substantial energy output advantage as compared to conventional neutron detection devices that incorporate non-fissile or fissionable neutron conversion materials, as shown in Equations (1.1) and (1.2). The fission of uranium results in two or more charged fission fragments with 168 MeV, having the potential of producing more than 25 million electronic transitions across the UO2 2.1 eV band gap. The resulting high-energy fission products may, however, degrade the electronic properties of the UO2 either due to formation of point defects or because of subsequent decays and transmutations providing a larger internal background noise signal. A further consideration is that uranium requires special handling procedures, especially if enriched, to take advantage of the higher fission cross section of 235U, as opposed to the more naturally abundant 238U. Therefore, this study will help to understand the tradeoffs with uranium-based detectors made from UO2, UO2/ThO2, and U0.71Th0.29O2 using 238U, before the complications of using and managing 235U are considered. As an additional advantage, if UO2 solid-state detectors are placed in an array with a mixture of
detectors made predominantly from $^{235}\text{U}$ or $^{238}\text{U}$, some neutron energy spectral information may be ascertained from the count rates due to the difference in fission cross sections [8].

$$\begin{align*}
^{10}_5\text{B} + \frac{1}{0}n & \rightarrow \frac{6}{2}\text{Li} + \frac{4}{2}\text{He} + 0.48 \text{MeV } \gamma + 2.31 \text{ MeV (94\%)} \text{ or } 2.79 \text{ MeV (6\%)} \\
^{235}_9\text{U} + \frac{1}{0}n & \rightarrow 2 \text{ fission fragments} + (2 \text{ or } 3)\frac{1}{0}n + \gamma + 180 \text{ MeV}
\end{align*}$$

\(1.1\)

\(1.2\)

1.2. Uranium Dioxide Atomic Structure

Uranium dioxide has a fluorite structure consisting of simple cubic packing of oxygen ions with eight-fold coordination of large $\text{U}^{4+}$ cations in half of the interstitial sites as shown in Figure 2. The lattice parameter, $a$, of $\text{UO}_2$ at room temperature is 547.081 pm with an O/U ratio of 2.001 [9]. The large number of vacancies in this open structure creates a high ionic conductivity due to vacancy diffusion. Hence, stoichiometric $\text{UO}_2$ is a stable but very active substance [10]. Fission products and substitutional impurities are readily accommodated in the vacant lattice positions. Impurities in the lattice produced by fission or neutron absorption may influence the crystal’s electronic properties, specifically mobility and carrier lifetime.

Figure 2. Unit cell of the face-centered cubic crystal of $\text{UO}_2$. The tetrahedral-coordinated anion sites (oxygen sub-lattice) are represented in black. The cubic coordinated cations (uranium sub-lattice) are represented in white. The normal interstitialsites are found in the cell edge centers $(0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$, $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$, $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ and the cell center $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ [9].
1.2.1 Uranium Dioxide Detector Concepts

Two potential neutron detector designs are under consideration that employ UO₂. One device concept is an all UO₂ p-n junction. This device offers the best potential for a high efficiency device structure, but the growth method and control of doping has not yet been realized. Another design would be a heterojunction diode with ThO₂ on one side. Such a design would consist of a p-type UO₂ and n-type ThO₂ layer. This structure has been realized and is under study.

1.2.2 Uranium Dioxide As An Electronic Medium

Uranium has been studied as a neutron absorber since the 1930s [11]. In recent years, limited research has explored the geometric and electronic properties of UO₂ for the purpose of semiconductor device fabrication [12], [13], [14]. Primarily focused on the prospects of neutron detection and photovoltaics, UO₂ semiconductors have been largely undeveloped due to the difficulty of material growth [12], [14]. Single-crystal growth of actinides for application in electronics is complex due to the very large electron-phonon coupling, lattice deformations, and significant changes in Debye temperature mediated through Jahn-Teller distortions [15]. These latter effects are unusual, as UO₂ is neither a semiconductor nor a metal; it is a Mott insulator with a U 5f band 2.1 eV above the optical valence band [16]. Therefore, phonons cannot couple to the Fermi sea of electrons or holes. Given the orthorhombic allotrope of α-phase uranium metal, the fluorite structure of UO₂, and the rapid oxidation and large range of O/U ratios, it is difficult to control sample stoichiometry without strictly controlling the temperature and pressure of the growth environment [17].
A further complication exists. While defect creation is expected to occur at temperatures above 1000 K, the simplified phase diagram of the UOx system suggests a UO2+x to a combination of UO2+x and U4O9-y with a transition between 473 and 670 K [18], [19]. Such a structural phase transition alters the density of lattice imperfections and changes in defect density enhance Jahn-Teller distortions significantly [15], [19].

The challenges of growing high-quality single-crystal (s.c.) UO2 have been recently addressed with the fabrication of high-quality s.c. actinide oxide samples using a hydrothermal synthesis growth technique [20]. This growth process has produced bulk crystals of near-stoichiometric UO2. This method opens the door to broader understanding and higher fidelity assessments of the optical and semiconductor properties than previously possible.

The hydrothermal growth technique stems from mineral formation in nature under elevated pressure and temperature conditions in the presence of water. In the supercritical regime of water, normally insoluble materials are dissolved. The supercritical regime is above the critical point of water (647 K and 218 atm.), where water exists in both liquid and gas phases.

A feedstock is placed in the bottom of an autoclave and heated at high temperatures and pressures. A temperature gradient is maintained across the growth chamber and a mineralizer is added to the working fluid to promote solubility. The temperature differential between the zones creates a convective flow raising the dissolved feedstock to the “cooler” growth zone. The dissolved minerals may deposit on the walls of the autoclave creating spontaneous nucleation or deposition onto the seed crystal. A seed crystal is hung from a wire to provide maximum surface area for exposure to the dissolved nutrients. Transient solubility conditions may occur during the initial heating stage or when the autoclave is cooled for sample extraction. The seed crystal or initial crystal growth may dissolve prior to the desired operating temperature and pressure being
reached. During cool-down, undesirable chemical species may be deposited [21]. The Air Force Research Laboratory has developed a method for hydrothermally growing s.c. UO₂ at the supercritical regime of water. Work function measurements, C(V), I(V), and ellipsometry measurements were made on these crystals in the present research.

1.3 Crystal Quality and Electronic Performance

Electronic performance can be degraded by crystal defects, either intrinsic displacement defects from growth, substitutional defects from impurities present during growth, or displacement defects due to energetic interactions such as fission. Although hydrothermal growth provides s.c. UO₂, substitutional or displacement defects are likely present.

All crystals contain bulk and surface defects that interrupt crystal periodicity. This ultimately reduces carrier lifetime and mobility through the production of scattering and recombination sites. Interstitial impurities and lattice vacancies are intrinsic defects that occur between regular lattice sites. Since interstitial impurities are point defects in most crystalline solids, the interstitials are high-energy defects and uncommon [22]. Lattice vacancies are the most prominent point defect in most crystals. Since a site must be vacant for an atom to occupy it, the diffusion rate in the crystal lattice is strongly dependent on the concentration and type of lattice vacancies.

Ordered compounds are capable of having more complex intrinsic defects. Intrinsic defects disrupt the local charge balance, resulting in relaxation to restore equilibrium. For example, an isolated ion vacancy such as oxygen in UO₂ creates a region of excess positive charge. This excess positive charge can be compensated by a nearby uranium vacancy. A neutral defect, such as a Frenkel defect, occurs when a lattice vacancy is created, and the displaced atom
is locally resident as an interstitial. In lesser ionized compounds an anti-site defect, where the
cation and anion exchange sites, is also energetically possible [22].

Extrinsic defects originate from impurities or solutes intentional or unintentionally added
to the material. The foreign atom may occupy a lattice site as a substitutional solute,
substitutional impurity, or it may likewise fill an interstitial site as an interstitial solute. Due to
the space limitation of interstitial sites, impurity atoms are limited by their size. Smaller atoms
are usually found on interstitial sites, while larger atoms are usually substitutional.

Since UO₂ is an ionic compound, when the valence of a substitutional defect differs from
that of the lattice ion the excess charge is often compensated by a paired vacancy or interstitial.
This occurs within UO₂ for oxygen ions with a formal -2 charge when they are replaced by
anions with a formal charge of -3. The new anion would tend to be paired with vacancies on the
oxygen sublattice to maintain charge neutrality [22].

Dislocations are linear defects occurring in a crystal when an excess atom is added or an
atom is deleted from the crystal and crystallographic registry is lost. The defect affects the yield
strength and creates plastic deformation at ordinary temperatures [22].

Extrinsic doping is a type of defect (usually intentional), in which a substitutional atom is
inserted into a semiconductor to control the type and concentration of the majority charge
carriers. Device conductivity increases when extrinsic solutes add excess electrons, since the
excess electron can only reside in an excited state and orbit the ion core. Therefore, less energy is
required to free the orbiting electron and it is easily transported through the lattice.

1.4 UO₂ Surface Morphology

To gain a preliminary understanding of the oxidation of the surface of the hydrothermally
grown s.c. UO₂, a sample was measured in the scanning electron microscope. Surface and edge
stoichiometries were compared using Electron Dispersion Spectroscopy (EDS) while imaging the UO$_2$ surface. Figure 3(a) provides a view of the surface edge. The atomic composition of the UO$_2$ crystal was measured from the upper edge to the surface. The measurements shown in Figure 3(b) and 3(c) are representative of the edge, edge–to-surface transition, and surface of the s.c. UO$_2$. Figure 3(b) presents a surface with 20.2% uranium and 79.8% oxygen. Figure 3(c) presents a surface with 26.3% uranium and 73.7% oxygen. Figure 3(d) presents a surface with 29.3% uranium and 70.3% oxygen. The surface measurements provided an average of 27.1 ± 1.6% uranium and 72.9 ± 1.5% oxygen, indicating little surface variation. These results demonstrate the oxidation variations with respect to crystal orientation and surface boundaries.

1.5. Thorium Dioxide and U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ Characteristics

One option to form a UO$_2$ heterojunction p-n diode is with ThO$_2$ because UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ have similar crystal properties. Both have a fluorite crystal structure with 12 atoms, similar ionic radii, and are of the $Fm\bar{3}m$ space group [23]. Likewise, the lattice interatomic spacing of ThO$_2$ is 5.59 Å, which is a 2.2% lattice mismatch from the UO$_2$ lattice spacing of 5.47 Å. The ThO$_2$ melting point is 3650 K, 257 K higher than UO$_2$. The ionic radius of Th$^{4+}$ is 0.119 nm, only 0.005 nm larger than the ionic radius of U$^{4+}$ [23]. However, the 5f shell electrons are absent from the optical band gap of ThO$_2$, which makes it an insulator.
Figure 3. SEM images of surfaces and edges of s.c. UO₂.

A U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ alloy was studied as a potential alternative to UO₂ because of its potential resistance to oxidation. Because of its size and the uniqueness of the growth method, we further considered its potential value to the nuclear fuel industry, especially regarding stability and heat capacity. A literature review of alloyed UₓTh₁₋ₓO₂ provides few research results in this area apart from a uranium/thorium phase diagram published in 1958, most likely of an amorphous uranium
compound detailing the insolubility of thorium in uranium and no evidence of intermetallic compounds [24].

1.6 Research Measurements and Methods

This research investigated the characteristics of hydrothermally-grown s.c. actinide-oxide crystals that can be used as the detection medium for a solid-state neutron detection system. These crystals have the potential to act as the detection medium in a neutron detector that has no need for a conversion layer or neutron moderator. Such a detector, if fabricated with favorable electronic performance, would have great potential for high efficiency neutron detection, yielding small, efficient, low-power devices. The materials studied included UO₂ as a detection medium, ThO₂ to form a heterojunction electronic interface, and U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ alloy as a potential alternative to UO₂ because of its potential resistance to oxidation.

Although actinide oxides have been studied for nearly a century, there still exists an incomplete understanding of the physical and electrical properties of s.c. UO₂, ThO₂, and their alloys, particularly regarding UO₂ surface reactivity and its effect on contact placement and device fabrication. To address this concern, this research investigated the surface electrical structure and interface properties of UO₂ using Hall effect, current/voltage measurements I(V), capacitance/voltage measurements C(V), capacitance/frequency measurements C(ω), conductance/frequency measurements G(ω), ellipsometry, x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS), modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC), angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (AR-XPS), and cathodoluminescence spectroscopy (CL). The Debye temperature and specific heat capacity of a U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ alloy was studied to better understand UO₂ surface oxidation and determine if bulk properties were being accessed using the present research techniques. Table 1 provides a summary of experimental techniques, and methods performed on
each actinide oxide during this research. Since the materials used in this study represent large s.c. actinide-oxide samples, we further considered their potential value to the nuclear fuel industry, especially regarding stability and heat capacity.

Table 1. Summary of actinide oxides measured, experimental methods employed, and desired experimental outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUMMARY OF MEASUREMENTS AND METHODS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEASUREMENT OR METHOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(V) and Hall Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(V), C(ω), G(ω)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipsometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-XPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.7 References


---

<sup>i</sup> This sample was an amorphous UO₂ nuclear fuel pellet used for comparison to hydrothermally grown UO₂.


II. Theoretical Basis For Experimental Techniques

The purpose of this chapter is to explain the theory behind the experimental techniques used to compare the electrical and material properties of s.c. UO₂, ThO₂, and U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂. This chapter begins with a general overview of the primary measurement techniques, x-ray photoemission and cathodoluminescence spectroscopy. Subsequent sections provide an overview of supplementary experimental techniques such as Hall effect, I(V), ellipsometry, and MDSC. Initial electrical measurements validated the requirement for an in-depth investigation of the UO₂ surface properties. AR-XPS provided a depth-resolved study of UO₂’s surface stoichiometry. CL confirmed the electronic transitions proposed by ellipsometry and DFT. The material properties
of ThO$_2$ and U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ were also explored as potential device materials using XPS and MDSC.

2.1 Primary Experimental Techniques

2.1.1 X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy

In XPS, monoenergetic x-ray photons illuminate a solid where, through the photoelectric effect, electrons are emitted into a vacuum where their energy is measured using an electron energy analyzer [1]. The primary quantity measured in XPS is the number of electrons emitted at a specific kinetic energy, $E_{kin}$. This tally is assembled to create the photoelectron spectrum. The photoelectron spectrum provides information about the binding energy state of the photoelectron before it was emitted. Figure 4 illustrates the association between the binding energy for various electrons in bound states and the photoelectron energy spectrum. The binding energy, $E_b$, is determined from the energy of the initial incident x-ray, $\hbar \omega$, and the work function, $\phi$, of the sample material, as shown in Equation (2.1) [1].

$$E_{kin} = \hbar \omega - (E_b - \phi)$$  \hspace{2cm} (2.1)

In the process, valence band electrons are emitted from the sample first with the highest kinetic energy. Some of the photon’s energy is liberated in probing the energy bands associated with the core level electrons, causing them to appear at lower kinetic energies.
The Fermi level energy, which represents the highest electron energy state at 0 K, is the guideline for understanding the photoemission energy distribution. Determination of the Fermi level for the XPS measurement in the present research is based on the fact that the Fermi level of the UO$_2$ and that of the metal sample holder are at the same potential, as they are metals connected electrically.

For a semiconductor, the photoemission spectrum can provide details about the band structure. The summation of the electron affinity and the bandgap is defined as the energy required for an electron to reach vacuum level. The maximum energy needed to eject an electron to vacuum level can then be defined as $E_{pt}$, the photo-threshold energy. If the x-ray photon energy is equal to or less than $E_{pt}$, then no photoelectrons escape. For photoelectrons from the valence band, $E_{pt}$ equals the energy difference from the top of the valence band to the vacuum level less $\phi$. Furthermore, using semiconductor theory, the electron affinity, $\chi$, is the energy
difference from the conduction band to the vacuum level [1]. These relationships are shown in Equations (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), where $E_0$ is the energy where photoemission stops, $E_V$ is the energy at the top of the valence band, $E_F$ is Fermi level energy, and $E_g$ is the band gap energy, providing an XPS determined band gap from the extinction of the valence band photoelectron emission.

$$E_{pt} = \hbar \omega - E_V + E_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

$$\phi = \hbar \omega - E_F + E_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

$$E_{pt} = \chi + E_g$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Based upon Monte Carlo simulations, 2000 eV electrons have a mean penetration depth in UO$_2$ of $\sim$33 nanometers. Since our PES system uses an Al $k\alpha$ x-ray with a fixed energy of 1486 eV, the XPS essentially measures at the x-ray depth, or the first 20-25 nanometers of the sample surface. In order to provide for depth profiling of the surface to the maximum depth of electron emission, the sample is rotated with respect to the x-ray source in AR-XPS, thus probing less into the material and providing a more surface-sensitive measurement.

2.1.2 Cathodoluminescence Spectroscopy
(Parts of this section are extracted from Dugan 2011 Master’s thesis [2] and modified for this dissertation.)

In CL, incident electrons produced by an electron gun are either absorbed or scattered by the atoms in the surface of the solid. This electron energy deposition results in the production of electron-hole pairs, which recombine, resulting in light emission, generally known as luminescence. The emitted photon energy is due to an electronic transition between an initial state, $E_i$, and final state, $E_f$. The energy or wavelength is given by Equation (2.8) [3].
In CL, the energy and intensity of the incident electrons can be varied. Typically, beam energies of 500 eV to 20 keV are used to probe the surface from several nanometers to several hundred nanometers below the surface. A monochromator/photomultiplier system measures the luminescent intensity as a function of wavelength. This allows for select stimulation and excitation transition modes to be measured through a wide range of energies. Thus, by varying the incident electron energy and observing the energy of the emitted photon, the band gap energy and interband gap transition energies can be measured as a function of depth.

Luminescence in semiconductors from conduction to the valence band is explained by the radiative recombination of electron-hole pairs [4]. Intraband transitions can occur when an electron, excited far above the conduction band, decays in energy to the bottom of the conduction band, finally reaching thermal equilibrium with the lattice. This process can result in phonon emissions. (Figure 5-1) Interband transitions can occur by direct recombination of conduction band electrons to holes in the valence band. The result is a broad photon emission spectrum due to transitions from states at or near the corresponding band edges (Figure 5-2) [4].

![Figure 5. Schematic diagram of radiative transitions between the conduction band (E_c), the valence band (E_v) and the exciton (E_E), donor (E_D) and acceptor levels (E_A) in a semiconductor [4].](image-url)
More complex transitions can also occur in a CL measurement due to exciton emission. Excitons are a two-particle system similar to a hydrogen atom. An electron in the conduction band orbits a hole in the valence band forming a bound electron-hole pair which is free to move about the lattice. This is a free exciton. With excitonic recombination, the electron and hole recombine, conserving momentum during the transition (Figure 5-3). The energy of light emitted is less than the band gap energy by the binding energy of the exciton. The excitonic energy, $E_x$, which is material dependent, is found by Equation (2.6), where $m^* r$ is the exciton reduced mass equaling $(m_e m_h)/(m_e + m_h)$ where $m_e$ is the electron mass and $m_h$ the hole mass, $q$ is the charge of an electron, $h$ is Planck’s constant, $\varepsilon$ is dielectric constant, and $n_q$ is the quantum number [3].

$$E_x = \frac{m^* r q^4}{2h^2 \varepsilon^2 n_q^2} \quad (2.6)$$

Electron transitions from a donor site to the valence band, or from the conduction band to an acceptor site can produce extrinsic luminescence, revealing information about the donor or acceptor intraband energy. (Figure 5-4 and 5-5). Transitions from a donor to acceptor site, often called a donor-acceptor pair (DAP) recombination can occur producing extrinsic luminescence, with lower energy than the band gap energy (Figure 5-6). Carriers trapped at donor or acceptor sites produce neutral donors and acceptors. When the donor and acceptor recombine, it produces extrinsic luminescence, with energy lower than the band gap energy. The emitted photon energy from a DAP recombination is given by Equation (2.7). In Equation (2.7), $E_{g,A,D}$ are the energies of the gap, acceptors and donors respectively, $r$ is the distance between the donor and acceptor, $b$ is an adjustable Van der Waals parameter, and $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant. The coulomb interaction between the donor acceptor pairs separated by $r$ is $q^2/\varepsilon r$, and $q^2 b^5/\varepsilon r^6$ is the polarization term only applicable to very close pairs. When $r$ is large the discrete lines form a
continuum and a broad DAP band is observed [3]. Excitation and radiative de-excitation of an impurity within incomplete inner shells is shown in 5-7.

$$\hbar \omega = E_g - E_A - E_D + \frac{q^2}{\varepsilon r} - \frac{q^2 b^5}{\varepsilon r^6}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (2.7)$$

Transitions between deep donors and acceptors will have recombination emissions with lower photon energies than the band gap. Shallow donors or acceptors will have transition energies near the energy of the band gap. Therefore, to distinguish between band-to-band transitions from shallow donor and acceptors, the sample must be cooled to reduce thermal broadening and acoustic phonon interference, and hence increase the signal-to-noise ratio [3].

Since UO$_2$ is determined to be a material with an optical band gap of ~5 eV, the electron beam can excite electrons that will relax and produce photons at wavelengths as short as 2400 Å, the so-called “vacuum ultraviolet” portion of the optical spectrum. The 2.1 eV electronic band gap of UO$_2$ will provide photons at wavelengths of ~5900 Å which are within the visible spectrum.

The electron depth of penetration is a function of the electron energy and the material. Since UO$_2$ has a large atomic number, electrons scatter from the nucleus according to Rutherford scattering with a few constraints. The nuclear radius is no larger than the distance of approach and the nuclear force is considered a Coulomb force between two point charges. Analytically, the total number of electrons scattered into a solid angle $d\Omega$ at a scattering angle $\theta$ is the differential cross-section $d\sigma/d\Omega$, given by Equation (2.8).

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{Z q^2}{2 m_e v^2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sin^4(\theta/2)}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (2.8)$$
In Equation (2.8) Z is the atomic number of the target atom, \( m_e \) is the electrons mass, \( v \) is the electron velocity, \( q \) is the elementary charge, and \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space. The solid angle \( d\Omega \) is given by Equation (2.9), where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle [5].

\[
d\Omega = 2\pi \sin(\theta) d\theta
\]  

(2.9)

Bethe provides a method to determine the mean rate of energy loss due to scattering (stopping power) owing to electromagnetic interactions between two charged particles. Bethe includes energy losses due to ionization, leaving one (or both) particles involved in the collision in an excited state. For electrons traveling through a material, the mean energy loss per distance traveled (S) is determined using Equation (2.10) [6].

\[
\frac{dE}{dS} = -2\pi q^4 N_A \frac{\rho Z}{EA} \ln\left( \frac{1.166E}{J} \right)
\]  

(2.10)

In Equation (2.10), the charge of the electron is \( q \), Avogadro’s number is \( N_A \), density is \( \rho \), the atomic weight is \( A \), the mean incident kinetic electron energy is \( E \), and \( J \) is the mean ionization potential given by Equation (2.11).

\[
J = (9.76Z + 58.5Z^{-19})10^{-3}
\]  

(2.11)

Conventional Monte Carlo calculations combine both the Rutherford and Bethe equations, to determine the distance travelled through a material. Electron trajectory simulations are used to sample electrons as they elastically and inelastically scatter and backscatter within the sample. The electron path is then calculated in a stepwise manner. The electron trajectory in the material is modeled as a series of random collisions, where the electron scatters by a random scattering angle, \( W \). Between these collisions the electron is assumed to travel in a straight line. The energy loss from elastic collisions is continuous and given by Equation (2.12), where \( \lambda \) is the mean free path from the total scatter cross section, as in Equation (2.13) [6].
\[ S = -\lambda \ln(|W|) \]  
\[ \lambda = \frac{A}{N_a \rho \sigma} \]  

(2.12)  

(2.13)

Energy loss is then calculated from \( dE/dS \), and the process is repeated for the lower energy electron and a random angle \( W \) until the electron energy is lower than the material ionization energy. The Monte Carlo method must be calculated for a large number of trajectories to provide low numerical error. The Monte Carlo method confirms that a substantial fraction of energy dissipated occurs in a small volume where the electron beam impacts the surface [3].

Since the Bethe equation is derived from the Born approximation, it loses accuracy when low energy electrons scatter and gain a large transverse momentum [7]. A similar case can be made for electron interactions with high Z materials. To account for this, Win-X-ray, (a Monte Carlo electron transport code, uses Mott total cross sections for electrons <30 keV and Rutherford total cross elastic cross-sections for electrons >30 keV [8]. Using Win X-ray, a 20 keV electron would penetrate UO₂ a maximum penetration depth of 1020 nanometers, with an average penetration depth of 790 nanometers.

2.2 Supplementary Experimental Techniques

2.2.1 Hall Effect, I(V), and C(V) Characteristic Curves

The van der Pauw technique was used to provide sample resistivity, carrier density, carrier type, and mobility. Four metal contacts were mechanically placed on the surface of the sample. A constant current was chosen to maximize the voltage applied while the current was measured.
To account for the arbitrary shape of a sample, van der Pauw has shown it necessary to measure voltage across two adjacent contacts when a current is passing through the other two contacts. Van der Pauw demonstrated there are two characteristic resistances, $R_A$ and $R_B$ associated with the terminals as shown in Figure 6. $R_A$ is equivalent to

$$(R_{21,34}+R_{12,43}+R_{43,12}+R_{34,21})/4.$$  

Likewise $R_B$ is equivalent to

$$(R_{32,41} +R_{23,14}+R_{14,23}+R_{41,32})/4$$

where $R_{21,34} = V_{34}/I_{21}$. The sheet resistance, $R_S$, defined in Equation (2.14) is numerically solved via multiple measurements [9].

$$e^{-\pi R_A/R_S} + e^{-\pi R_B/R_S} = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

Sheet resistivity, $\rho_s$ is then calculated by multiplying the sheet resistance by the sample thickness as defined in Equation (2.15).
\( \rho = R_s d \)  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

A magnetic field is now applied perpendicular to the direction of current flow producing an electric field perpendicular to the direct of the current [10]. The current is measured again as a function of voltage. The summation of the measured voltages provide the Hall voltage, \( V_H \), and is defined in Equation (2.16).

\[
V_H = \frac{IB}{qn_s}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

In Equation (2.16), \( I \) is the current, \( q \) is the elementary charge, \( B \) is the magnetic field, and \( n_s \) is the sheet carrier concentration. If the Hall voltage is positive, the majority carrier is holes. The carrier concentration is found in Equation (2.16). The carrier concentration (2.16) and sheet resistance (2.15) are then combined to provide the carrier mobility, \( \mu \) in Equation (2.17) [9].

\[
\mu = \frac{1}{qR_s n_s}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

Current-voltage, \( I(V) \), curves show the relationship between current flowing through an electronic materials and the applied voltage. Due to Ohm’s law, resistors result in a linear \( I(V) \) relationship with a slope equivalent to the inverse of resistance. Unlike resistors, the \( I(V) \) curves associated with Schottky diodes and p-n junctions show rectification, or preferentially allowing current flow in one direction based upon carrier barriers and charge availability. The current-voltage relationship for a p-n junction is described in Equation (2.18).

\[
I(V_a) = I_s \left[ \exp \left( \frac{qV_a}{n_i kT} \right) - 1 \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

The abrupt diode current-voltage relation is modeled in Equation (2.18) with Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, where \( I_s \) is the saturation current, \( q \) is the elementary charge, \( V_a \) is the
applied voltage, $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is the temperature in K, and $n_i$ is an ideality factor. For a large forward bias, $n_i$ is equivalent to 1 [11]. Since the ideal diode equation assumes all electron-hole band-to-band or by trap assisted recombination occurs in the bulk, $n_i$ accounts for leaking due to avalanching, junction trap-assisted tunneling, and radiation damage.

When $V_a$ is negative, the junction is said to be in reverse bias, representing minority carrier injection across the junction. Accordingly, the term in brackets in Equation (2.18) approaches -1 so that $I(V_a)$ approaches $-I_s$, which is proportional to minority carrier concentration and inversely proportional to the square root of the minority carrier lifetime. The saturation current is generally small. This does not account for non-ideal factors, such as tunneling, which are enhanced at high voltages or can dominate carrier recombination when the defect population is high. When $V_a$ is positive, the diode is in forward bias, representing majority carrier injection across the junction. In Equation (2.18) the exponential dominates and the $I(V_a)$ relationship is generally exponential with $V_a$.

Important to neutron detection, the flow of current through the diode represents a background noise, against which the neutron signal competes. Typically detectors function in the reverse bias condition so that the small injection of carriers from radiation must only compete with minority carrier flow. This implies the need for high doping (reducing minority carrier) and long minority carrier lifetimes in radiation detection media [11].

Hillibrand and Gold found $C(V)$ measurements provide information about a semiconductor’s dopant concentration and diffusion potential [12]. In addition, a metal-semiconductor or p-n junction’s effective barrier height can be extrapolated from the plot of $1/C^2$. Additionally, capacitance and conductance are frequency dependent, based upon trap lifetime. This can be exploited to determine if device transport is trap dominated.
Drift carrier lifetime can be modeled by comparing the measured C(V) curve and the modeled diffusion capacitance in the region of low forward bias. The frequency-dependent diffusion capacitance, $C_D$, is given by Equation (2.19).

$$C_D = \frac{G_0}{\omega \sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2}$$  (2.19)

In Equation (2.19) $\omega$ is the angular frequency ($2\pi f$), and $\tau$ is the drift carrier or effective carrier lifetime. The low frequency conductance, $G_0$, is calculated from the d.c. I(V) values by numerical differentiation. Utilizing $G_0$ and $f$ from the measurement, $\tau$ can be iteratively adjusted to ensure the $C_D$ curve fits the C(V) data. The lifetime, $\tau$, controls the height and the slope of the modeled $C_D$ to the measured C(V) data and yields a drift carrier lifetime.

### 2.2.2 Ellipsometry Technique

Ellipsometry is a very sensitive surface measurement utilizing the relative phase change of polarized light, or how the p- and s-wave components change upon reflection or transmission in relation to each other. The measurement response is dependent on the complex dielectric constant, the complex index of refraction, and sample thickness. Outputs from ellipsometry include optical properties, film thickness crystallinity, roughness, doping concentration, and material characterization. VASE, or variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry, increases the spectral acquisition range and angles of incidence for a sample. This allows for a wider range of samples and structures.

Ellipsometry measures the electric field behavior of light in space and time, or polarization. The electric and magnetic field wave are orthogonal to the direction of propagation. Polarized light follows a specific path and traces out a distinct shape at any point. When two
orthogonal electric and magnetic fields are of arbitrary amplitude and phase, they are of elliptical polarization as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Two electromagnetic waves traveling orthogonal to the direction of propagation with arbitrary amplitude and phase [13].
Equation (2.20) is the electric field wave equation solution for the electromagnetic plane wave.

\[
\vec{E}(r, t) = \vec{E}_0 e^{\left(\frac{2\pi n}{\lambda} \vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}\right)} e^{-i\omega t} \tag{2.20}
\]

In Equation (2.20) the unit vector along the direction of wave propagation is \(\vec{q}\), \(n\) is the complex index of refraction \(n + ik\), \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of light in a vacuum, \(\omega\) is the wave angular frequency, \(\vec{r}\) is the distance traveled, and \(\vec{E}_0\) is a complex vector constant specifying the wave amplitude and polarization state. Multiplying the first exponential term by the complex index of refraction allows for the deconvolution of Equation (2.20). As \(\vec{r}\) increases, the wave’s amplitude may change as indicated by the \(e^{-\frac{2\pi nk}{\lambda} \vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}\) term. How the wave changes in space is represented by the \(e^{\frac{2\pi in}{\lambda} \vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}\) term. Finally the \(e^{-i\omega t}\) component addresses how the wave oscillates in time. Since the electric field and magnetic field are perpendicular, only the electric field wave is needed to define a plane wave.

The complex index of refraction determines how a plane wave oscillates. If the imaginary part of \(n\) is non-zero, the wave’s amplitude will decay exponentially while it propagates. If the wave is assumed to be propagating in the z-direction, the decay will occur according to Equation (2.21).

\[
\vec{E} \propto \exp(-\frac{2\pi kr}{\lambda}) \tag{2.21}
\]

In Equation (2.21) \(k\) is the extinction coefficient, as it represent the decay of the wave through the material, \(r\) is the propagation distance in length units, and \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of light in length units [14]. The wave decays to 1/e of its original amplitude after propagating \(D_p\), or the penetration depth defined by Equation (2.22).
\[ D_p = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi k} \]  

(2.22)

If \( k \) is large, then the light does not penetrate readily. For ellipsometry, light must penetrate the surface and propagate back out after it reflects from an interface. Experimentally, for metal films greater than 50 nm, ellipsometry is not possible due to the inability of light to traverse to the interface and return to the detector.

According to Maxwell, the divergence of the displacement field must equal the local charge density by Equation (2.23) \([14]\). In Equation (2.23) \( \rho_c \) is the charge density.

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \frac{\rho_c}{\varepsilon} \]  

(2.23)

If the material is isotropic and absent of space charge, \( \rho_c \) is zero, Equation (2.23) reduces to Equation (2.24) requiring the components of the polarization vector, \( \vec{E}_0 \) to lie in the plane perpendicular to the direction of beam propagation. Therefore, the polarization state of any beam can be explained by its components along any two orthogonal axes in the plane perpendicular to the beam’s propagation direction \([14]\).

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0, \]  

(2.24)

Ellipsometry uses the p- and s- directions as the two orthogonal basis vectors to convey beam polarization states as depicted in Figure 8. The p- direction is perpendicular to the sample’s flat surface and the s- direction lies parallel to the surface, where the cross product of p and s provide the direction of wave propagation via the Pointing vector \([14]\).
The total polarized beam can be expressed by the components of the beam’s electric field along the p- and s- directions. In ellipsometry, a laser light source is sent through a polarizer allowing light of a preferred electric field orientation to pass. This polarized light reflects from the sample surface, changes polarization, and travels through a combined continuously rotating polarizer and analyzer. Then the detector converts the light to an electric signal to determine the reflected polarization [14].

A simplistic model of light reflecting from a surface in air is shown in Figure 8. It provides $r_p$, and $r_s$, (Equation (2.25) and Equation (2.26)) with $\tilde{n}_0$ and $\tilde{n}_1$ being the complex refractive indices of air and the sample respectively [15].
Figure 9. Electric field and magnetic field for p- polarization. The magnetic field, \( B \), is perpendicular to the plane of the page and is pointing toward the reader [13].

\[
r_p = \frac{E_{rp}}{E_{0p}} = \frac{n_1 \cos(\theta_o) - n_0 \cos(\theta_t)}{n_1 \cos(\theta_o) + n_0 \cos(\theta_t)} \tag{2.25}
\]

\[
r_s = \frac{E_{rs}}{E_{os}} = \frac{n_0 \cos(\theta_o) - n_1 \cos(\theta_t)}{n_0 \cos(\theta_o) + n_1 \cos(\theta_t)} \tag{2.26}
\]

The transmission angle, \( \theta_t \), can be calculated from the incident angle, \( \theta_o \), using Snell’s law [15]. The polarization change is represented as an amplitude ratio, \( \Psi \), and the phase difference, \( \Delta \). The \( \Psi \) and \( \Delta \) are related to the ratio of Fresnel reflection coefficients, \( r_p \) and \( r_s \) for p- and s- polarized light. The change in amplitude, \( \Psi \), is found by Equation (2.27) where \( \Delta \) is given in polar coordinates by Equation (2.29), assuming \( \vec{n} \equiv n + ik \) [15].

\[
\rho_e = \frac{r_p}{r_s} = \tan(\Psi) e^{i\Delta} \tag{2.27}
\]
\[
\Delta = \arg(\rho_e) = \begin{cases} 
\tan^{-1}\left[ \frac{\text{Im}(\rho_e)}{\text{Re}(\rho_e)} \right] & \text{for } \text{Re}(\rho_e) > 0 \\
\tan^{-1}\left[ \frac{\text{Im}(\rho_e)}{\text{Re}(\rho_e)} \right] + 180 & \text{for } \text{Re}(\rho_e) < 0, \quad \text{Im}(\rho_e) \geq 0 \\
\tan^{-1}\left[ \frac{\text{Im}(\rho_e)}{\text{Re}(\rho_e)} \right] - 180 & \text{for } \text{Re}(\rho_e) > 0, \quad \text{Im}(\rho_e) < 0
\end{cases}
\tag{2.28}
\]

An appropriate physical model is necessary to accurately describe the optical properties of the material measured via ellipsometry. Values of the complex dielectric function of an unknown material can be found by a wavelength-by-wavelength regression analysis. Electronic band-to-band transitions cause critical point (CP) features in the dielectric function spectra. The imaginary part, \(\varepsilon_2\), can be modeled conveniently using a Gaussian oscillator absorption model as in Equation (2.29) with best-match parameters of amplitude, \(A\), center energy, \(E_n\), and broadening, \(B_r\). with \(\sigma\) defined in Equation (2.30) [16].

\[
\varepsilon_2(E) = A \left( \exp\left( -\left( \frac{E - E_n}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) - \exp\left( -\left( \frac{E + E_n}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \right) 
\tag{2.29}
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{B_r}{2\sqrt{\ln(2)}} 
\tag{2.30}
\]

The real part, \(\varepsilon_1\), is obtained from the Kramers-Kronig transformation shown in Equation (2.32), where \(P\) is the principal value of the integral and \(\omega\) is the angular frequency [16].

\[
\varepsilon_1(E) = \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\xi \varepsilon_2(\xi)}{\xi^2 - E^2} \, d\xi
\tag{2.31}
\]

2.2.3 Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Calorimetry, or the measurement of heat, allows for the study of physical transitions and chemical reactions that are linked with the generation or loss of heat. Differential scanning
calorimetry, DSC, measures the difference in the heat flow rate of a sample to a reference material while subjected to a controlled temperature program [17]. One such material property of interest in the present research is heat capacity.

A common DSC is shown in Figure 10. The reference material, \( R_{\text{ref}} \), experiences the same sample heating conditions as the sample, denoted as \( S \) in the figure. The heat flow from the furnace passes through the disk with an integrated thermocouple. The thermocouples cover the entire base of both sample and reference pans. Heat flows from the disks to the sample and reference materials. The differential temperature signal, \( \Delta T \), or the temperature differential between the heat flows is measured and then converted to the measured heat flow rate, \( \phi_m \) by a factory-installed calibration [17].

![Figure 10. Heat flux DSC with disk-type measuring system with 1) disk, 2) furnace, 3) lid, 4) differential thermocouples, 5) programmer and controller, S) sample crucible, and \( R_{\text{ref}} \) reference crucible. The arrows at \( \phi_{FS} \) and \( \phi_{FR} \) indicate the locations where the heat flow rate from furnace to reference sample crucible and heat flow rate from the furnace to the reference sample are measured respectively. The recorder combines the \( \phi_m \), measured heat flow rate and \( k \) calibration factor [17].](image)

The specific heat capacity, \( c_p \), in steady state is the sample heat flow rate, \( \frac{dQ_s}{dt} \), divided by the sample mass, \( m \), and the heating rate, \( \frac{dT}{dt} \). Therefore \( c_p \) is equivalent to \( \phi_s \), the sample heat flow rate divided by \( m \) and \( \beta_0 \), or the heating rate. Likewise \( \phi_s \) is equivalent to \( \phi_m \) minus the unavoidable asymmetry of the differential scanning calorimeter, \( \phi_0 \) [17].
\[
c_p = \frac{c_p}{m} = \frac{dQ}{m \cdot dt} = \frac{\phi_s}{m \cdot \beta_0} = \frac{\phi_m - \phi_0}{m \cdot \beta_0} \quad (2.32)
\]

A linear heat flow would produce a constant heating rate of \( T(t) = T_0 + \beta_0 t \), where \( \beta_0 \) is the heating or cooling rate and \( T_0 \) is the starting temperature. A combination of linear and periodic heating provides the benefit of good temperature resolution for slow scanning speeds with the higher output signal due to faster oscillations.

A recent variation in the standard method, Modulated Differential Scanning Calorimetry, MDSC, combines the advantage of good temperature resolution at slow scan speeds with a higher output signal due to oscillations. MDSC uses a combination of linear and periodic heating and incorporates a variable heating rate. It therefore provides a time-dependent temperature \( T(t) \) via Equation (2.33) [17]. In this system, every periodic temperature change is a sum of a sinusoidal function with a maximum heating rate of \( (\beta_0 + T_A \omega) \) and a minimum heating rate of \( (\beta_0 - T_A \omega) \). In the “heating only” mode \( T_A \omega < \beta_0 \).

\[
T(t) = T_0 + \beta_0 t + T_A \sin(\omega t) 
\]

Hence \( dT/dt \) is as shown in (2.34) where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of modulation and \( T_A \) is the temperature fluctuation amplitude [25].

\[
\frac{dT}{dt} = \beta_0 t + \omega T_A \cos(\omega t) \quad (2.34)
\]

Through (2.34) only vibration heat capacity is considered, which has a very weak temperature dependence. Adding endothermic and exothermic latent heat exchange, the heat flow rate is defined by Equation (2.35), where \( \Phi^{ex}(T, t) \) represents the endothermic or exothermic latent heat exchange and \( T_u \) represents the mean temperature around fluctuations.

\[
T_u = T_0 + \beta_0 t \quad [17]
\]
\[ \Phi(T, t) = C_p \beta_o + \Phi^{ex}(T, t) + C_p T_A \omega \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\delta \Phi^{ex}(T, t)}{\delta T} T_A \sin(\omega t) \]  

(2.35)

As the sample is heated during MDSC, the result represents a bulk measurement of the sample heat capacity. However, for the case of reactive materials such as UO$_2$, the measurement of the sample surface may be reduced due to heating, whereas a photoemission derived Debye temperature heat capacity measurement is made in a reduced oxygen environment, but only represents the surface.

2.3 Reference
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In order to determine if Hall effect measurements could be used to evaluate s.c. UO₂ mobility and carrier concentration without substantial surface preparation, Hall effect and I(V) measurements were conducted on two samples of s.c. UO₂ that had been exposed to different environments and experimental conditions. Previous research revealed large discrepancies in resistivity based upon probe type and placement. Consistent in each measurement was a current settling that occurred within 1-3 seconds of measurement that persisted for several minutes. We therefore compared Hall currents, which are primarily surface dominated, to I(V) through the bulk in order to determine if consistent measurements can be made using a dwell time of 5 seconds for each measurement.

Abstract

This paper presents an initial study of electrical measurements of single crystals of UO₂ grown hydrothermally in a unique method at the Air Force Research Laboratory. Hall measurements reveal an electron mobility up to 2.5±1.3 cm²/V-s. The measured conductivity of the samples was (5.7±0.3)×10⁻³ Ω⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Direct current-voltage measurements were conducted
to assess the validity of the van der Pauw geometry Hall measurements, using the same contact probe materials. The I(V) relationship indicates that an ohmic contact is presented, and the semiconductor is not carrier limited during the measurement. The reported mobility and ability to construct a consistent contact are a promising new development demonstrating potential use of hydrothermally grown UO$_2$ as a semiconductor medium.

3.1 Research Innovation and Objective

Actinide oxides, and in particular UO$_2$, have been under study since before the nuclear age. A majority of the literature is oriented on developing and understanding nuclear fuels for either nuclear reactors or weapons. This study leverages a growth method for UO$_2$ with the potential to produce UO$_2$ material with consistent and quality electrical properties. We are studying this UO$_2$ to evaluate whether it may be exploited in uranium bearing electronics or have advantages in use with nuclear fuels.

3.2 Impacts on Warfighter Mission

The research is focused primarily on developing UO$_2$ neutron detectors, which can take advantage of the 180 MeV of energy from neutron induced fission products. Additional potential uses include a new class of radiation-hardened electronics and directly incorporating uranium electronic structures into nuclear fuels to assess aging, tampering and managing inventory; a significant part of the deterrence effort.

3.3 Introduction

Uranium dioxide is known to have unique semiconducting properties that have yet to be exploited [1]. From an electronic standpoint, UO$_2$ has poor characteristic properties, including low mobility and conductivity, and a very reactive surface that can allow multiple uranium-
dioxide phases to be present [2]. This inhibits production of quality and consistent direct measurements to assess electrical performance, restricting much of the potential applications research to modeling and simulations [2]. The present research, however, is a study of the electrical measurements of UO₂ crystals grown via a hydrothermal process, providing bulk samples of UO₂. These UO₂ samples provide an excellent opportunity to measure bulk carrier properties in order to assess engineering methods to produce a semiconductor device.

A key defense application for use of UO₂ is in the design and fabrication of a solid-state neutron detector. Such a detector could provide a substantial improvement in size and power over conventional solid-state neutron detectors, as well as replace ³He gaseous detectors, which suffer from a shortage of ³He. In order to make a solid-state detector perform optimally, the majority carrier mobility (μ) and the carrier lifetime (τ) must be maximized. Assessing these parameters in UO₂ can be challenging due to the reactive nature of the surface in normal atmospheric conditions. It has been well established that UO₂ will undergo surface oxidation and that its electronic properties are very sensitive to the UO₂’s oxygen concentration [3-5]. Therefore candidate materials and analyses are often based upon ideal or theoretical parameters, skewing the evaluation of the material characteristics [4].

The UO₂ electronic band structure further complicates traditional methods of analysis as a semiconductor. UO₂ is a Mott insulator, with a 5f orbital band lying between the conduction and valence bands [5]. Therefore, traditional band theory is not applicable in modeling potential device outputs before device construction and engineering is complete. This inhibits standard semiconductor development processes to optimize a structure before design begins. Therefore, it is imperative to conduct initial studies and rely on measured values to best assess the synthetic technique as well as the device design and fabrication. This paper illustrates initial Hall Effect
measurements that provide carrier conductivity (\(\sigma\)), and mobility (\(\mu\)) measurements on a hydrothermally grown sample of UO\(_2\), to be used for further engineering and material studies [6].

3.4 Method

3.4.1 Hydrothermal Growth

Single crystals (s.c.) of UO\(_2\) were grown by hydrothermal synthesis using a nutrient/feedstock of high-purity, depleted uranium dioxide powder (99.998\% UO\(_2\), International Bioanalytical Laboratories, Lot# B206093). A 6M cesium fluoride mineralizer solution (99.9\% CsF, Alfa Aesar, Lot# S25A038) was utilized to aid dissolution of the nutrient and transport it to the colder crystallization zone of the reaction vessel. The temperature of the feedstock and crystallization zones were held at 650 and 600\(^\circ\)C, respectively for 45 days at a pressure of 25 kpsi. The feedstock, mineralizer and seed crystals were loaded into an inert sealed silver ampule (99.95\% Ag, Refining Systems, Inc.) and then welded shut. Thorium dioxide, ThO\(_2\), seeds provided templated nucleation and crystalline UO\(_2\) growth > 0.10 mm thick [7].

Following growth, samples were cleaned by sonication in deionized water, polished, sawed into roughly 1.3-1.5 mm thick wafers (to separate the UO\(_2\) from the ThO\(_2\) seed crystal), hand polished and cleaned again. Our sample set consisted of two UO\(_2\) samples from the same growth method, but with surfaces that had been exposed to multiple different ambient and test environmental conditions.

3.4.2 Hall Measurements

All Hall effect measurements were accomplished using a 4 point probe in a standard van der Pauw configuration with tungsten tips [8]. Measurements were carried out at a constant
current of 200 μA and a constant magnetic field of 7 kG. The probe tips were mechanically contacted directly to the UO₂ and held in place using a spring, similar to that used by Hartmann [3]. Unlike Hartman, we did no surface preparation (e.g. reduction) before measurement. Based upon our previous experiences with this material, we isolated the substrate from the sample station using a thin Mylar film and used a 5-second dwell time for each Hall effect measurement to allow for current settling. The source of this settling is presently unknown, but is under separate investigation.

3.4.3 Current-Voltage, I(V), Measurements

The primary purpose for the direct current (d.c.) I(V) measurement was to ensure Hall currents were not invalid due to incorrect or improper metal to semiconductor electrical contact material selection or application. This has been reported as problematic with UO₂ electrical measurements [2-3].

If the tungsten contacts impede the current, then Hall current measurements would be invalid at the voltage used for the measurement. If instead, an ohmic or tunneling junction (spatially thin Schottky junction) was present, then the contact would appear ohmic, and the Hall data would be valid.
3.5 Results

3.5.1 Hall Measurement Results

Table 2 provides the results of the Hall Effect measurements as compared to those reported in the literature. [4, 9] In our data, mobilities are consistently higher than most literature values. Resistivity values for Sample 1 are close to the high end of published values while Sample 2 provides an average resistivity consistently higher than literature results.

Table 2. Hall Effect data from literature values compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Published[4,9]</th>
<th>Sample-1</th>
<th>Sample-2</th>
<th>s.c. UO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mu ) ([ \frac{cm^2}{Vs} ] )</td>
<td>0.015 to 1</td>
<td>1.57±0.62</td>
<td>3.20±1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma ) ([ \frac{1}{\Omega cm} ] )</td>
<td>(6 \times 10^{-7}) to (3 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>((1.43±0.21)\times10^{-3})</td>
<td>((5.66±0.34)\times10^{-3})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Two measurements were taken on Sample-1.
2The values provided for Sample-2 are an average of six measurements.

3.5.2 Direct Current, Current Voltage Measurement Results

For each d.c. \(I(V)\) measurement, the voltage was swept from -5 to +5 V and back. Although multiple sweeps were made, the error was less than 1%.

Figure 11 provides the Hall Effect \(I(V)\) results and the d.c. \(I(V)\) measurements. An important delineation of these measurements is that the Hall effects measurements are performed using four contacts applied to the same sample surface, while the d.c. \(I(V)\) uses two contacts on opposing surfaces, each normal to the bulk of the sample (Figure 12). Both measurements have a linear \(I(V)\) relationship with the same slope at low current providing evidence that the contacts
appear ohmic with the same material resistance. Additionally, there is no discernable difference in the conductivity of the Hall measurement when compared to the d.c. I(V) measurements.

![Figure 11. Current vs. voltage measurements from d.c. I(V) compared to the van der Pauw Hall measurements. Inset shows the ohmic nature (linearity) of the contacts for both measurements.](image)

### 3.6 Discussion

A full interpretation of these results must include a detailed study of the surface structure, which was beyond the scope of this publication. However, the consistency of the measurements, lack of a barrier to conduction (either due to the tungsten-sample contact being truly ohmic or because it is a tunneling contact) and good comparison of conductivity in two measurement methods is encouraging in the light of lack of sample preparation to obtain such results.

Sample preparation and contact material considerably impact UO₂’s electrical measurements. For example a 10-fold increase over our conductivity results was reported by Hartmann under vastly different conditions [3]. The samples in the Hartmann study were with sintered UO₂ fuel pellets, both with or without a tragacanth binder. The contacts were made using copper disks and silver-plated Hall electrodes. Lastly, surfaces were reduced using flowing hydrogen, while gradually heated over two hours to 1900 °C, heated for 20 minutes and
quenched to room temperature in 15 minutes to provide a clean surface [3]. In the present study the UO$_2$ samples had rough polished surfaces that were cleaned using acetone before contacts were made. Consequently, a promise of higher conductivities and mobility appears plausible with substantial sample preparation, even with lesser quality UO$_2$ samples.

Figure 12. Schematic of van der Pauw Hall configuration and that of the d.c. I(V) measurement (dashed lines). Both show current must pass through the unknown surface layer twice.

Also in our measurements we demonstrate a consistently between two contact methods with little sample preparation. One possible explanation for our results is the sample is entirely stoichiometric UO$_{2.0}$, and the surface nature of the Hall effect measurements and bulk nature of the I(V) measurements are sampling the same material. Thus, the Hall effect measurement would characterize UO$_2$ directly. However, based upon well-documented surface studies of UO$_2$ it is reasonable to assume that all surfaces of our sample have oxidized and that there are differences in bulk and surface character. If this is the case, then two additional potential options exist, either the current is wrapping around the crystal edge or both measurement techniques are reaching the crystal bulk. The edge and the surface of s.c. UO$_2$ represent different crystal surfaces. A (100) UO$_2$ vicinal surface has a reduced tendency for step ordering compared to (111) UO$_2$ vicinal surface creating dissimilar surface diffusion barriers [10]. Since different diffusion barriers are specific to crystal orientation, one would not expect to find consistent conductivities between both measurement techniques. Therefore, the d.c. I(V) measurements are not likely a result from
surface wrapping current. The most plausible explanation is that both experimental techniques are reaching bulk UO₂ with the Hall effect current penetrating a thin oxidized resistive surface layer (designated as “unknown in our diagrams) and reaching the bulk. The d.c. I(V) measurements are taken normal to the surface, conducting through the bulk of the material. Since both conductivity results are consistent, we can eliminate the possibility of surface effects dominating the Hall current, the primary interest in our study.

3.7 Conclusion

This report provides an initial measurement of the mobility for hydrothermally grown s.c. UO₂ which represents a high mobility with little surface preparation. The consistency of the bulk d.c. I(V) measurements reported here provide evidence that Hall currents are representing the material as the I(V). The high mobility is favorable for UO₂ being selected as a working semiconductor for a solid-state neutron detection device. As with many non-traditional materials, we also have demonstrated some of the behaviors that must be considered when working with UO₂, not observed with more traditional materials.

Present and future endeavors in this work include further development of contact placement, evaluation of surface structure with depth, and a better understanding of the electronic band structure, especially when a contact is present.

3.8 References


IV. Electrical and Material Properties of Hydrothermally Grown Single Crystal (111) UO₂
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As part of the evolution of material growth studies, a UO₂ hydrothermal growth was accomplished using a CaF₂ seed crystal to identify the quality of the UO₂ growth. The majority carrier, carrier lifetimes and barrier type were measured, while evaluating crystal quality. Electrical properties were determined with I(V), and C(V), measurements, while band-gap confirmation and crystal quality were assessed by ellipsometry and compared with density functional theory (DFT) modeling. This paper is inconclusive of the s.c. UO₂ majority carrier type, while the crystal quality corroborates the need for further s.c. UO₂ material characterization.

Abstract

The semiconductor and optical properties of UO₂ are investigated. The very long drift carrier lifetimes, obtained from current-voltage, I(V) and capacitance-voltage C(V) measurements, along with the well-defined optical properties provide little evidence of an abundance of material defects away from the surface region. Schottky barrier formation may be present, but very much dependent on the choice of contact and surface stoichiometry and we find that Ohmic contacts are in fact favored. Depth resolved photoemission provided evidence of a chemical shift at the surface. Density functional theory, with the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) functional, indicates a band gap of 2.19 eV and an anti-ferromagnetic ground state.
Ellipsometry measurements indicate that UO₂ is relatively isotropic with a band gap of approximately 2.0 eV, consistent with theoretical expectations.

4.1 Introduction

The rapid depletion of ³He resources, and the desire for vibration insensitive, radiation hard devices dominates the search for alternative neutron detector technologies [1] used for tracking fissile materials. The ultimate goal is an efficient, compact, low power detector relatively unaffected by terrestrial temperature changes. As an example, icosahedral semiconducting boron carbides [2-6] have recently garnered interest because they meet many of the operational requirements, but they still need significant neutron moderation to be efficient in detecting neutrons from fissile materials.

A novel approach explored in this research is a solid-state neutron detector composed of UO₂. Uranium has the unique (among semiconductors) feature of a high fission cross section, making it a valuable medium for detection because of the potential for very large signal-to-noise generation. It has been studied as a neutron absorber since the 1930s [12]. In recent years, although somewhat limited, there have been efforts to explore the geometric and electronic properties of UO₂ for the purpose of semiconductor device fabrication [8, 9, and10]. Primarily focused on the prospects of neutron detection and photovoltaics, the concept has been largely undeveloped due to the difficulty of material growth. [8,10] Single crystal growth of actinides for application in electronics is complex due to the very large electron-phonon coupling, lattice deformations, and significant changes in Debye temperature, mediated through Jahn-Teller distortions [26]. These latter effects are unusual, as UO₂ is a true semiconductor, not a metal, so phonons cannot couple to the Fermi Sea of electrons or holes.
Given the orthorhombic allotrope of α-phase uranium metal, the fluorite structure of UO₂, rapid oxidation, and large range of O/U ratios, it is difficult to control sample stoichiometry without strictly controlling temperature and pressure of the growth environment [17]. A further complication exists: while defect creation is expected to occur at high(er) temperatures, especially above 1000 K, the simplified phase diagram of the UOₓ system suggests a UO₂₊ₓ to a combination UO₂₊ₓ and U₄O₉₋ᵧ transition between 473 to 670 K [13, 14]. Such a structural phase transition would alter the density of lattice imperfections and a change in defect density would enhance Jahn-Teller distortions significantly [11, 15].

The challenges of growing high-quality uranium oxide single-crystal have begun to be addressed with the fabrication of high-quality, single crystal actinide oxide samples using a hydrothermal synthesis growth technique [20]. This growth process has produced bulk single crystals of near-stoichiometric UO₂. These improved single crystals open the door to a much better assessment of the optical and semiconductor properties, not previously possible.

4.2 Experimental

Single crystals of UO₂ were grown by hydrothermal synthesis using a nutrient/feedstock of high-purity, depleted uranium dioxide powder (99.998% UO₂, International Bioanalytical Laboratories, Lot# B206093). A 6M cesium fluoride mineralizer solution (99.9% CsF, Alfa Aesar, Lot# S25A038) was utilized to aid dissolution of the nutrient and transport it to the colder crystallization zone of the reaction vessel. The temperature of the feedstock and crystallization zones were held at 650 °C and 600 °C, respectively for 45 days at a pressure of 25 kpsi. The feedstock, mineralizer and seed crystals were loaded into an inert sealed silver ampule (99.95% Ag, Refining Systems, Inc.) and then welded shut. CaF₂ seeds with (111) orientation provided a template for oriented growth of 0.10 mm UO₂ on the substrate. Orientation and crystal structure
was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. UO₂ crystals grown under these conditions have measured lattice parameters of 5.4703 ± 0.0006 Å indicating a stoichiometry near UO₂.003 [13, 17]. The resultant (111) UO₂ crystal’s triangular surface area measured approximately 21 mm².

Contacts on a triangular 21 mm² (111) UO₂ sample were fabricated at 20⁰ C using a paint/melt deposition technique as well as mechanical placement. The circular Ag paint contact with radius of approximately 2.9 mm, was fabricated by application of Ag (Delco Conductive Ag Paint, #16062). The opposing circular GaIn paint/melt contact with radius of approximately 4.5 mm was fabricated by applying a GaIn eutectic (Aldrich Gallium-Indium eutectic, > 99.99% trace metals) to the UO₂ (111) sample. Mechanical contacts were made by pressing sharpened tungsten pins into opposing facets of the crystal. Micron thick Cu wires were then affixed to the contacts allowing current-voltage, I(V), and capacitance-voltage, C(V), measurements using a semiconductor analyzer system. The paint/melt two-point circuit used the Ag contact as the positive voltage reference. The work function of polycrystalline Ag is 4.26 eV, slightly higher than that of GaIn, 4.1 – 4.2 eV making possible ohmic contact surfaces [27] [28].
Variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) data was obtained using a dual-rotating compensator ellipsometer (RC2, J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.), using a wavelength-by-wavelength approach. The 0.1 mm UO$_2$ layer atop the 1-mm CaF$_2$ substrate was assumed to be bulk and therefore, the CaF$_2$ layer was neglected. This method allowed for the determination of the dielectric response of the bulk top layer UO$_2$. Measurements were taken at angles of incidence 45°, 55°, 65°, and 75° from 0.7 to 6.4 eV. The sample was modeled using a simple two-phase (ambient-substrate) approach where the substrate represents single-crystal UO$_2$, ignoring surface overlayer effects. Data was analyzed using WVASE32 (J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.).

4.3 Theory

All density functional theory calculations were performed within the framework of spin-polarized plane-wave density functional theory (PW-DFT), as implemented in the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation package (VASP) [20]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional and projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials
were used for geometry optimizations [21 -24] and specifically the exchange correlation was treated with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [23]. In addition, to correcting the strong on-site electronic correlation, the DFT+U method was used for the U atoms with $U - J = 4$ eV. We used the simplified approach to the DFT+U introduced by Dudarev et al., where only the difference $(U - J)$ is used to describe the electron correlation from different orbital momentum, $U$ and $J$ are the spherically averaged matrix elements of the screened Coulomb interaction[25].

We constructed the slab model for the UO$_2$ (111) surface with seven U atomic layers and eight O atomic layers, as shown in Figure 14. A vacuum layer, thicker than 15 Å between two adjacent slabs, was inserted in order to make the interactions between the slabs negligible. Geometrical structures were relaxed until the force on each atom was less than 0.01 eV/Å and the energy convergence criteria of $< 10^{-7}$ eV was met. The 2D Brillouin zone integration using the Monkhorst-PackΓ-center scheme was sampled with a $9 \times 9 \times 1$ grid [28]. The Bader’s atom in molecule (AIM) method based on the calculated charge density used for charge population analysis [26].
The Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) hybrid functional was also used to confirm the PBE+U results and we noticed that the new approach was more efficient in reaching convergence [27]. To complement density functional theory computation, the Cambridge Serial Total Energy Package (CASTEP) code was also adopted [28].

4.4 Results & Discussion

The I(V) measurement was used to evaluate the electrical contacts of the metal-semiconductor interfaces [27]. Knowing the work function of the metals ($\phi_M$) and the electron affinity ($\chi$) allows for the prediction of the barrier height ($\phi_B$) if a Schottky (metal-semiconductor) diode has been formed from Equation (4.1) and Equation (4.2), where $E_g$ is the semiconductor band gap [29].
\[ \phi_B = \phi_M - \chi \text{ if the semiconductor is n-type} \quad (4.1) \]

\[ \phi_B = E_g + \chi - \phi_M \text{ if the semiconductor is p-type} \quad (4.2) \]

The fluid metal eutectic, Ga-In or “EGaIn” forms an ohmic contact with thin-films devices due to its tendency to make low contact-resistance structures and has been chosen as the anode contact material [28]. The polycrystalline colloidal silver paste contact was deposited by vacuum evaporation.

The band gap, \( E_g \), for UO\(_2\) has been established as 2.1±0.1 eV [30]. In the flat band approximation, \( \chi \) is the determined by subtracting band gap \( E_g \) from the photoemission work function. The photoelectric work function of the (111) hydrothermally grown UO\(_2\) was measured at 6.28 ± 0.36 eV providing an electron affinity of 4.2 eV [11]. Making use of Equations (4.1) and (4.2) for the (111) UO\(_2\), if the semiconductor is n-type, \( q\phi_{Bn0} = 0.56 \) eV. If the semiconductor is p-type, the barrier, \( q\phi_{Bp0} \), is 1.5 eV.

The band gap is vastly underestimated in density functional theory, under the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional, even with a correlation energy ‘turned on’, i.e. \( E_g = 0.28 \) eV. For CASTEP with PBE+U with a correlation energy of \( U = 4 \) eV applied to the f-states and the ultrasoft pseudopotential, the band gap is only slightly better ~ 0.56 eV, based on different convergence schemes. However, with the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) hybrid functional, the band gap comes out more correctly; but it is nonetheless clear that the 5f levels are very much a part of the band structure.

For VASP with PBE+U and plane augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotential: the UO\(_2\) ground state is anti-ferromagnetic as is also indicated by VASP calculations undertaken with the HSE hybrid functional. For CASTEP with PBE+U and the ultrasoft pseudopotential, UO\(_2\) is
ferromagnetic while it is ferrimagnetic in the slab calculation using the HSE hybrid functional. The convergence process of VASP was quite smooth.

The near linear I(V) response of Figure 15 clearly shows behavior near ohmic (i.e., a very small barrier to charge carriers). The energy of the Schottky diode is reduced by holes flowing from the metal to the semiconductor hence causing accumulation near the junction. Such a device is dominated by the electron flow from the semiconductor to the metal with essentially no recombination in the depletion region. Since the behavior is ohmic, there are two options for majority carriers at the junction. A p-type semiconductor metal interface can respond ohmically, since the work function of UO$_2$ is close to or larger than the sum of the electron affinity and the band gap energy. However, if the semiconductor is strongly n-type, then current flow could appear ohmic due to tunneling. Therefore, the I(V) plot is inconclusive as to majority carrier.

![Figure 15. The current versus voltage measurements of Ag/UO$_2$/E-GaIn metal-semiconductor Schottky device. The nearly linear data indicates a minimal barrier to charge carriers but is inconclusive as to the semiconductor majority carrier.](image)

Although the reverse bias behavior of a Schottky diode mimics that of a p-n junction (allowing for the $1/C^2$ determination of $V_{bi}$ by linear extrapolation), the forward bias a.c. response is fundamentally different, since it is a majority carrier device. There is negligible
diffusion current, and therefore negligible minority carrier charge injection and storage within the semiconductor. Instead stored carriers result in diffusion admittance. In the absence of stored carriers, there is no diffusion capacitance or diffusion conductance. As a result, at frequencies routinely approaching the GHz range, the device capacitance and conductance remain frequency independent. However, this is not what is observed in the data of Figure 16. The measurement of capacitance versus frequency (C(ω)), and conductance versus frequency (G(ω)) clearly show a frequency dependent response, with charge carriers that no longer respond at 10 kHz. This departure from the ideal is an indication of defects within the semiconductor.

![Capacitance vs Frequency and Conductance vs Frequency](image)

**Figure 16.** The capacitance versus frequency and conductance versus frequency (semi-Log scale) measurements of Ag/UO2/E-GaIn MS Schottky device. The frequency dependence of the data beginning at 10 kHz shows the presence of defects within the device.

Figure 17 shows the capacitance versus voltage curves for different perturbation frequencies. Again, the frequency dependence in reverse bias is evident as the capacitance is reduced with increasing perturbation frequency. A 1/C² extrapolation has been performed to determine φₜ, and is shown as an inset in Figure 17. The effective barrier height was found to be φₜ=1.28 eV. Although not completely equivalent, this barrier height coincides closer with the I(V) barrier height for p-type UO₂ from our previous calculations.
While Figure 15 indicates the presence of defects, it is unclear as to their location (bulk or interface). However, the frequency at which the capacitance begins to increase provides evidence of defect type. For example, it has been shown in Si p-n homojunctions that defects due to vacancies and interstitial oxygen complexes cannot respond to oscillations near the $10^4$ Hz range [30], whereas other defects do. The C(V) curves, at 100 kHz and 1 MHz frequencies of the UO$_2$ Schottky diode are plotted in Figure 18. Ignoring defects and various contributions to capacitance, the drift carrier lifetime can be modeled by comparing the measured C(V) curve and the modeled diffusion capacitance in the region of small forward bias. The frequency dependent diffusion capacitance, $C_D$, is given by

$$C_D = \frac{G_0}{\omega \sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2} - 1\right)^{1/2},$$

(4.3)

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency ($2\pi f$), and $\tau$ is the drift carrier or effective carrier lifetime. The low frequency conductance, $G_0$, is calculated from the dc I(V) values by numerical differentiation. Utilizing $G_0$ and $f$ from the experiment, $\tau$ can be iteratively adjusted to ensure the $C_D$ curve fits the C(V) data. The lifetime, $\tau$, controls the height and the slope of the modeled $C_D$
to the measured C(V) data and yields a drift carrier lifetime. Using this method, the Ag/UO₂ Schottky diode at 100 kHz is found to have a drift carrier lifetime ranging from 50 to 250 μs suggesting while defects are present, they are either not trap or scattering defects, or relatively few in number.

![Figure 18. Modeled diffusion capacitance C₀ (·) overlaid on C(V) data measured (solid lines) at 100 kHz and 1 MHz for the Ag/UO₂ Schottky diode. The calculated diffusion capacitance, C₀, indicates a drift carrier lifetime in (a) of approximately 50 μs and in (b) of approximately 250 μs.](image)

To ascertain whether the surface defects differ from the bulk, depth resolved XPS measurements of the U 4f region of the (111) UO₂ sample surface were taken using Al Kα radiation prior to contact placement. The XPS showed indications of higher surface oxidation states of uranium. The binding energy of both doublet peaks increase from the expected energies of U⁴⁺ at 0°, the condition in which the electron analyzer is normal to the sample surface, to higher binding energies at steep angles, indicating a chemical shift at the surface. The maximum sampled depth is estimated to be 50 Å at 0° based on the attenuation of an 1100 eV photoelectron [26]. Surface sensitivity is enhanced by altering the orientation angle of the electron analyzer away from 0°, normal to the surface, with an information depth of approximately 40 Å.
Figure 19. The depth resolved XPS on the UO$_2$ (111). Both U 4f peaks shift to higher binding energies at the surface of the crystal, with increasing take off angle, indicating a chemical shift of the U to an oxidation state greater than U$^{4+}$.

We estimate the depth of the p-type surface layer to be less than 40 Å based on the depth-resolved XPS measurement. Willis [31] showed that a hyper-stoichiometric (effectively p-type) UO$_{2+x}$ in the cubic fluorite structure is possible by the incorporation of interstitial oxygen. Willis concluded that the defect complex is comprised of 2 anion vacancies, 2 <111> interstitial oxygen atoms, and 2 <110> interstitial oxygen atoms. The C(\(\omega\)), G(\(\omega\)), and x-ray photoemission data all support the presence of a hyper-stoichiometric layer at the surface of the UO$_2$ crystal. Analysis shows that this layer is approximately 40 Å deep. Due to the presence of defects at the surface, and the low number of charge carriers of the bulk semiconductor material, near the surface, the material is effectively p-type creating a metal-semiconductor Schottky barrier with the Ag metal contact. The extremely narrow range of this region explains the discrepancy between the predicted barrier height of this contact of 1.5 eV and the effective barrier of 1.28 eV from the C(V) data. Fowler-Nordheim tunneling is known to dominate in devices as wide as 1200 Å [32],
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although our data presently cannot support this. Overall, it seems that the material, unusual for an oxide, is p-type [33].

Variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) measurements, made at several azimuthal orientations, tends to verify that the sample is isotropic. This is important as some have concluded there is uniaxial anisotropy [34]. There was no significant evidence of anisotropy in the variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) data. Spectroscopic ellipsometry is an indirect measurement technique, which utilizes changes in polarization of light reflected off or transmitted through a sample [37-38]. An appropriate physical model is necessary to accurately describe the optical properties of the material. Values of the complex dielectric function of an unknown material can be found by a wavelength-by-wavelength regression analysis. Electronic band-to-band transitions cause critical point (CP) features in the dielectric function spectra. The imaginary part, $\varepsilon_2$, can be modeled conveniently using Gaussian functions as:

$$\varepsilon_2(E) = A \left( \exp\left( -\left( \frac{E-E_n}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) - \exp\left( -\left( \frac{E+E_n}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) \right),$$

$$\sigma = \frac{B_r}{2\sqrt{\ln(2)}}$$

(4.4)

with best-match parameters of amplitude, A, center energy, $E_n$, and broadening, $B_r$ [35]. The real part, $\varepsilon_1$, is obtained from Kramers-Kronig transformation such that

$$\varepsilon_1(E) = \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi \varepsilon_2(\xi)}{\xi^2 - E^2} d\xi,$$

(4.6)

where P is the principal value of the integral and $\omega$ is the angular frequency. The dielectric constants determined in this study agree very well with those presented by Siekhaus and Crowhurst [38]. However, as Siekhaus and Crowhurst discuss, there is a significant shift when compared to reflectivity measurements as determined by Schoenes [39].
While we observe a similar shape to the real and imaginary parts of the dielectric response, we find a significant shift in the critical points (CPs). Electron band-to-band transitions create CP features in a dielectric response spectra [35]. These critical points are described using Gaussian oscillators and are summarized in Table 3. A single Gaussian oscillator centered beyond the investigated spectral region at 8.02 eV was also used to account for high-energy contributions. A constant offset and infrared contribution were accounted for in the analysis, which are not further detailed in the table.
Table 3. Critical point transition parameters of single-crystal UO₂, obtained from spectroscopic ellipsometry data analysis of single-crystal UO₂. Parentheses denote 90% confidence of the last digit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>A (eV)</th>
<th>E (eV)</th>
<th>B (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP₁</td>
<td>1.5(1)</td>
<td>1.99(1)</td>
<td>1.5(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₂</td>
<td>3.7(5)</td>
<td>4.57(1)</td>
<td>2.4(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₃</td>
<td>4.6(1)</td>
<td>8.02(9)</td>
<td>5.3(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₀₁</td>
<td>1.8(6)</td>
<td>2.1(1)</td>
<td>1.5(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₀₂</td>
<td>3(2)</td>
<td>3.9(1)</td>
<td>1.4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₀₃</td>
<td>3.3(4)</td>
<td>5.1(5)</td>
<td>3(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Transition outside of presented range with limited sensitivity to critical point parameters.

²Features approximated by applying oscillators as used in this work to data gathered by Siekhaus et al. [36].

The energetically lowest critical point was determined to have an energy of 1.99 eV, based on the spectral center of the absorption feature, compared to 2.4467 eV, as determined by Siekhaus et al. [36], also using a Gaussian oscillator absorption model. However, we cannot directly compare higher energy absorption feature values found here to those reported by Siekhaus et al., as the latter used a Tauc-Lorentz type oscillator to describe the second, higher energy, critical point with a center energy at 3.5646 eV and an optical gap energy of 2.7289 eV. The Tauc-Lorentz oscillator was developed to describe only amorphous materials, and while data taken from a single-crystal material may be well matched using a Tauc-Lorentz oscillator, the physical meaning behind parameters is not known. Therefore, we have estimated Gaussian parameters, as used in this work, to approximate data gathered by Siekhaus et al. in order to better quantize the shift seen Figure 20. Estimated parameters are displayed in Table 3. The energetically lowest transition is determined to be shifted by only approximately 0.1 eV, while shifts of higher transitions are more significant perhaps due to a further oxidation layer on the 25.5 year aged single-crystal UO₂ used by Siekhaus et al., although this layer was not verified and it is unclear if such a layer was taken into account.
These optical transitions are feasible when considering calculated band structure, shown in Figure 14. The optical transition at roughly 2 eV is clearly connected to the band gap. The optical transitions at roughly 4.6 eV and 8 eV, corresponds to transitions from the high density of states at -2 eV and -4 eV (E-E_F), within the valence band, to unoccupied states at the bottom of the conduction band.

4.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, a metal-semiconductor Schottky barrier may be formed between the hyper-stoichiometric UO_{2+x} and Ag, but the barrier height does not match the predicted barrier height. The C(V) measurement has a frequency dependent capacitance not normally observed in an metal-semiconductor Schottky device in reverse bias, indicating that a large defect concentration exists that may affect the ellipsometry data. A hyper-stoichiometric layer is the most likely source of this frequency dependent capacitance, which is supported by the XPS data. The I(V) and C(V) measurements show the bulk of the UO_2 semiconducting crystal appears p-type, and makes Ohmic contacts with both E-GaIn and Ag. The C(V) measurements provide a drift carrier lifetime between 50-250 μs. This is likely due to the narrowness of the UO_{2+x} layer (< 40 Å), which allows Fowler-Nordheim tunneling, and reduces the effective barrier height from 1.5 eV to 1.28 eV. Ellipsometry confirms isotropic UO_2 with an approximate 2.0 eV band gap.

In the future, any devices fabricated from UO_2 single crystals will have to account for the oxygen diffusion to interstitial sites, and take steps to suppress this, or perhaps more interestingly, make use of this layer in depositing p-type materials to form p-n heterostructures.
4.6 References


V. The Debye Temperature of a Single Crystal Thorium Uranium Dioxide Alloy

This chapter is directly derived from a paper submission to *Physica Status Solidi Rapid Review Letters*. It is presently in press. It was modified to be consistent with the dissertation format. The collaborating authors are C. Dugan, C. Young, R. Carmona, M. Schneider, J. Petrosky, J. M. Mann, E. Hunt, and J. W. McClory. The journal article is titled, “The Debye Temperature of a Single Crystal Thorium Uranium Dioxide Alloy.”

The unknown carrier type along an ill-defined junction from the Hall and I(V) measurements directed the research away from device fabrication and back to gaining a fundamental understanding of the electronic structure at the surface of UO₂. For many years, the potential challenge of understanding UO₂ crystals was its reactive surface. Using a hydrothermally grown s.c. UₓTh₁₋ₓO₂ alloy in the device was considered a novel way to reduce progressive surface oxidation. In order to understand the alloy structure stability as compared to s.c. UO₂ and ThO₂, the Debye temperature was measured and compared to previous research.

Abstract

The effective Debye temperature (Θₑ) of the surface of a single crystal of U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ alloy prepared by hydrothermal synthesis was obtained from temperature-dependent XRF in the temperature range of 300 to 623 K. The effective Debye temperature was determined to be 217 ± 24 K. X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy confirms the crystal’s composition as U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂. XPS reveals both thorium and uranium 4f states, which includes uranium and thorium in 4+ oxidation states. The effective Debye temperature of the single crystal U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ alloy, as measured via photoemission, is lower than UO₂ and ThO₂ literature values, indicating either higher defect scattering or the presence of added vibrational modes within the alloyed sample.
5.1 Introduction

The World Nuclear Association 2013 Global Nuclear Fuel Market Report indicates a
31% increase in uranium demand over 2013-2023. In addition, the worldwide electricity demand
is expected to double from 2004 to 2030 and novel nuclear fuels could provide one solution [1].
Recent research is focused on alternative radioactive isotope fuels, such as thorium, to allow for
new breeding or conversion fuels. Thorium is three to four more times more abundant in nature
than uranium, and the desired isotope $^{232}$Th is nearly 100% of natural thorium such that
enrichment is not required. Therefore, for all Th derived fuel, no enrichment is needed. In
comparison, natural uranium is 0.71% $^{235}$U and 99.28% $^{238}$U. For light water reactors, the
uranium must be enriched to 2-4 weight percent $^{235}$U for a light water reactor, which represents
~50% of the fuel cost.

When a nuclear reactor is operating at or near its maximum allowable power, the thermal
conductivity of the fuel becomes an important parameter related to the fuel safety margin. This is
complicated by the fact that thermal conductivity is a function of the temperature, fuel
composition, porosity, deviation from stoichiometry, and burnup. Aside from the advantage of
breeding new fissile fuel, ThO$_2$ has a thermal conductivity about 30% greater than of UO$_2$ at 373
K and about 8% greater at 923 K [1]. Since UO$_2$ has a lower thermal conductivity it has a higher
power peaking factor for the fuel, reducing the allowable reactor power in order to meet safety
margins for fuel damage. Furthermore, the melting temperature for ThO$_2$ is 3650 K, as compared
to UO$_2$ at 3120 K, allowing for an additional safety margin if operated at the same
temperature [2 - 4]. At high pressures, short-range forces increase significantly, changing
uranium’s coordination number and transforming uranium’s phase whereas thorium’s volume
change is significantly smaller [5-6]. It may be possible to take advantage of mixed alloy fuel to produce higher efficiency and safer reactors.

Photoemission spectroscopy is the measurement of the kinetic energy of electrons after exciting them with x-rays. The excited electron energy (as measured in an electron spectrometer) reflects the effect of binding energy and lattice vibrations. The number of atoms contributing to the photoemission signal, or signal intensity, provides a measure of the relative density of atoms contributing to energy transitions. Equation 5.1 provides a model of the entire transition current, where $\sigma$ is the photoabsorption cross-section, $E$ is the electron energy, $\hbar \omega$, is the photon energy, $\Delta k$, is the electron wave transfer vector, $G$ is the reciprocal lattice vector, and $U_0$ is the scattered nuclei mean displacement [7].

$$N(E, \hbar \omega) \propto |\sigma|^2 \left( e^{-\Delta k^2 U_0^2} \sum_G^n \delta(\Delta k - G) + N \left( 1 - e^{\Delta k^2 U_0^2} \right) \right)$$

The heat capacity of solids is largely dependent upon simple lattice vibrations. However, at temperatures important in nuclear reactors (~873-1273 K) assumptions regarding the vibrations in the lattice are no longer valid and the total vibrational energy, including harmonic frequencies, must be taken into account. A transition to a lower energy occurs at the Debye cutoff frequencies, which scales the heat capacity, so a relationship with normal modes can be made and the heat capacity as a function of temperature can be predicted. In general, a low Debye temperature means that the harmonic modes are more accessible.
5.2 Experiment

A sample of single crystal U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ grown by the hydrothermal process was measured via x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy using a Horiba micro-XRF analyzer. A 30 keV accelerating voltage was applied, providing a spot size of 100 µm. Four grid locations were selected and analyzed for 60 minutes each. X-ray spectral deconvolution was used for elemental identification using a Gaussian fit, providing mass percent, and atomic percent for all x-ray lines observed. For each grid location, the point data were removed, leaving only thorium and uranium consistently identified on the samples (oxygen is not measured). The atomic percent was then averaged over the four grids.

Photoemission spectroscopy required attaching the sample to a tantalum plate by spot welding a tantalum wire. Following mounting, the sample was sputtered at 1000 keV for 30 minutes in an attached external chamber held at 10$^{-4}$ torr and a residual gas analyzer was used to assess the sputtered materials. Due to high concentrations of cesium on the surface from the growth process, the crystal was sputtered for an additional 90 minutes to remove all possible surface contamination. The sample was then transferred to the main photoemission spectroscopy chamber while still under vacuum and the system pumped to a stable 10$^{-8}$ torr. The photoemission experiments utilized a VG Scienta R3000 electron analyzer and a Specs XR5 x-ray source of Al Kα radiation with a 50 meV step size. The spectral intensity was measured with a 100 eV pass energy using a constant 3000 watt x-ray power output from 800 to 650 eV binding energies to analyze the Th 4f$_{5/2}$, Th 4f$_{7/2}$, U 4f$_{5/2}$, and U 4f$_{7/2}$ peaks. The photoelectrons were collected normal to the sample surface while the x-ray source was offset from normal at a fixed 45° angle. Measurements were taken at 301, 323, 373, 423, 473, 523, 573, and 623 K, with a 30 minute delay in measurement after a stable temperature was reached in order to ensure sample
equilibrium. The spectra were computed in transmission mode from the average of five measurements.

5.3 Results

X-ray fluorescence (XRF) compositional analysis provided a uranium-thorium alloy of U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ with an atomic percent standard error of 0.36%. The temperature-dependent photoemission spectra for the Th 4f and U 4f spectra are shown in Figure 21(a) and Figure 22(a). In Figure 21 (a) the thorium binding energies (denoted as $E_F - E$), appear at 334.4 and 343.7 eV for Th 4f$_{7/2}$ and Th 4f$_{5/2}$ respectively and are consistent with the reported binding energies of [8] and [9]. The room temperature uranium binding energies (also denoted as $E_F - E$) occur at 391.3 and 380.3 eV for U 4f$_{7/2}$ and U 4f$_{5/2}$ respectively and are consistent with the reported binding energies of 390.8 [8] and 380.8 eV [10] and 390.9[11] and 380.1 eV [11].

The presence of and energy location of shake-up satellite peaks provides insight into the atomic composition of and oxidation states of thorium-uranium alloys as reported in [12], where thorium-uranium alloys have shake-up satellites in the 6.5 – 7.4 eV range for both oxides. Of particular note, if thorium is in the 4+ oxidation state the satellites are located at 7.0 – 7.4 eV [12]. Figure 21 (a) presents a thorium satellite peak energy of 7.4 eV, which matches the thorium 4+ oxidation state of composition U$_{0.75}$Th$_{0.25}$O$_2$ as reported in Anthonysamy et al. giving confidence to our XRF analysis. Additionally, Anthonysamy et al. reports that a 6.7 eV uranium satellite peak is present, indicating both U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ oxidation states are present in an alloy composition of U$_{0.75}$Th$_{0.25}$O$_2$. These are also observed in our data in Figure 22(a). The presence of these 6.7 eV peaks can be explained by assignment of the U(4f$^{13}$- 5f$^{2 5/2} 5f^{1 7/2})$O(2p$^5$) in the final state.[11] In Figure 22(a), the U 4f peaks have a higher intensity on the tail of the high-energy side than the Th 4f peaks, revealing the photoelectrons from the U 4f distributions are...
scattered into the lower part of the spectrum due to electron inelastic scattering [11]. When the temperature increases, as shown in Figure 21(a) and Figure 22(a), lattice vibrations increase further lowering the photoelectron’s energy and shifting the peak.

The corresponding 4f satellite peaks shown in Figure 21(b) and Figure 22(b) are associated with the Th-O and U-O bonding to the empty states above the fermi level. The energy from the 4f peaks to the satellite peaks, $\Delta E_{sat}$, characterize the chemical bond and oxidation state for both UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$. According to Anthonysamy et al., ThO$_2$ and UO$_2$’s shake-up satellite transition peak energies for the Th$^{4+}$, U$^{4+}$, and U$^{6+}$ oxidation states are at 7.3, 6.8, and 4.4 eV respectively higher in binding energy [12]. Our data does not reveal a strong Th-O satellite signal.

Furthermore, the temperature dependence of the satellite peaks for U 4f$_{5/2}$ in Figure 22 (a) are shifted above the primary peak at 6.7 eV until 623 K at which the satellite peaks are at 4 and 10 eV. This is above the previously published UO$_2$’s lattice stiffening transition at 475 K [11]. Although there are no other literature values in alloys, $\gamma$ − UO$_3$ has two satellite peaks approximately 3.9 and 9.5 eV from the fundamental U 4f peaks [13]. It is clear from our data that the structure of the hydrothermally grown U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ alloy has the conformity of both UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ and the 29% atomic fraction of Th in the alloy does not appreciably alter the electronic states of the UO$_2$; this also evidenced by the preservation of $\Delta E_{sat}$ for U 4f$_{5/2}$ peak in the alloy.
Figure 21. (a) XPS core level spectra for 301, 423, and 623 K in the region of the Th 4f shells. As the temperature is elevated the core level photoemission intensity declines sharply. (b) The thorium binding energy fits for 301 K reveal the 334.4 and 343.6 eV peaks for Th 4f7/2 and Th 4f5/2 respectively and the associated satellite peak. The fit also reveals an unknown peak at 341.5 eV. Data are shown plotting every 8th data point for clarity.

Figure 22. (a) XPS core level spectra for 301, 423, and 623 K in the region of the U 4f shells. As the temperature is elevated the core level photoemission intensity declines sharply. (b) The uranium binding energy fits for 380.3 K reveal the 391.3 eV peaks for U 4f7/2 and U 4f5/2 respectively and the associated satellite peak fits are shown at 301 K. Data are shown plotting every 8th data point for clarity.
In tight binding systems, nuclear lattice vibrations are affected by atomic orbital electrons since they are connected to the vibrating uranium and thoria cores [7]. As temperature increases, the intensity of coherently scattered electrons decreases, which is the same intensity as measured by the photoemission spectroscopy. Mathematically, the temperature dependent peak intensity, $I$, is calculated by multiplying the initial transition intensity by $e^{-2W}$ as shown in Equation (5.2) where $W$ is the Debye Waller factor, $T$ is the sample absolute temperature, $\hbar \Delta k$ is the electron’s momentum transfer, $m$ is the mass of the scattering center (either $^{232}$Th or $^{238}$U atom in this work), $k_B$ is Boltzman’s constant, and $\theta_{DE}$ is the effective surface Debye temperature [7].

$$I = I_0 e^{-2W} \text{ where } 2W = \frac{3h^2 \Delta k^2 T}{2mk_B(\theta_{DE})^2} \quad (5.2)$$

The term, $\Delta k$, is the change in wavenumber (shown in Equation 5.3) and is calculated from the kinetic energy, $E_{kin}$, of either the $^{232}$Th or the $^{238}$U atom’s $4f_{5/2}$ or $4f_{7/2}$ shell, and the electron’s mass, $m_e$.

$$\Delta k = \frac{\sqrt{2E_{kin}m_e}}{\hbar c} \quad (5.3)$$
Figure 23. The natural logarithmic ratio of intensities as a function of temperature ranging from 301 to 623 K. The reference intensity, $I_o$, is the intensity for the room temperature measurement at 301 K. (a) The natural logarithmic slope for the Th 4$f_{5/2}$ and Th 4$f_{7/2}$ photoelectron is plotted along with the corresponding temperature measurements. (b) The natural logarithmic slope for the U 4$f_{5/2}$ and U 4$f_{7/2}$ photoelectron is plotted along with the corresponding temperature measurements.

For a ternary alloy, such as U$_x$Th$_{1-x}$O$_2$, where the masses of the thorium and uranium atoms are much greater than the mass of the oxygen atom, the frequency distribution must be separated into at least two degenerate branches, with the heavier atoms each represented by the Debye acoustical branch and averaged for the entire system. Therefore, the Debye temperature was calculated from the slopes of the experimental data shown in Figure 23(a) and Figure 23 (b) as 236.3 ± 11 K for the thorium 4$f$ peaks and 198 ± 13 K for the uranium 4$f$ peaks. The averaged Debye temperature of 217 ± 24 K is found for the U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ alloy. The thorium and uranium weighted effective Debye temperature does not include a strong weight from the oxygen ligand or anharmonic contributions. Using the same methods as the present research, the Debye temperature of single crystal ThO$_2$ has previously been reported from experiment as 268 K [13] and 468 ± 32 K [5]. For comparison, the Debye temperature of single crystal UO$_2$ has been reported as 500 ± 59 K at temperatures below 475 K and 165 ± 21 K at temperatures greater than
475 K [11]. For comparison, the ThO₂ Debye temperature using experimentally determined elastic constants, was calculated at 297 and 370 K; note these are not single crystal samples [14]. Computational methods have provided a Debye temperature of 289.1 and 304.7 K [14]. Additionally, [15] presents a study of a full range of atomic fractions of UₓTh₁₋ₓO₂ sintered pellets. Their work determined the Debye temperature directly, using low temperature heat capacity measurements, providing a bulk Debye temperature of 425 K for ThO₂, and nearly monotonically reducing to ~375 K at UO₂; again not single-crystal samples.

The reason for multiple Debye temperature constants is due to the difference in response of the 4f5/2 and 4f7/2 photoelectron peaks, which overlap between the fitted Gaussian-Lorentzian peaks. In the present research, the U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ as a lower Debye temperature than calculated from photoemission experiments on similar growth UO₂ and ThO₂. This can be explained either by assuming that the Th/U alloy allows added vibrational modes to the crystal that are accessible at lower temperatures, or that there are additional defect scattering sites in the U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ samples that were not present in those being referenced in the literature samples [16].

5.4 Conclusions

An investigation of the Debye temperature for hydrothermally grown single crystal alloys of U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ has been conducted using photoemission spectroscopy and compared with similarly grown ThO₂ and UO₂. The alloy has a reduced Debye temperature while maintaining 4f peak conformity. This result indicates that heat capacity is favorably raised for the ternary alloy, presenting a potentially added benefit in the use of the alloy as a mixed reactor fuel for either breeding or conversion fuel. The XPS result provides a path for further research as either added vibrational modes are accessed during heating or added defect scattering may occur due to
Th/U substitution. With the quality of the peak structure in these samples, temperature
dependent cathodoluminescence would likely reveal defect states that become activated at
elevated temperature. In either case, this may be a positive new growth method that can lead to
uranium/thorium mixed fuels that maintain structural integrity under typical reactor conditions.
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VI. A Comparison of Modulated Differential Scanning Calorimetry and Debye Temperature Determined Specific Heat Capacities of UO₂, ThO₂, and U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂

This chapter is directly derived from a paper prepared for submission to Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Material Physics. It was modified to be consistent with the dissertation format. The collaborating authors are C. Dugan, D. Felker, J. M. Mann, E. Hunt, J. W. McClory, and J. Petrosky. The journal article is titled, “A Comparison of Modulated Differential Scanning Calorimetry and Debye Temperature Determined Specific Heat Capacities of UO₂, ThO₂, and U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂.”

Typically, the Debye temperature is calculated from heat capacity results. We attempt to validate our novel photoemission-derived Debye temperature methods by computationally deriving the XPS heat capacity. We then compare to a standard heat capacity measurement using modulated differential scanning calorimetry, MDSC.

Abstract

Temperature-dependent specific heat capacities for uranium dioxide, UO₂, thorium dioxide, ThO₂, and, U₀.₇₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ have been determined using a XPS-derived Debye temperature and compared to heat capacities obtained by the modulated-temperature differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC). The measurements cover the temperature ranges from 193 to 673 K. MDSC demonstrates single-crystal, s.c., actinides initially experience a higher heat capacity than amorphous UO₂ fuel pellets. Finally, the XPS derived heat capacities are lower than the MDSC determined heat capacities possibly due to cleaner, less oxidized crystal surface.

6.1 Introduction

Specific heat capacity measurements can provide intuition into the UO₂ crystal surface’s stoichiometry. Specific heat capacity measurements of UO₂, ThO₂, and UₓTh₁₋ₓO₂ have
previously been researched by drop calorimetric experiments and adiabatic shield calorimetry. Drop calorimetry is not well suited for resolving heat capacity behavior [1]. High-temperature heat capacity measurements of UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ have been studied significantly for nuclear fuel applications. Likewise, low temperature (less than 40 K) heat capacity measurements have reported a sharp $\lambda$-type anomaly at 30.8 K indicating a first-order transition from the low-temperature antiferromagnetic state of type I that is associated with an internal distortion of the oxygen sub-lattice to a paramagnetic state [2]. There is a void in published literature for specific heat capacity measurements from 200 to 673 K, especially for hydrothermally grown s.c. actinide oxides.

One future application utilizing UO$_2$ is the fabrication of a UO$_2$ solid-state neutron detector [3]. Such device fabrication would require an extensive knowledge of UO$_2$ surface properties. The variation in UO$_2$’s carrier mobilities are influenced by surface oxidation and roughness. Schottky barrier formation may be possible, but it very much depends on the choice of contact and surface stoichiometry. Ohmic contacts have been favored [4].

Uranium dioxide’s surface structure, reduction or oxidation potential, and chemical reactivity play a significant role in solid-state device fabrication. Material doping and hence device conductivity is dependent on oxygen atom mobility through UO$_2$’s fluorite lattice. An n-type UO$_{2-x}$ and UO$_2$ surface is created as oxygen migrates through lattice vacancies. On a UO$_{2+x}$ surface, interstitial oxygen migration dominates, creating a p-type semiconductor. [5] Argon sputtering of (111) UO$_2$ crystal surface results in reducing U$^{4+}$ cations into U metal atoms or other lower oxidation states potentially creating a hypostoichiometric surface [5]. First principle calculation of UO$_2$ surface chemistry provides a theoretical approach to understanding UO$_2$
surface energy. Experiments have uncovered that water reacts with UO$_2$ surfaces and the amount of reaction is geometrically dependent [6].

6.2. Experiment

Modulated differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC) is a thermal analysis technique measuring the temperature and heat flow associated with thermal transitions in a material. The MDSC experiments were carried out on a TA instruments Model DSC 2500 differential scanning calorimeter at a ramp rate of 1 °C/min. The temperature was modulated at 273.65 K (0.05 °C) for 75 seconds. Temperature calibrations were conducted with indium, tin, lead, and zinc. T-zero calibrations for heat capacity were performed with sapphire standards. Using a liquid nitrogen cooled system, the temperature range was calculated from 193 to 673 K. The sample was enclosed in a recyclable aluminum pan and compared to an empty aluminum reference pan. The sample and reference pans masses were calibrated to within ±0.01 mg. Sample/pan and reference pans were mechanically placed on separate raised platforms and then surrounded by the furnace. As the frequency dependent furnace temperature increased, both sample/pan and reference were heated. Thermocouples recorded the differential temperature recorded between the sample/pan and the reference pan, which provided the differential heat flow. Due to observed data variations, three iterations were measured for each sample. The first measurement for all s.c. samples presented a lower specific heat capacity than the second and third measurement. The second and third measurements were consistent for all actinide oxide samples.

In previous work, XPS spectra were taken with a constant 300-watt x-ray power output from 800 to 650 eV binding energies to analyze the Th $4f_{5/2}$, Th $4f_{7/2}$, U $4f_{5/2}$, and U $4f_{7/2}$ peaks at increasing temperatures. The photoelectrons were collected normal to the sample surface while
the x-ray source was offset from normal at a fixed 45° angle. Temperatures were raised from room temperature allowing the surface to stabilize at the measurement temperature for 30 minutes to minimize mechanical fluctuations and to ensure surface stabilization [7-9].

6.3 Theory

Specific heat is a measure of how thermally insensitive a substance is to the addition of energy. The heat capacity at a constant volume is defined as the change in internal energy as

\[ C_v = \frac{\delta U}{\delta T} \]

where \( U \) is the energy and \( T \) is the temperature. Expanding on Einstein’s theory on particle motion in a solid, Debye recognized there is a maximum number of vibrational modes, \( \nu_D \) available in any system. Assuming three phonon modes associated with \( N \) primitive cells, the total phonon energy would be defined as

\[ U = 9N k_B T \left( \frac{T}{\theta_D} \right)^4 \int_0^{x_D} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} \, dx, \]  

(6.1)

where \( x_D \) is

\[ x_D = \frac{\hbar \omega_D}{k_B T} = \frac{\theta_D}{T} \]  

(6.2)

Therefore, heat capacity with constant volume and constant pressure, \( C_V \) and \( C_P \) is defined respectively as

\[ C_V(T) = 3 n_A R B_3(2, x_D), \]  

(6.3)

\[ C_P(T) = C_V(T) + A_0 [C_V(T)]^2 \frac{T}{T_m}. \]  

(6.4)

In Equation (6.3) and (6.4), \( R \) is the gas constant, \( n_A \) is the number of atoms in a mole, \( A_0 = 5.1 \times 10^{-3} J^{-1} K^{-1} mol \), and \( T_m \) is the melting temperature. \( B_n(\beta, x_D) \) is the n-dimensional second kind Debye integral defined by Equations (6.5) and (6.6) with \( x_D = \theta_D / T \). Debye temperature-dependent specific heat capacity results assume a 1-dimensional second kind Debye integral.
\[ B_n(2, x_D) = \frac{n}{n + 1} x_D[D_{n+1}(2, x_D) + D_{n+1}(\beta, x_D)] \]  
\[ D_n(2, x_D) = \frac{n}{x_D^\beta} \int_0^{x_D} \frac{x^n}{(e^x - 1)^\beta} \, dx \]  
\[ C_p(T) = 3n_A R B_n(2, x_D)\{1 + 3n_A A_0 R \left(\frac{T}{T_m}\right) B_n(2, x_D)\} \]

Expanding the square root in Equation (6.4) and substituting Equations (6.5) and (6.6), the simple structure for heat capacity and thermal expansion can be found with Equation (6.7) [11]. Therefore, the specific heat capacity can be calculated once the Debye temperature is known. A more common method to determine specific heat capacity is MDSC. MDSC depends on the traditional DSC thermally equivalent ohm’s law, \( dQ = dT/R \) where \( dQ \) is the difference in heat flow between the sample and the reference, \( dT \) is the temperature difference measured, and \( R \) is the thermal resistance of the cell [12]. With modulation, a variable heating rate is applied to the sample/pan and the reference pans via the furnace. A periodic-sinusoidal ripple is overlaid on the standard linear temperature ramp. The heating rate, amplitude of modulation, and frequency of modulation heat flow rate are applied in Equation 6.8.

\[ \Phi(T, t) = C_p \beta_o + \Phi^{\text{ex}}(T, t) + C_p T_A \omega \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\delta \Phi^{\text{ex}}(T_u, t)}{\delta T} T_A \sin(\omega t) \]  

In Equation (6.8) \( C_p \) is the heat capacity, \( \beta_o \) is the underlying heating rate, \( \Phi^{\text{ex}}(T, t) \) represents the endothermic or exothermic latent heat exchange, \( T_A \) is the amplitude, \( \omega \) is the modulation frequency, and \( T_u \) represents the mean temperature around fluctuations, \( T_u = T_0 + \beta_o t \) [13].
6.4 Results

As observed, specific heat capacity increases with temperature. In Figure 24 the specific heat capacities of UO$_2$, ThO$_2$, and U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ increase from 300 to 650 K. The measurements in Figure 24 employ previously published XPS-calculated Debye temperatures to provide insight into the surface specific heat capacity of UO$_2$, ThO$_2$, and U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ [7-9]. The calculated heat capacity of ThO$_2$ is mathematically extrapolated from 375 to 650 K for data comparison. The shaded regions represent the error in the plotted heat capacity data due to experimental error from the XPS measurements. For reference, Figure 24 provides empirically calculated values from the Willis’ neutron diffraction study, with calculated Debye temperatures of 377 and 393 K for UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ respectively [14].

Our data provides the Debye temperature-calculated specific heat capacities of UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ at room temperature as 71.85 and 71.85 [J/K mol]. These values are lower than previously published values from Willis, 75.45 and 75.20 [J/K mol], but higher than other published room temperature specific heat capacities of 63.60 and 61.91[J/K mol] for UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ respectively [15-17]. An abrupt shift is observed in the calculated heat capacity for UO$_2$, which occurs at 475 K and is a result of a lattice stiffening transition characterized by dissimilar regions of different Debye temperatures [7]. The U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ crystal also presents the highest temperature dependent heat capacity. This is most likely due to more entropy or lattice strain in the mixed actinide lattice structure. This behavior is governed by progressive excitation of the harmonic lattice vibrations with a smaller contribution from thermal excitations of localized electrons of the U$^{4+}$ and Th$^{4+}$ in the crystal field [13].
Figure 24. Specific heat capacities for ThO$_2$, UO$_2$, and U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ for temperatures ranging from 300 to 673 K. As observed in the left figure, the dashed lines represent specific heat calculated utilizing XPS determined Debye temperatures. The shaded regions represent experimental uncertainties with previously calculated Debye temperatures. The solid lines reference previously published Debye temperatures. As shown in the right figure, the MDSC calculated heat capacity of an amorphous fuel pellet is compared to the specific heat capacity s.c. UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$. Note a later hydrothermal growth, solid line, increases the heat capacity of the s.c. UO$_2$. The solid lines are the first MDSC measurement results. Dashed lines represent measurements 2 and 3 for each sample. The star denotes calculated specific heat capacity values at 300 K derived from UO$_2$ temperature dependent heat content equation at 63.6 [J/K mol] utilizing a drop calorimetry measurements [18].

Our data provides the specific heat capacity of UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ from MDSC as shown in Figure 24. The UO$_2$ fuel pellet heat capacity is the lowest calculated from MDSC measurements but still higher than any UO$_2$ surface heat capacity found in Figure 24. Notice the significantly decreased specific heat capacity for the UO$_2$ fuel pellet for subsequent measurements. The room temperature specific heat capacity decreases from 114.4 to 75.7 [J/K mol]. The sintered fuel pellet may initially present as a fully oxidized fuel pellet of many stoichiometric uranium oxides with a higher entropy due to the abundance of oxygen on the fuel pellet’s surface [17]. The UO$_2$ represented by the solid line is an earlier hydrothermal growth than the higher heat capacity solid black line measurement. Likewise, the specific heat capacities of the ThO$_2$ samples increase with subsequent measurements. At 300 K the ThO$_2$ specific heat capacity increases from 85.8 to 94.0 [J/K mol]. Also, the UO$_2$ specific heat capacity increases from 68.2 to 78.9 [J/K mol] and
The specific heat capacity rise of approximately 8 [J/K mol] could be due to introduction of more oxygen into the UO₂ and ThO₂ due to the oxidation brought forth from heating the samples. Grenthe et al. provides validation to this theory as an increase in the hyperstoichiometry of UO₂ increases its specific heat capacity [17]. Finally, the largest specific heat capacities are produced by uranium hydrates with room temperature heat capacities of approximately 141 [J/K mol], closely resembling the initial specific heat capacity of the fuel pellet UO₂ [17]. The solid blue and black UO₂ specific heat capacity shown in Figure 24 presents a peak at approximately 540 K. Previous research has identified a change in Debye temperature around 475 K suggesting a UO₂⁺ₓ to a UO₂⁺ₓ and U₄O₉−y transition between 473 and 670 K [8]. The black star denotes a specific heat capacity obtained from a polynomial fit of UO₂’s heat content [18].

The MDSC experimentally determined specific heat capacities are significantly higher than the XPS derived heat capacities. One potential reason for the wide range of discrepancy presented in the specific heat capacity measurements could be the stability of the UO₂ and ThO₂ surfaces. The XPS measurements were taken under ultra-high vacuum after the surface was cleaned by argon sputtering. At atmospheric pressure, the UO₂⁺ₓ phase diagram provides evidence of temperature-dependent multiple phase and stoichiometric changes of uranium oxide. The XPS specific heat capacity data was performed on s.c. actinide oxides routinely appears slightly higher than previously measured data. It is feasible this elevated specific heat capacity could more accurately represent pure UO₂ and ThO₂ crystals than formerly researched.

6.5 Conclusion

Variation in previously published results may be attributed to dissimilarities in material structure, bulk versus surface measurements, disparity in crystal quality, and the measurement
environment. It appears the most accurate way to quantify the specific heat capacity of s.c. UO₂ and ThO₂ crystals is in an ultra-high vacuum where there is the capability to remove surface oxidation. Common specific heat capacity measurement techniques such as MDSC alter the specific heat capacity of easily oxidized crystals, such as actinide oxides, during measurement.
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VII. Surface-Depth Profiling of an Oxidized Single-Crystal UO₂ Surface by Angle-Resolved X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy

This chapter is derived from a paper prepared for submission to *Physica Status Solidi Rapid Review Letters*. It was modified to be consistent with the dissertation format. The collaborating authors are C. Dugan, R. Carmona, A. Pelton, A. Peterson, J. Petrosky, J. M. Mann, E. Hunt, and J. W. McClory. The journal article is titled, “Surface-Depth Profiling of an Oxidized Single-Crystal UO₂ Surface by Angle-Resolved X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy.”

The heat capacity and Debye measurements suggest an oxidized UO₂ surface for hydrothermally grown s.c. UO₂. The oxidation depth and surface stoichiometry are made relevant to device fabrication with the Hall and I(V) measurements. This study used angle resolved x-ray photoemission spectroscopy to examine the oxidation states of UO₂ as a function of depth in a roughly polished sample. The technique evolved from understanding the XPS determined Debye temperature spectra, as localized f-shell transitions and satellite peaks provide a better understanding of the surface characteristics. In this paper we relate the hydrothermally grown s.c. UO₂ sample oxidation to uranium metal and UO₂ crystals grown by various other methods.

Abstract

Oxidation of the UO₂ surface has plagued the actinide community’s understanding of uranium dioxide’s surface properties. Here we measure the valence-band edge, O 1s peak, and U 4f orbitals using angular-resolved XPS spectra for a rough-polished, single crystal, hydrothermally grown, UO₂ sample. Satellite peaks have been deconvolved for all spectra, and where possible, compared to literature values. The UO₂ rough polished surface is representative of naturally occurring UO₂ and a comparison is provided with previously published clean
samples. An oxidized surface is confirmed with an increase in the binding energies of the U 4f peaks. Peak deconvolution of the U 4f/2 peak provides evidence of U\textsuperscript{4+} and U\textsuperscript{6+} oxidation states confirming we have not probed to a stoichiometric UO\textsubscript{2} bulk region, likely revealing a selvedge region near the surface with increasing UO\textsubscript{2} at greater depths. Analysis of the U 4f shake-up satellite peaks indicates mixed oxidation states on the UO\textsubscript{2}’s surface. The shifting of the U 4f/2 peak energy and the peak convolution of the O 1s peak affirms the presence of surface water. The presence of U 5f peaks in the valence band spectra provides evidence of the U\textsuperscript{4+} oxidation state, but does not refute the presence of the U\textsuperscript{6+} oxidation state. The electronic band-gap energy of 2.2 eV confirms the presence of the UO\textsubscript{2} structure. Possible explanations for these results are well supported in the literature, as oxidation of the surface of the UO\textsubscript{2} crystal incorporates oxygen into the interstitial sites in the lattice to form a UO\textsubscript{2+x} surface [1-4].

7.1 Introduction

Current and future applications of UO\textsubscript{2} are hampered by its reactive surface. Dry-stored irradiated nuclear fuel, which began as sintered UO\textsubscript{2}, will oxidize to U\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{8} at temperatures ranging from 423 to 443 K [1]. Oxidation of UO\textsubscript{2} consists of two oxidation steps: UO\textsubscript{2} oxidizes to form U\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{7} and U\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{9}, which then oxidizes to form U\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{8}. The UO\textsubscript{2} fluorite structure is ionic and provides an avenue for oxygen to fill lattice vacancies, displacing U and eventually producing U\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{7} and U\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{9}. These oxides have a higher density than UO\textsubscript{2}, and a reduced volume. The second reduction forms U\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{8}, which is 23% less dense than UO\textsubscript{2} and has a 36% volume increase, splitting fuel element sheaths [5]. Understanding how the surface oxidation may be affected by growth and processing is of key importance to development of safer, more stable fuels.
Uranium dioxide’s oxygen potential is a function of stoichiometry and temperature. It has been reported that under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) the UO₂ surface becomes contaminated after a period of about 100 minutes [6]. UO₂ is known to oxidize at room temperature and a U₃O₇ phase is formed when UO₂ is oxidized below 400 K [7]. Previously, XPS studies of uranium oxide surfaces included angle-resolved studies of the UO₂ valence band [8], uranium metal oxidation [9], UO₂ shake-up peaks for the U 4f shell [3], oxidation of uranium oxides via comparison of O 1s and U 4f peaks [6], and the relation of 4f satellite peaks to ionization [11]. The photoelectron escape depth in UO₂ is less than 50 Å [7], making angled-resolved x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (AR-XPS) the preferred technique to surface profile the UO₂ surface and selvedge region. Here we measure the valence-band edge, O 1s peak, and U 4f orbitals photoemission spectra at several angles using XPS for hydrothermally grown s.c. UO₂. Satellite peaks have been deconvolved for all spectra and where possible compared to literature values. The UO₂ surface is representative of naturally occurring UO₂ and a comparison is provided with previously published data.

### 7.2 Experiment

A hydrothermally-grown s.c. UO₂ was mounted on a nonmagnetic stainless steel plate via spot welding of a tantalum wire and degreased using HPLC grade methanol in preparation for AR-XPS. It was analyzed in an Omicron Multiprobe XPS with an Argus 124-mm hemispherical analyzer with a 128 channel detector. An Omicron XM 1000 Mk11 x-ray source produced mono-chromatic Al Kα x-rays at 225 W. The instrument was operated at 3×10⁻⁹ mbar and kept at room temperature. The instrument was operated in constant analyzer energy (CAE) mode with a pass energy of 25 eV and a 0.2 eV step size. The spectra were measured at incident angles of 34, 44, 49, 54, and 64° between the sample plate and the x-ray source. The
spectrometer energy scale was calibrated using copper; the carbon 1s graphitic feature was fixed at 285 eV for calibration.

The spectra were curve fit using CasaXPS with a 70% Gaussian/30% Lorentzian distribution for core electrons and an asymmetric Lorentzian for valence-band edge electrons. Shirley backgrounds were applied to all binding energy spectra. The major regions studied were the valence-band region (15 to 0 eV), the O 1s region (540 to 525 eV), and the U 4f region (410 to 375 eV).

7.3 Results

![AR-XPS spectra of the U 4f shell spectra collected from angles of 34, 44, 49, 54, and 64° between the surface and the x-ray source with 34° representing the most-surface sensitive measurement.](image)

7.3.1 Binding Energies of U 4f Core Level Peaks
Figure 25 presents the AR-XPS core level spectra for s.c. UO$_2$ surface. The most-surface sensitive measurement is 34°, providing the greatest angle between the x-ray gun and the UO$_2$ surface. In Figure 26 the hyperfine splitting of the U 4f orbital is represented by two peaks representing the U $f_{7/2}$ and U $f_{5/2}$ energy levels. The U $f_{7/2}$ peaks are located at 381.7, 381.6, 381.7, 381.6, and 381.5 eV for angles 34, 44, 49.54 and 64° respectively. These binding energies are higher than the reported literature values of [7] and [11] of 380.1, 380.2, and 380.3 eV for UO$_{2.11}$ pellets, UO$_2$, and UO$_2$ in equilibrium with U. Likewise the U $f_{5/2}$ peaks are located at 392.5, 392.5, 392.6, 392.5, and 392.2 eV for angles 34, 44, 49, 54 and 64° respectively. These binding energies are higher than [12] at 390.9 eV, but in agreement with [13], which was a measurement on an oxidized UO$_2$ surface.

These peaks represent the contribution from the binding energies of both U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ oxidation states, and the contributions can be determined by deconvolving the primary sum peaks. In Figure 26(a) and Figure 26(b) we show the peak deconvolution of the U 4f$_{7/2}$ and U 4f$_{5/2}$ peaks at two angles, 34 and 49° indicating two resolvable peaks. Since the U$^{6+}$ is

![Figure 26. The U 4f shell binding energies are peak deconvolved for 34 and 49° respectively. The dotted red lines represent the individual deconvoluted peaks, while the red dashed line is the summation of the peak deconvolution. Also provided are the various shakeup satellite peaks and their energy representation.](image)
expected to have a higher binding energy, the higher energy peak is assumed to be associated with the U$^{6+}$ oxidation state. This assumption is supported by the location of the satellite peaks, presented in the following paragraphs. The specific binding energies found by deconvolution are provided in Table 4.

Table 4. The angle-dependent U 4f$_{7/2}$ and U 4f$_{5/2}$ peak deconvoluted binding energies (eV) for the U 4f$_{7/2}$ and U 4f$_{5/2}$ sum of hydrothermally-grown s.c. UO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>34°</th>
<th>44°</th>
<th>49°</th>
<th>54°</th>
<th>64°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U$^{4+}$</td>
<td>381.1</td>
<td>381.0</td>
<td>381.4</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>4f$_{7/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U$^{6+}$</td>
<td>382.4</td>
<td>382.0</td>
<td>383.1</td>
<td>4f$_{5/2}$</td>
<td>381.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U$^{4+}$</td>
<td>392.2</td>
<td>392.0</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>392.2</td>
<td>392.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U$^{6+}$</td>
<td>392.9</td>
<td>392.8</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>393.7</td>
<td>394.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peak not resolved. Sum peak located at 392.4 eV.

Shown in Figure 26(a) and Figure 26(b) are the presence of shakeup satellite peaks for 34 and 49°, which result from electron absorptions near the valence band. These absorptions reduce the kinetic energy of the emitted photoelectron; this presents as a higher binding energy. These peaks can help identify oxidation states of the uranium atoms.
7.3.2 Binding Energy of O1s.

Figure 27 presents the AR-XPS O 1s spectra for s.c. UO2 surface, with the most surface sensitive measurement being at 34°. In Figure 28, the O 1s sum peaks are located at 533.3, 533.1, 533.6, 533.4, and 533.4 eV for angles 34, 44, 49, 54 and 64° respectively. Allen et al., published the binding energy of the O 1s peaks for heated and cooled UO2, powdered UO2, UO2.1, UO2.2, U4O9, U3O7, and U3O8 at 530.4, 530.4, 530.3, 530.6, 530.2, 530.1, and 530.1 ± 0.2 eV respectively [7].
The O 1s binding energy peaks represent the contributions from water and oxygen adsorption on the UO₂ surface [9], which can be determined through peak deconvolution. Figure 28(a) and Figure 28(b) show the peak deconvolution of the O 1s at two angles indicating three resolvable peaks at 531.0, 531.9, and 533.5 eV for 34° and at 531.0, 533.5, and 534.0 eV for 49°.

In Figure 28(a) and Figure 28(b) the measurements provide an O 1s binding energy peak at 533.5, and 532.0 eV, and 534.0 and 533.5 eV respectively, more towards water contamination. Oxygen adsorption on UO₂ presents the O1s 531.0 eV binding energy peak.

Figure 28. The O 1s binding energies are peak deconvolved for 34 and 49° respectively. The dotted red lines represent the individual deconvoluted peaks, while the red dashed line is the summation of the peak deconvolution. The three O 1s peaks are observed at 531.0, 531.9, and 533.5 eV for the 34° spectrum and are observed at 531.0, 533.5, and 534.0 eV for the 49° spectrum.
7.3.3 Binding Energies of O 2p - Valence-Band Region Transitions

Figure 29. The AR-XPS spectra for the UO₂ valence band edge collected for angles of 34, 44, 49, 54, and 64° between the surface and the x-ray source. An electronic band gap of ~2.2 eV is denoted by red lines.

Figure 29 presents the O 2p and U 5f interband binding energies for angles of 34, 44, 49, 54, and 64°. Using the same description as [18] and [7], we refer to the O 2p band as the valence band, since it represents the lower energy level for optical transitions. From Figure 29 we find the valence band edge provides an energy band-gap of 2.2 eV, in agreement with the literature value of 2.0 ± 0.1 eV for UO₂ [19]. This band gap is attributed to a 5f²→5f⁶6d transition [19].
Figure 33 presents the O $2p$ and U $5f$ binding energies for angles of 34, 44, 49, 54, and 64°. The O $2p$ sum peaks are wide and range from 4.77 to 8.7 eV. They, however, are broad and cannot be attributed to any known transitions. We show the peak deconvolution of the O $2p$ peak at two angles, 34 and 49° indicating two resolvable peaks. The specific binding energies found by deconvolution are provided in Table 5. The U $5f$ binding energy sum peak at 34° is shown to be a result of two peaks at 2.07 and 2.7 eV. These are can be attributed to the spin-orbit coupled U $5f$ orbitals as described in [18] and are also provided in Table 5. These U $5f$ orbitals are indistinguishable in the 49° spectrum, with a sum peak at 2.49 eV.
Table 5. The valence band region angle-dependent O 2p and U 5f peak deconvoluted binding energies [eV] for hydrothermally-grown s.c. UO2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>34°</th>
<th>44°</th>
<th>49°</th>
<th>54°</th>
<th>64°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O 2p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 2</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 5f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 2</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4 Discussion

7.4.1 U 4f Binding Energies and Oxidation States

Table 5 presents the binding energies for the U 4f7/2 and U 4f5/2 at various angles, representing depths of measurements. At all observed angles (except at 49° where the U 4f5/2 could not be deconvolved), both U4+ and U6+ oxidation states are present. Therefore we conclude a mixture of UO2 and UO3 present for all depths measured. Nornes et al. reported an oxidized uranium metal surface with a U 4f7/2 peak at 380.6 eV, while water condensation has a U 4f7/2 peak at 381.8 eV [9]. Additionally, Allen et al. reported binding energies of 380.6 and 391.6 eV for the U 4f7/2 and U 4f5/2 respectively for heated stoichiometric UO2 being exposed to partial pressures of oxygen [10]. Our binding energy measurements are consistent with oxidized UO2, which have higher binding energies than stoichiometric UO2 [10].

The binding energies of the U 4f peaks are altered by the addition of oxygen disrupting the crystalline structure. The addition of oxygen to UO2 disturbs the uranium fluorite sub-lattice producing UO2.1 and UO2.2 [11]. According to [11], the addition of more oxygen creates a mixture of UO(2+x) and U4O(9-y) increasing the crystal’s oxidation state, decreasing ionic size, and decreasing the lattice constants, thus shifting the U 4f peaks to a higher binding energy as the oxidation state increases [11]. At UO2.25, the oxygen atoms become ordered over a long range to
form $\text{U}_4\text{O}_9$. With more oxidation, $\text{U}_3\text{O}_7$ and $\text{U}_3\text{O}_8$ are formed as more oxygen is added and the binding energies increase [10].

The contributions of the oxidation states provides an indication of the stoichiometry of the surface as a function of depth, via the relative counts under each energy peak. A comparison of the peak areas as a percentage of the total sum peaks is provided in Table 6.

Table 6. Theoretically computed atomic percent ratios for the surface depth profiling of hydrothermally-grown s.c. $\text{UO}_2$ by a comparison of the $\text{U} 4f_{7/2}$ and $\text{U} 4f_{5/2}$ binding energies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UO$_2$ oxidation states surface-depth profiling</th>
<th>$34^\circ$</th>
<th>$44^\circ$</th>
<th>$49^\circ$</th>
<th>$54^\circ$</th>
<th>$64^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{U} 4f_{7/2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{U}^{4+}$</td>
<td>53 $\pm$ 6%</td>
<td>31 $\pm$ 3%</td>
<td>44$\pm$ 6%</td>
<td>77$\pm$ 10%</td>
<td>91$\pm$ 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{U}^{6+}$</td>
<td>47 $\pm$ 5%</td>
<td>69 $\pm$ 6%</td>
<td>56 $\pm$ 7%</td>
<td>23 $\pm$ 4%</td>
<td>9 $\pm$ 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{U} 4f_{5/2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{U}^{4+}$</td>
<td>53 $\pm$ 7%</td>
<td>31 $\pm$ 4%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>77 $\pm$ 12%</td>
<td>91 $\pm$ 26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{U}^{6+}$</td>
<td>47 $\pm$ 6%</td>
<td>69 $\pm$ 6%</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>33 $\pm$ 5%</td>
<td>9 $\pm$ 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Could not be resolved due to low counting statistics.

In uranyl compounds, the chemical shifts of the ionization energies are due to Madelung potentials, varying fractional atomic charges, and mostly a large contribution from the relaxation of the electronic densities of the other orbitals [14]. Consequently, the chemical shifts in the $\text{U} 4f_{7/2}$ and $\text{U} 4f_{5/2}$ peaks are directly related to the oxidation state of uranium. The $\text{UO}_2$ binding energy decreases as the oxidation state is decreased from $6^+$ to $4^+$ [15]. The theoretically computed atomic ratios provide a mixture of both $\text{U}^{6+}$ and $\text{U}^{4+}$ oxide states inferring the photoelectrons, with an escape depth in $\text{UO}_2$ of less 50Å, have not penetrated to the $\text{UO}_2$ bulk. However, agreeing with theory, there is an increase in the $+4$ oxidation state of uranium as the photoelectrons penetrate deeper into the selvedge region as shown from 44 to $64^\circ$.

The higher $\text{U}^{4+}$ concentration at the surface is likely an artifact of our experimental technique that uses an ultra-high vacuum system and thereby removes oxygen from the $\text{UO}_2$ surface. Therefore the surface sensitive measurements are oxygen reduced.
Another method of determining a UO$_2$ crystal’s oxidation state, is to compare the binding energy of the U 4f shake-up satellite peak to its sum peak. UO$_2$ shake-up satellite peaks arise from the excitation of an electron from the O 2$p$-U bonding level to the partially occupied or unoccupied localized 5$f$ levels during the U 4$f$ core ionization. Reorganization of the metal U 5$f$ electron level, such as with mixed valence or interstitial oxides, changes the number, shape, intensity and position of the U 4$f$ satellites [12]. Figure 26 presents the U $f_{7/2}$ shakeup satellite peaks at 387.8 and 382.5 eV for 34 and 49° respectively. In Figure 26(a) the 34° U $f_{5/2}$ shake-up satellite peaks are present at 401.7, 405.9, and 407.8 eV, higher in binding energy than the sum U $f_{5/2}$ peak. In Figure 26(b), the 49° U $f_{5/2}$ satellite peak is shown at 401.36 eV higher in binding energy than the sum U $f_{5/2}$ peak and are unobservable at higher emission angles with less intense spectra.

According to [16] the satellite peak shift location is based upon the uranium oxidation state, which we do not know a priori. According to Nornes et al., the U $f_{7/2}$ has binding energies of 380.8 and 382.4 eV for the U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ respectively. Again in [11] the U $f_{5/2}$ binding energies of approximately 390.0 and 392.6 eV for the U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$. Figure 26(a) and Figure 26(b) present the U $f_{7/2}$ binding energies of at 380.2 and 382.4 eV for the U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ respectively. Figure 26(a) and Figure 26(b) present the U $f_{5/2}$ binding energies at 380.2 and 382.4 eV for the U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ respectively. We compare the binding energy of our shake-up satellite peaks to binding energies for the U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ oxidation states in [16] for both U $f_{7/2}$ and U $f_{5/2}$ energy peaks. At 34°, the U $f_{7/2}$ satellite peak at 387.82 eV is consistent with [16] for UO$_2$. At 34°, the U $f_{5/2}$ satellite peak at 387.82 eV is consistent with [16] for UO$_{2+x}$, and resembles measurements between UO$_{2.68}$ and UO$_{3.0}$. At 49°, the U $f_{7/2}$ satellite peak at 382.84 eV is 2.01 eV from the 4+ oxidation state peak and 0.44 eV from the 6+ oxidation state.
peak and inconclusive due to few peak deconvolutions of the same satellite peak in [16]. Again, at 49°, the U 4f5/2 satellite peak at 399.62 eV is 8.72 eV from the 4+ oxidation state peak and 7.02 eV from the 6+ oxidation state peak, and consistent with [16] for UO2.68. At 34 and 49°, the satellite peaks confirm the mixed oxidation states presented in Table 4. Still, the largest challenge in utilizing the shake-up satellite peaks to confirm the UO2 oxidation state is utilizing the correct U 4f binding energies for stoichiometric UO2. Allen et al. presents U 4f7/2 binding energies for UO2.0 ranging from 380.0 to 380.3 eV, while the U 4f7/2 binding energies for UO2.1 presents a binding energy of 381.6 eV [10].

7.4.2 Binding Energy of O 1s.

Without fine splitting or multiple oxidation states, the O 1s spectra should present a single-binding energy peak. Multiple oxygen peaks reveal water or oxygen on the surface. In comparison, Norne et al. studied water adsorption on elemental uranium, and identify three O 1s photoelectron peaks for water adsorbed on uranium in addition to the peak energy for the adsorption of oxygen. The presence of O 1s peaks at 535.8, 533.5, and 532.0 eV are associated with H2O adsorption on uranium with the 535.8 peak not appearing above 200 K. [9] A binding energy peak of 531.4 eV is associated with oxygen adsorption.

Figure 32(a) and Figure 32(b) provide a 3-peak deconvolution of the O 1s peak for both 34 and 49°. At 34 and 49°, the normalized oxygen fraction (%) on the surface is 8% and 3% respectively, indication a low and decreasing surface oxygen concentration. In [9], a peak of 533.5 eV is representative of oxygen in an OH complex, or surface water. Again, at 34 and 49°, the normalized percent water fraction on the surface is 79% and 22% respectively indicating a decreasing surface water concentration. Therefore, there could be the presence of a uranium
hydrate on the surface, but more likely it would be a UO₂ hydrate since the published O 1s sum peak binding energies for UO₂ to UO₃ range from 530.4 to 528.9 eV [7], and our sum peak values are shown in Figure 27 at 533.3, 533.1, 533.6, 533.4, and 533.4 eV for angles 34, 44, 49, 54 and 64° respectively.

7.4.3 Valence Band Region

The slope of the O 2p band provides the UO₂ band gap and an insight into the UO₂ crystal oxidation state. According to Figure 29, the band gap of the UO₂ crystal at multiple angles is 2.2 eV, whereas the stoichiometric UO₂ band gap is 2.0 eV. As the measurement probes further into the selvedge region a small shift in band gap is another verification of the mixed oxide crystal structure and proof we have not penetrated the selvedge region to UO₂.

In addition to providing the electronic band gap of UO₂, the valence band region also informs the UO₂ oxidation state. UO₂ is a known Mott insulator with a U 5f interband. All spectra in Figure 29 and Figure 33 verify the presence of U 5f electrons at 2.5 eV. At 34° the higher concentration of UO₂, as shown in Table 4, and the high intensity peak resolution are present with both the U 5f₇/₂ and U 5f₅/₂ peaks. At 49° only one U 5f peak is present as the concentration of the U⁶⁺ oxidation state is greater than the concentration of the U⁴⁺ oxidation state, as shown in Table 4.

Oxidation states can be determined by the intensity of the U 5f interband with stoichiometric ratios dependent on the oxygen-to-uranium ratio. With stoichiometric UO₂, the two 5f electrons are localized on the U center with a high photoexcitation cross-section for Al Kα radiation. The localized 5f electrons are lost from the center U into bonding or antibonding orbitals for interstitial oxides from UO₂₊ₓ to UO₃ [12]. Since the O 2p-U bonding does not
change for the different U/O ratios, the 5f electrons have a minimal contribution to covalent bonding and the 5f character is lost when these electrons are transferred into covalent bonding states [12]. Hence, the presence of U 5f peaks in the valence band spectra provides evidence of the U4+ oxidation state but does not refute the presence of the U6+ oxidation state.

Published values for the binding energy of the UO2 5f electrons are 1.5 eV [20]. The U 5f emission cross-section is strongly energy-dependent. Arko et al. present angle-resolved XPS of the UO2 valence band edge and illustrate the energy dependence of the U 5f binding energy. The U 5f binding energy increases as the incident photon energy increases from 14 to 30 eV [20]. The U 5f electrons presented in Figure 33 are shifted higher in binding energy since the incident energy was two-fold greater at 1486.6 eV.

7.5 Conclusion

An investigation of a rough polished hydrothermally grown s.c.UO2 surface has been conducted using AR-XPS and compared with oxidized uranium metal, UO2, and other oxides. An oxidized UO2 surface is confirmed with an increase in the binding energies of the U 4f peaks. Peak deconvolution of the U 4f7/2 peak provides evidence of U4+ and U6+ oxidation states. An increase in the concentration of the U4+ oxidation state confirmed we have not probed through the UO2 surface and selvedge regions to stoichiometric UO2. Analysis of the U 4f shake-up satellite peaks indicates a smaller oxygen concentration on the UO2 surface likely due to surface oxygen reduction during latent time at ultra-high vacuum. The shifting of the U 4f7/2 peak energy and the peak convolution of the O 1s peak reaffirms the presence of surface water, which decreases with depth, as expected. The presence of U 5f peaks in the valence band spectra provides evidence of the U4+ oxidation state but does not refute the presence of the U6+ oxidation state. The electronic band-gap energy of 2.2 eV confirms the presence of UO2. Possible
explanations to our AR-PES data are a new oxide growth on the surface of the UO₂ crystal or excess oxygen incorporated into the interstitial sites in the lattice to form a UO₂⁺ₓ surface. Even with the presence of surface H₂O and O₂, the UO₂ surface and selvedge region of the UO₂ still retains the primary band gap for stoichiometric UO₂.
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The AR-XPS confirmed the presence of both UO$_2$ and UO$_3$ on the crystal’s surface with a higher concentration of UO$_2$ deeper into the crystal. Ellipsometry measurements were taken to confirm the UO$_2$ crystal quality. A comparison can be made with DFT calculations, which was accomplished by my collaborators.

Cathodoluminescence spectroscopy verified the energy for both the DFT and ellipsometric calculations merging experimental technique and theoretical calculations, producing a verified dielectric function.

8.1 Introduction

The electronic properties of actinide dioxides, from UO$_2$ to AmO$_2$, must include the localized 5f electronic states whose strong on-site Coulombic repulsion interaction is often severely underestimated in density functional theory (DFT) calculations [1-6]. An accurate quantitative description of the optical spectra requires theory beyond the independent particle picture, yet qualitative agreement between theory and experiment can often be obtained at the level of DFT [7]. While the optical adsorption and reflectance spectra of semiconductors have
been studied for several decades, similar work on actinide oxides has been hampered by the absence of high quality single crystals [8, 9].

Experimentally, Schoenes studied the incident light reflectivity from UO₂ single crystals in the photon energy range of 0.03–13 eV from which the complex dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ has been derived [10]. This effort to extract the complex dielectric function has been repeated more recently by Siekhaus and J. Crowhurst [11] as well as Dugan and coworkers [12], which resulted in significant shifts when compared to reflectivity measurements as determined by Schoenes [10]. Reflection from UO₂ thin films by optical transmission has also been measured [13], while the optical properties for both ThO₂ and UO₂ have been previously calculated [14] using the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE) functional. The challenges of growing single-crystal UO₂ have been addressed with the fabrication of high-quality, single crystal actinide oxide samples using a hydrothermal synthesis growth technique [12, 14, 15]. This growth process has produced bulk single crystals of near-stoichiometric UO₂ [12, 16-18] and ThO₂ [15, 16, 19]. These improved single crystals open the door to a much better assessment of the optical and semiconductor properties, not previously possible.

8.2 Experiment

Single crystals of ThO₂ and UO₂ were grown by hydrothermal synthesis [12, 15, 18-19]. The ThO₂ single crystals were prepared by the hydrothermal technique in a supercritical cesium fluoride mineralizer solution. Due to the corrosive nature of the mineralizer solution, the reaction was performed in a sealed silver ampoule (Stern Leach, 99.99%) with an inner diameter of 3/8 in and an overall length of 8 in. Thorium oxide (Strem, 99.99%) powder weighing 1.3 g and 4 mL of 6 M CsF (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%) were added to the silver ampoule, which was then welded shut. The ampoule was placed in a 27 mL inconel autoclave with excess water added to the remaining
volume of the vessel. This excess water acts as counter pressure to prevent the ampoule from rupturing during the growth cycle. Once the autoclave was sealed, two band heaters were applied with a top and bottom temperature of 963 and 1023 K respectively. The result is 20 kpsi of pressure on the ampoule. The applied conditions were sufficient to spontaneously nucleate single crystals of ThO₂ from a supersaturated mineralizer solution. Crystal formation occurred over an 11 day growth period, at which time the autoclave was allowed to cool to room temperature. Further growth information is detailed in [20].

The UO₂ crystals were grown using a nutrient/feedstock of high-purity, depleted uranium dioxide powder (99.998% UO₂, International Bioanalytical Laboratories, Lot# B206093). A 6M cesium fluoride mineralizer solution (99.9% CsF, Alfa Aesar, Lot# S25A038) was utilized to aid dissolution of the nutrient and transport it to the colder crystallization zone of the reaction vessel. The temperatures of the feedstock and crystallization zones were held at 923 and 873 K, respectively for 45 days at a pressure of 25 kpsi. The feedstock, mineralizer and seed crystals were loaded into an inert sealed silver ampule (99.95% Ag, Refining Systems, Inc.) and then welded shut. CaF₂ seeds with (111) orientation provided a template for oriented growth of 0.10 mm UO₂ on the substrate. Orientation and crystalline structure was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The UO₂ crystals grown under these conditions have measured lattice parameters of 5.4703 ± 0.0006 Å indicating a stoichiometry near UO₂.003 [21, 22].

The ellipsometric data was collected in the spectral range 0.75-6.4 eV with a dual rotating compensator ellipsometer (RC2, J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.) and from 5-9.4 eV with a nitrogen purged rotating analyzer ellipsometer (VUV, J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.). Measurements were taken at 5, 55, 65, and 75° angles of incidence. Data was analyzed using WVASE32 (J.A. Woollam Co., Inc.).
The cathodoluminescence system uses a Kimball Physics EMG-12 electron gun powered by an EGPS-12 power supply, a vacuum system, a sample chamber with suitable optical ports, an optical system, a spectrometer, and a photomultiplier detector. The liquid nitrogen-cooled UO₂ was placed at the focal point of the electron gun and the resulting cathodoluminescence signal from the sample was transmitted through the quartz window of the vacuum chamber, focused onto the entrance slit of the monochromator and then to the photomultiplier. The electron beam was incident at an angle of approximately 45° with 10 keV energy.

8.3 Theory

For this spectroscopic ellipsometric investigation, an isotropic analysis is performed with a substrate-ambient boundary condition approximation where the single crystalline actinides correspond to half-infinite substrates. Nanometer scale finite roughness effects must be accounted for when investigating polished single crystals. Here we utilize an effective medium approximation (EMA) to render the effects of a layer with thickness much smaller than the wavelengths used in the analysis procedure [23]. In this work we calculated this effective medium approximation layer by averaging the optical properties of the actinide layer with void assuming a 50:50 ratio. A wavelength-by-wavelength regression analysis is utilized where the only unknown parameters are the real and imaginary parts of the substrate dielectric function.

Critical point (CP) features, observed in the dielectric function spectra caused by transitions in the electronic band-to-band structure, can be conveniently modeled using Gaussian line shapes with a Kramers-Kronig transformation [24]. Electronic band-to-band transitions cause critical point (CP) features in the dielectric function spectra. The imaginary part, \( \varepsilon_2 \), can be modeled conveniently using Gaussian functions as:
\[
\varepsilon_2(E) = A \left( e^{-\frac{(E-E_n)^2}{\sigma}} - e^{-\frac{(E+E_n)^2}{\sigma}} \right)
\]  
\[\sigma = \frac{B_r}{2\sqrt{\ln(2)}}\]

with best-match parameters of amplitude \(A\), center energy \(E_n\), and broadening \(B_r\) [24]. The real part, \(\varepsilon_1\), is obtained from Kramers-Kronig transformation such that

\[
\varepsilon_1(E) = \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi \varepsilon_2(\xi)}{\xi^2 - E^2} d\xi,
\]

where \(P\) is the principal value of the integral and \(\omega\) is the angular frequency.

All the electronic band structure calculations were performed within the framework of spin-polarized plane-wave density functional theory (PW-DFT), implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). [25, 26] The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional and projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials were used [27-29]. An energy cutoff of 600 eV was adopted for the plane-wave expansion of the electronic wave function. Geometry structures are relaxed until the force on each atom is less than 0.01 eV/Å and the energy convergence criteria of \(10^{-7}\) eV are met. Once the optimized structures were achieved, the hybrid functional, in the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof (HSE06) [30] form, was used to determine more accurate band gaps. The imaginary part of the frequency-dependent dielectric matrix was calculated based on the HSE06 ground states of ThO\(_2\) by using the random phase approximation (RPA) [30, 31].

8.4 Results

8.4.1 The complex dielectric function of ThO\(_2\) and UO\(_2\):

Figure 34 has the real \((\varepsilon_1(\omega))\) and imaginary \((\varepsilon_2(\omega))\) parts of the dielectric function for single crystalline ThO\(_2\), extracted from the VASE by the wavelength-by-wavelength analysis approach, with critical point analysis. Again, the individual component oscillators are provided
in the imaginary part by the vertical lines correspond to the center energies of each. Oscillator parameters, determined during the critical point analysis are given in Table 7. The VASE measurements made at several azimuthal orientations tends to verify that the sample is isotropic. There was no significant evidence of anisotropy in the VASE data. The extinction of the imaginary dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, part of the dielectric function is gradual, thereby suggesting the ThO$_2$ crystal contains some heterogeneous defects. But as with VASE obtained for UO$_2$, shown in Figure 35, the extinction goes to zero at the lowest photon energies and is indicative of a superior crystal with few defects.

Figure 31. The real and imaginary parts of the ThO$_2$ dielectric function, extracted from the variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry by wavelength-by-wavelength analysis (green and blue circles, respectively), shown in comparison with the critical point model dielectric function (red solid). Individual contributions to the imaginary part of the dielectric function obtained with Gaussian oscillators are shown with vertical lines corresponding to their center energies (CP$_1$-magenta, CP$_2$-dark cyan, CP$_3$-violet, CP$_4$-dark yellow, CP$_5$-orange, as well as another contribution outside the investigated spectral range to account for higher energy transitions shown as navy).
Table 7. Critical point parameters determined from the VASE model dielectric function analysis, amplitude (A), energy (E) and broadening (Br) for ThO$_2$ in comparison with band-to-band transition energies determined from DFT calculation. Digits in parenthesis indicate 90% confidence from model analysis. A higher energy transition outside the investigated range is also used to account for higher energy transitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>VASE Critical point transitions</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A (eV)</td>
<td>$^2$E (eV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP$_1$</td>
<td>0.07(2)</td>
<td>5.4(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP$_2$</td>
<td>0.6(0)</td>
<td>6.3(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP$_3$</td>
<td>2.2(6)</td>
<td>7.6(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP$_4$</td>
<td>1.1(8)</td>
<td>8.0(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP$_5$</td>
<td>2.1(7)</td>
<td>8.9(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Experimentally determined energy values
2. HSE theoretically determined energy values.

Figure 35 displays the real ($\varepsilon_1(\omega)$) and imaginary ($\varepsilon_2(\omega)$) parts of the dielectric function of the single crystal UO$_2$, also extracted from VASE by the wavelength-by-wavelength analysis approach, with critical points analysis. Individual contributions, corresponding to electronic interband transitions are shown in the imaginary part ($\varepsilon_2(\omega)$) of the dielectric function, with vertical lines corresponding to the spectra weight center of the transition. The critical point values for the single crystalline UO$_2$ are given in Table 7. The experimentally determined band gap of 2.1 eV found here, from VASE, is consistent with the theoretically predicted band gap of 2.19 [12], 2 [32], and 2.03 eV [3] as well as prior experimental measurements [10]. Density function theory on the other hand is notorious for under estimating the band gap, and in the case of UO$_2$, the estimated band gaps typically range from 1.3 [34] to 1.9 eV [35, 36], although unlike [12], many HSE calculations come out with a much larger band gap than expected ranging from 2.39 [37] to 2.76 eV [38]. For ThO$_2$, DFT has produced band gaps as low as 3.3 [39] and 4.7 eV [40].
Figure 32. The real and imaginary parts of the UO$_2$ dielectric function extracted from the variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry by wavelength-by-wavelength analysis (green and blue circles, respectively) shown in comparison with the critical point model dielectric function (red solid). Individual contributions to the imaginary part of the dielectric function obtained with Gaussian oscillators are shown with vertical lines corresponding to their center energies (CP$_1$-magenta, CP$_2$-dark cyan, CP$_3$-violet, CP$_4$-dark yellow, CP$_5$-orange, as well as another contribution outside the investigated spectral range to account for higher energy transitions shown as navy).

Figure 33 displays the cathodoluminescence, collected at 10 keV, for the single crystal UO$_2$. The values given in Table 8 generally agree with the optical transition values extracted from VASE. The experimentally determined band gap of 2.37 eV, from cathodoluminescence, is also consistent with the theoretically predicted band gap of 2.19 eV [12]. This value of 2.37 eV is larger than found by VASE (Table 7). Since there is no initial state photo-hole, the luminescence corresponding to transition from the lowest unoccupied state to highest occupied state is expected to result in a larger measured band gap using CL versus VASE. The very low luminescence for
the transitions corresponding to the band gap is the result of it being a selection rule forbidden transition, as will be discussed later.

Figure 33. The optical transitions for single crystal UO₂, measured from CL. The incident electron energy is 10 keV to avoid surface effects. The weaker transitions are indicated by arrows, and the transition corresponding the lowest unoccupied state to highest occupied state transition is shown in the inset.
Table 8. Critical point analysis parameters of amplitude (A), energy (E) and broadening (Br) for UO₂, obtained from VASE. Digits in parenthesis indicate 90% confidence from model analysis. A higher energy transition outside the investigated range is also used to account for higher energy transitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>VASE Critical point transitions</th>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>3CL</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A (eV)</td>
<td>E (eV)</td>
<td>Br (eV)</td>
<td>2HSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₁</td>
<td>0.4(0)</td>
<td>2.1(1)</td>
<td>1.1(1)</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₂</td>
<td>0.8(8)</td>
<td>2.6(6)</td>
<td>0.8(0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₃</td>
<td>4.5(9)</td>
<td>5.0(8)</td>
<td>1.9(3)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₄</td>
<td>0.3(9)</td>
<td>6.3(9)</td>
<td>0.8(1)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP₅</td>
<td>2.0(4)</td>
<td>6.9(6)</td>
<td>2.2(1)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Experimentally determined energy values from VASE
²HSE theoretically determined energy values.
³Experimentally determined energy values from CL

8.4.2 Interband transitions of ThO₂ and UO₂

When the DFT+U method was used, with a choice of \(U = 2\) eV for \(f\)-states of Th atoms to provide the necessary correction for the on-site Coulomb interactions, the calculated band gap is 5.04 eV. Using the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof functional, to estimate a more accurate band gap, our calculation revealed that the ground states of bulk ThO₂ are nonmagnetic, with a band gap of 6.12 eV, as shown in Figure 34. This is close to the 6.21 eV previously calculated [30]. However, it has been shown that the Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof band structure calculation, with spin-orbit coupling turned on, provides a band gap of 5.8 eV [30], which is closer to the experimental value of 5.75 eV [31] and our value of 5.4 eV measured from variable angle ellipsometry. The Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof functional does, however, differ significantly from the calculated band structure obtained using Perdew, Burke, Ernzerhof (PBE) and the band structures previously reported [30, 32, 39].
These band gap calculations do not represent the signature of surface effects. Density functional theory slab calculations indicates that ThO$_2$ (001), with a Th atom termination, is not a spin polarized semiconductor, and in this approach, we are left with the suggestion that the band gap is small, of order 0.28 eV. ThO$_2$ (100), with an O atom, termination ferromagnetic metal with the magnetic moments of the supercell 4.0 $\mu_B$. Basically, by including the surface, the ThO$_2$ (001) band structure is characteristic of a semimetal. The semimetal band gap is an indirect gap.
of about 2.7 eV, and in any case, the predicted semimetal behavior of the surface is not what has been measured here.

The Perdew, Burke, Ernzerhof (PBE) calculated ThO$_2$ band structure, with a correlation U, as in Figure 35, is qualitatively similar to that calculated through B3LYP functional [32] and the relativistic linear augmented-plane-wave (RLAPW) calculation of [39], for the valence band but differs significantly from this previously calculated band structure on the conduction band side. One difference with the calculated band structure of [32, 39] in that the calculation of Figure 35 has the conduction band minimum at the L point of the Brillouin zone, as is shown in [32]. For the pure ThO$_2$ bulk, the band gap is 5.04 eV in the PBE+U functional, consistent with other theories published to date [41, 42, 45, 46, and 47]. A similar band gap was obtained with PBE+U in the density mixing scheme and the EDFT scheme. These are the band structures within the same PBE functional plus a correlation energy U from different convergence schemes and should converge to the same ground states. While the band structure is very similar, one makes ThO$_2$ more p-type, the other more n-type. A key point that comes from comparing the band structure from different functionals is that the resulting calculated band structures do differ.
The calculated bulk dielectric function is shown in Figure 36. Absorption and the optical response will occur for any symmetry and selection rule allowed transition at any place in the Brillouin zone, not just $\Gamma$, so long as the transition itself has little or no momentum exchange, i.e. is a $\Delta q=0$ transition. Basically, the low lying critical points found in experiment (Figure 32) are qualitatively reproduced in theory, though shifted to higher energies in the HSE theory, as summarized in Table 7. For PBE, the agreement is more in line with experiment, but also qualitatively similar to HSE. This means that the strongest contributions to the optical properties for ThO$_2$ are the p-d transitions in the region of 5-8 eV. This places the low lying critical points for ThO$_2$ at 5.5 eV (the $\Delta q=0$ [p-d; e symmetry] transition in the region of W-L, and the $\Delta q=0$ [p-d; $a_1$ symmetry] transition in the region of gamma) and at 6.8 eV (the combined $q=0$ transitions [p-d; e symmetry] in the region of W and K.)
Five critical points are observed in the investigated spectra for both of the actinides oxides, UO$_2$ (Table 8) and ThO$_2$ (Table 7). The two actinides have a very similar oscillator
pattern that appears to be compressed and shifted to higher energy for the ThO$_2$ for the features below 10 eV. For example, a fairly small amplitude oscillator is used to describe the lowest energy critical point and a relatively large amplitude oscillator is needed to render the optical response of the energetic third critical point in both cases. Also, the critical points observed in UO$_2$ are broader than their counterparts in ThO$_2$. These trends are also seen in the calculated real and imaginary parts of the dielectric function for ThO$_2$ (Figure 34) and UO$_2$ (Figure 32). For the features at roughly 10, 12, 14 and 15 eV, thoria (ThO$_2$) and urania (UO$_2$) resemble each other as noted in [42]. The calculated optical properties of Figure 34 and Figure 32 differ, but it should be noted that there is now a wealth of information on UO$_2$, as summarized in Table 8, and the transition energies in variable angle ellipsometry measured here, agree with the cathodoluminescence and theory. This means that the transitions can be assigned, based on the theory.

The fact that the cathodoluminescence features are generally very weak, especially for the luminescence corresponding to the lowest unoccupied state to highest occupied state transition, for single crystal UO$_2$, is because this transition is a selection rule forbidden transition. A $U5f \rightarrow U5f$ transition does not obey the required $\Delta l = \pm 1$ for an optical transition. $U6d/5f \rightarrow O2p$ luminescence transitions are also expected to be weak as they are extra-atomic transitions, as is observed here. The strongest luminescence feature is the on-site, selection rule allowed $U6d \rightarrow U5f$ transition, as seen in Figure 33. These transition assignments are consistent not only with theory [10], but also combined XPS and inverse XPS studies of UO$_2$ [43]. This, in turn, implies that UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ are indeed strongly correlated systems with correlation energies in the region of 6 eV for ThO$_2$ [44] and 4-5 eV for UO$_2$ [32, 33].
8.5 Conclusions

There is a consistent picture of the electronic structure, from experiment, that is not shared among the many theoretical band structure calculations. As noted elsewhere [45], when it comes to theory, the final arbiter of successful theoretical band structure calculations appears to be agreement with the experimental results for the actinide oxides. As sample quality improves, the variation between experiments is increasingly diminished, although the role of screening appears to have a profound effect on experiment. Surface effects, not discussed here, are expected to be a persistent problem and caution is needed in interpreting experimental results with a strong surface contribution.
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IX. Conclusions

9.1 Summary of Findings

This study applied a broad set of techniques to examine the characteristics of a recent material growth development for ThO$_2$ and UO$_2$, hydrothermal synthesis. The techniques applied in this research have led to a better understanding of the surface characteristics of ThO$_2$ and UO$_2$ grown by this method, and provide some insight into the characteristics of this material compared to those previously reported in literature. Particularly in the case of UO$_2$, the evidence shows that although the growth technique is unique, it does not yet avoid some of the problems associated with UO$_2$ in the specific applications for a majority of this research; a novel UO$_2$ based detector. Specifically, the UO$_2$ surface and selvedge region suffer from progressive oxidation and result in an unstable character where material parameters, such as band gap, and mobility can be uncertain and possibly changing, primarily based upon the XPS studies. There is, however, evidence that this growth method produces high quality samples, as shown by the extinction coefficient of ellipsometry and the relatively high carrier mobility. Additionally, there is evidence that appropriate contacts provide access to the stable regions of the structure and possibly the bulk, which may allow for bulk characterization to begin despite surface changes. Lastly, this growth process has produced large bulk crystals, which provide large nearly-homogenous regions upon which to conduct experiments. It is this last fact that allowed for the progress of this work and assessment of the optical and semiconductor properties, that was not previously possible.

With the development of s.c. UO$_2$, the suitability of UO$_2$ as a neutron detection material was examined via semiconductor and material physics. Initial measurement of the mobility for hydrothermally grown s.c. UO$_2$ provided insight into the sample quality, as it was in the higher
range of reported values with little surface treatment. The consistency of the bulk d.c. I(V) measurements reported here provides evidence that measured Hall currents are reaching below the surface layer, which is known to oxidize and restructure. The measured mobility and conductivity are highly favorable for UO₂ being selected as the working semiconductor for a solid-state neutron detection device. As with many novel materials, we also have demonstrated some of the behaviors that must be considered when working with UO₂ that are not observed with more traditional materials.

Another study of a layered s.c. UO₂, CaF₂, UO₂ crystal with Ag paint and E-GaIn contacts provided insight into the semiconductor and optical properties of UO₂. Ellipsometry measurements indicate UO₂ is relatively isotropic with a band gap of approximately 2.0 eV, consistent with theoretical expectations. The very long drift carrier lifetimes, obtained from current-voltage I(V) and capacitance-voltage C(V) measurements, along with the well-defined optical properties provide little evidence of an abundance of material defects away from the surface region. Schottky barrier formation may be possible, but very much dependent on the choice of contact and surface stoichiometry and we find that ohmic contacts are in fact favored.

Surface passivation for device fabrication and reactor applications were explored more carefully by incorporating a small atomic percentage of ThO₂, with an approximately 6% lattice mismatch, into the UO₂ crystal during growth. The Debye temperature for hydrothermally grown s.c. alloys of U₀.₇₁₇₁₉₉₂₉₂₉O₂ was investigated using XPS and compared with similarly grown ThO₂ and UO₂. The alloy has a reduced Debye temperature while maintaining 4f peak conformity. This result indicates that heat capacity is favorably raised for the ternary alloy, presenting a potentially added benefit in the use of the alloy as a mixed reactor fuel for either breeding or conversion fuel. The XPS result, although not conclusive, indicates that Th/U
substitution in the fluorite structure does not present additional defect damage, but instead provides for multiple vibrational modes to be accessed during heating. If so, this may be a positive new growth method that can lead to uranium/thorium mixed fuels that maintain structural integrity under typical reactor conditions.

Next, temperature dependent specific heat capacities for uranium dioxide, UO$_2$, thorium dioxide, ThO$_2$, and, U$_{0.71}$Th$_{0.29}$O$_2$ have been calculated using an XPS determined Debye temperature and compared to heat capacities obtained by MDSC. Uranium dioxide’s surface structure, reduction or oxidation potential, and chemical reactivity play a significant role in solid-state device fabrication. Material doping and hence device conductivity is dependent oxygen atom mobility through the UO$_2$ fluorite lattice. An n-type UO$_{2-x}$ and UO$_2$ surface is created as oxygen migrates through lattice vacancies. On a UO$_{2+x}$ surface, interstitial oxygen migration dominates creating a p-type semiconductor. The measurements cover the temperature ranges from 193 to 673 K. MDSC demonstrates single-crystal actinides initially experience a higher heat capacity than an amorphous UO$_2$ fuel pellet. Finally, the XPS derived Debye temperature heat capacities are lower than the MDSC calculated measurements and possibly more accurate due to a cleaner, less oxidized crystal surface.

Variation in previously published results may be attributed to dissimilarities in material structure, bulk versus surface measurements, disparity in crystal quality, and the measurement environment. It appears the most accurate way to quantify the specific heat capacity of s.c. UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ crystals is in ultra-high vacuum where there is an ability to remove surface oxidation. Common specific heat capacity measurement techniques such as MDSC alter the specific heat capacity of easily oxidized crystals, such as actinide oxides, during measurement.
Finally, an examination of the UO$_2$ surface defected with H$_2$O and O$_2$ was conducted using angle resolved photoemission to determine if the material characteristics of stoichiometric UO$_2$ were maintained and if the surface contact was suitable for device fabrication. Angle-resolved photoemission confirms the presence of H$_2$O and O$_2$ on the surface and in the selvedge region with increased U4$f$ binding energies and the observed chemical shift of the O 1$s$ peak. Peak deconvolution of the U 4$f_{7/2}$ peak provides evidence of U$^{4+}$ and U$^{6+}$ oxidation states confirming we have not probed the bulk through the UO$_2$ surface and selvedge region. The presence of U 5$f$ peaks in the valence band spectra provides evidence of the U$^{4+}$ oxidation state but does not refute the presence of the U$^{6+}$. The electronic band-gap energy of 2.2 eV confirms the presence of UO$_2$. Possible explanations are a new oxide growth on the surface of the UO$_2$ crystal or excess oxygen incorporated into the interstitial sites in the lattice to form a UO$_{2+x}$ surface.

9.2. Future Work

9.2.1 Materials Characterization

In order to understand intrinsic defects in UO$_2$, cathodoluminescence and photoluminescence spectroscopy can be conducted on s.c. UO$_2$ samples. The material growth studies have matured sufficiently and the analyses conducted in the present research indicate sufficient maturity to begin these measurements. Further research must include a comparison of the native defect structures to those induced by radiation, as this could present a substantial limitation to hydrothermal growth or even for UO$_2$ semiconductor applications for neutron detection because of long-term performance degradation.
A second and near immediate need is related to developing and analyzing passivation materials to incorporate in device design. In the present research we investigated the potential for use of uranium-thorium alloys as a potential stable material for inclusion in a neutron detection device. The initial results of this study are promising in that the Th incorporation in low atomic density does not alter the UO$_2$ character sufficiently to change the band structure. This is promising, but understanding the overall effect on electronic characteristics is certainly the next step. The present study may provide a template for the next study.

To progress the hydrothermal growth technology to device realization, diode formation methods must be realized. Literature provides some indication through DFT and band mapping that the U 5$f$ electron shell present as the intraband results in a p-type majority carrier. This is known to improve carrier concentration with low oxidation (UO$_{2.13}$) until a phase change occurs.

9.2.2 Electrical Characterization

In addition to further material characterization after thermal and fast neutron irradiation, further electric measurements can be pursued to build a solid-state neutron detector. A requirement is an in-depth contact study providing consistent Hall effect and I(V) measurements with evaporated contacts. Once a contact material is identified with optimal thickness and area, the UO$_2$ device can be packaged to produce an output signal identifying neutrons. Finally, the detector’s response after neutron irradiation must be examined to determine the overall effect on detection efficiency.
Electrical Characterization of Crystalline UO₂, ThO₂, and U₀.7₁Th₀.₂₉O₂

The U₀.7₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ effective Debye temperature of 217± 24 K was measured using temperature-dependent x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). The specific heat capacity for the U₀.7₁Th₀.₂₉O₂ alloy was calculated from the Debye temperature and compared to the heat capacity obtained from modulated-temperature differential scanning calorimetry (MDSC). The XPS derived Debye temperature specific heat capacity was lower than with MDSC due to effects of a vacuum reduced crystal surface.

Angle resolved XPS provides depth profiling of a UO₂ surface. The U 4f⁷/₂ peaks are evident of U⁴⁺ and U⁶⁺ oxidation states with an increase in the U⁶⁺ oxidation state further from the surface. The presence of U 5f peaks in the valence band spectra provided evidence of U⁴⁺ but does not preclude the presence of U⁶⁺, while the electronic band-gap energy remained at 2.2 eV, confirming the presence of UO₂. These surface characteristics indicated a vacuum reduced UO₂ surface and excess oxygen incorporated into the lattice forming a UO₂+x selvedge region.

Uranium Dioxide, Thorium Dioxide, Photoemission Spectroscopy, neutron detection