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Abstract

A study is presented of the optimal crossover duct location and width to obtain consistent branched detonation transition from one detonation tube to another. On a Pulsed Detonation Engine (PDE) with detonation branching, the duct location at which the detonation crosses from one (primary) tube to a branched (secondary) tube impacts the number of successful detonations. In this paper, a comparison is made of the effects of the location and width of the crossover duct for hydrogen, ethylene and an n-alkane. The crossover location is varied from the aft end of the detonation tube to the middle of the detonation tube while the crossover width is varied from 2.5 in to 0.5 in. Detonation wave speeds are measured and compared to Chapman-Jouguet velocities in order to determine successful detonations. Regardless of crossover location, all three fuels are demonstrated 100% of the time to transition between 2 in detonation tubes with a crossover width of 2 in. With a mid-location crossover duct, all three fuels are demonstrated 100% of the time to transition detonations between 2 in detonation tubes with a crossover width between 1.75 in and 2.5 in.
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**Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>$[m^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Slope of Rayleigh line in P-(v) space</td>
<td>$[kg/(m^2 \cdot s)]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Bias uncertainty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Y-intercept of Rayleigh line in P-(v) space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>$[mm]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Internal energy per unit mass</td>
<td>$[J/kg]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Uninstalled thrust</td>
<td>$[N]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Enthalpy per unit mass</td>
<td>$[J/kg]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ht</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>$[m]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mach number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Mass flow rate</td>
<td>$[kg/s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m'$</td>
<td>Mass flux</td>
<td>$[kg/(m^2 \cdot s)]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>$[N/m^2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Precision uncertainty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Heat addition per unit mass</td>
<td>$[J/kg]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Specific gas constant</td>
<td>$[J/(kg \cdot K)]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$[K]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>$[s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Overall uncertainty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>$[m/s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>$[m]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( x \) Distance between ion probes [m]
\( \Delta \) Difference between two parameters
\( \varphi \) Equivalence ratio
\( \rho \) Density [kg/m\(^3\)]
\( \sigma \) Standard deviation
\( \lambda \) Detonation cell width [mm]
\( \nu \) Specific volume [m\(^3\)/kg]

Subscripts
\( c \) Critical
\( cr \) Crossover
\( CJ \) Chapman-Jouguet
\( d \) Detonation tube
\( e \) Exit condition
\( ws \) Wave speed
\( 0 \) Upstream or ambient condition
\( 1 \) Initial condition of reactants
\( 2 \) Final condition of products
\( 1-2 \) Denotes two subsequent measurements of one parameter, e.g. a parameter measured at two ion probes or in two frames of high speed photography
\( \Delta t \) Uncertainty in time
\( \Delta x \) Uncertainty in location
I. Introduction

A Pulsed Detonation Engine (PDE) makes use of unsteady supersonic combustion to produce thrust. While most air breathing propulsion systems use a combustion process entailing steady deflagration in order to generate thrust, the PDE uses unsteady detonations to provide the desirable characteristics of constant volume combustion and high thermodynamic efficiencies.¹

Similar technology in aeronautical applications was operational as early as 1944 on the German V-1 “Buzz Bomb” powered by pulse jet engines.² This “flying bomb” was the precursor to today’s cruise missile and utilized an engine developed by Paul Schmidt, a German inventor, in 1928. Schmidt’s work was based on Georges Marconnet’s 1908 French patent and V.V. Karavodin’s 1906 Russian patent.³

Regardless of the design used in an aeronautical engine, the desired result is thrust. Considering a perfect engine, that is, an engine in which drag is not accounted for, thrust may be defined as in Eq. (1)⁴.

\[
F = m_e V_e - m_0 V_0 + P_e - P_0 A_e
\]

A detonation is an attractive source of thrust in an engine owing to the exit velocity at which the detonation travels and the pressure difference between the exit and ambient conditions. A PDE is also desirable for its scalability and versatile applicability at airspeeds ranging from low subsonic to high supersonic.⁵ PDEs offer the potential for high-performance from simple and efficient designs.⁶

The relevance of a PDE is based on its ability to harness and use the thrust produced by a detonation. A detonation is a violent supersonic combustion event. Composed of a shock wave
coupled to a combustion front, a detonation wave produces an overpressure in a constant volume process. In contrast, a deflagration, the more common type of combustion, is subsonic and is often modeled as a constant pressure process.\(^2\)

A detonation can be created in one of several ways, including the following three methods: using a low energy spark with subsequent Deflagration to Detonation Transition (DDT), using a pre-detonator, or applying a large amount of energy to cause direct initiation. A variation of direct initiation, called a branched detonation or tube-to-tube initiation, utilizes one of the three methods mentioned to initiate a detonation in a primary detonation tube. Tube-to-tube initiation then transfers the detonation to a secondary tube via a crossover duct. The advantages and disadvantages of each of these methods have been previously documented.\(^7\)

This research utilizes a PDE with detonation tubes open at one end and closed at the other end where the tube is connected to a source of fuel, oxidizer, and ignition.\(^7\) The engine cycle is divided into three equal phases: fill, fire, and purge. During the fill phase, the engine intake valves allow a pre-determined ratio of pre-mixed fuel and air to enter the detonation tube. The fire phase begins with closure of the fill valves, isolating the combustion event from feed lines upstream of the valves. A spiral in the primary detonation tube accelerates DDT. The purge phase cools and clears the tubes and begins upon opening of the purge valves.

Throughout this research, the closed end of the detonation tube is the head of the tube while the open end of the detonation tube is the tail end of the tube. Tube-to-tube initiation has been successfully shown using the detonation from the tail end of one tube into the head of another tube (tail-head)\(^8\), using the detonation from the tail end of one tube into the tail end of another tube (tail-tail), and using the detonation from the head of one tube into the head of another tube (head-head).\(^9\)
For a self-sustaining, continuous branching PDE, it is necessary to extract energy from ongoing detonation cycles to initiate subsequent detonation events. The tube-to-tube initiation offers greater efficiency than the other methods of detonation initiation; however, maintaining the detonation through the crossover duct has proven to be challenging.\textsuperscript{10} As the detonation enters the crossover, the shock wave and combustion front begin to decouple.\textsuperscript{11}

Assuming the detonation from the last tube in succession crosses over to ignite a detonation in the first tube, then with the exception of the DDT, all detonations are initiated with tube-to-tube initiation in a continuous branching PDE.\textsuperscript{9} Although a continuous branching PDE is not utilized in this research, the results regarding crossover location and width documented here should be applicable to the operation of a continuous branching PDE in the future.

In the tail-tail configuration shown in Fig. 1, tube-to-tube detonation initiation requires the detonation in the primary tube to reverse direction via the crossover duct.

![Figure 1. Detonation directions through multiple tubes connected by a crossover duct](image)

Past experiments, however, showed that detonations could propagate through various crossover geometries and in directions different from that of the primary detonation wave.\textsuperscript{7,12}
This research examines at which location and width the crossover duct most consistently results in a viable detonation in the secondary tube.
II. Background and Theory

1. Detonation Properties

Combustion occurs as a deflagration or a detonation. A deflagration propagates subsonically away from the source of ignition through reactants; however, a detonation propagates supersonically.\textsuperscript{13}

Figure 2 depicts a detonation wave within a control volume. In a laboratory reference frame the detonation wave propagates from right to left with velocity, $V$, pressure, $P$, temperature, $T$, density, $\rho$, and Mach number, $M$. Subscripts 1 and 2 denote reactants and products, respectively. In a reference frame fixed to the detonation wave, as shown in Fig. 2, the reactants enter the detonation wave from left to right at velocity, $V_1$, as the products leave the detonation wave at velocity, $V_2$.

![Figure 2. One-dimensional detonation wave in a constant area duct\textsuperscript{14}](image)

Table 1 lists the Mach numbers of the reactants and products as well as the ratios of properties across a typical detonation.\textsuperscript{14}
As seen in Table 1, reactants travel supersonically at $M_1$ with respect to the detonation wave. Products travel away from the detonation wave at $M_2$, the local speed of sound. Comparing the properties of products to reactants, a detonation wave produces a decrease in velocity and an increase in pressure, temperature and density of the products.

A detonation is composed of a shock wave coupled to a deflagration front. The compression of reactants and subsequent high temperatures caused by the leading shock wave of a detonation initiate the detonation combustion process. This combustion process is sustained as a result of the energy from the combustion.\textsuperscript{14} A shock wave, detonation, and deflagration are very different, however. This is illustrated in Table 2 by the upstream and downstream Mach numbers and by the property ratios of the products and reactants across these three phenomena. The normal shock properties in Table 2 are for air with a ratio of specific heats equal to 1.4. The detonation properties are the standard properties from Table 1. The deflagration properties are for a methane-air mixture.\textsuperscript{14}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Normal shock</th>
<th>Detonation</th>
<th>Deflagration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_1$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_2$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_2/V_1$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.4-0.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2/P_1$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13-55</td>
<td>~1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2/T_1$</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8-21</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_2/\rho_1$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.7-2.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Detonation physics

In the following analysis\textsuperscript{14}, flow properties and the equations of mass continuity and conservation of momentum and energy are utilized to describe the physics of a detonation.

Equation (2) uses the internal energy per unit mass, $e$, to define enthalpy, $h$.

$$h = e + \frac{p}{\rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Equation (3) is the ideal gas equation.

$$P = \rho RT$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Equations (4) – (6) are the equations for continuity of mass and conservation of momentum and energy respectively in a constant area duct.

$$\rho_1 V_1 = \rho_2 V_2 = m'$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$P_1 + \rho_1 V_1^2 = P_2 + \rho_2 V_2^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$h_1 + \frac{1}{2} V_1^2 + q = h_2 + \frac{1}{2} V_2^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Combining Eqs. (4) and (5) produces Eq. (7).

\[ \rho_1 v_1^2 = \rho_2 v_2^2 = m'^2 = -\left( \frac{P_2 - P_1}{\frac{1}{\rho_2} - \frac{1}{\rho_1}} \right) \]  

(7)

The plot of Eq. (7) in pressure – specific volume space is called a Rayleigh line. The slope, \( a \), and the y-intercept, \( b \), of each line can be calculated with Eqs. (8) and (9) respectively.

\[ -m'^2 = a \]  

(8)

\[ P_1 + \frac{m'^2}{\rho_1} = b \]  

(9)

Point A in Fig. 3 represents a given \( P_1 \) and \( \rho_1 \). The negative slope of the Rayleigh line plotted through point A in Fig. 3 steepens as the mass flux increases. An infinite mass flux would pass vertically through point A, while zero mass flux would pass horizontally through point A. Between an infinite mass flux and zero mass flux all possible mass fluxes are included. Neither a mass flux greater than infinity nor less than zero are possible, therefore, solutions for Rayleigh lines passing through the quadrants labeled I and II in Fig. 3 are not obtainable.
Figure 3. Rayleigh lines of increasing mass flux\textsuperscript{14}

Two Rayleigh lines are plotted in Fig. 4 through point A and noted as the Upper and Lower Rayleigh lines. As seen in Fig. 4 proceeding from point A, the Upper Rayleigh line illustrates a high mass flux and the pressure and density increases that are characteristic of a detonation. The Lower Rayleigh line, however, illustrates a lower mass flux and the pressure and density decreases from point A that are characteristic of a deflagration. In Fig. 4 the pressure decrease from point A to point L is small. Deflagrations are often modeled as constant pressure processes due to a small change in pressure.
Using the definitions in Eqs. (2) and (3) and combining Eqs. (4) – (6) produces Eqs. (10) and (11).²

\[ h_1 - h_2 + q = \frac{1}{2} P_1 - P_2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho_2} - \frac{1}{\rho_1} \right) \]  

(10)

\[ e_1 - e_2 + q = -\frac{1}{2} P_1 + P_2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho_1} - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) \]  

(11)

Equations (10) and (11) are the Hugoniot equations. The Hugoniot curve is plotted as the solid line in Fig. 4. A physically possible end state must satisfy the equation of the Rayleigh line (Eq. (7)) and the Hugoniot equations (Eqs. (10) and (11)). Proceeding from \( P_1 \) and \( \rho_1 \) at point A, the intersection of the Hugoniot curve and the Rayleigh line indicates possible end states in
regions I through IV in Fig 4. Region V indicates an area with an unrealizable mass flux and therefore a physically impossible end state.

Point U in Fig. 4 is the Upper Chapman-Jouguet Point, named after the men who independently discovered, in the late 19th and early 20th centuries respectively, that a detonation sustaining itself is found where the Rayleigh line falls tangent to the Hugoniot curve. Chapman also noted that the velocity of the detonation is at a minimum at this upper tangent point. At the Upper Chapman-Jouguet point, the detonation velocity is referred to as the Chapman-Jouguet velocity ($V_{CJ}$). When the velocity is greater than the minimum, there are strong and weak detonation solutions as shown in regions I and II of Fig. 4.

Although in theoretical analysis one often assumes one-dimensional detonation waves for simplification, detonations are complex three-dimensional structures composed of triple shock waves. The intersection of the Mach-stem, incident and reflected shocks is known as the triple point and is seen near a wall in Fig. 5. The Mach stem is stronger than the incident shock. The reflected shock wave extends into the reactants in the direction that the detonation is traveling.
The velocity of the detonation varies along these shock waves as the detonation propagates and the shock waves interact. At the triple point, the detonation velocity reaches its maximum. The velocity then decreases along the three shock waves until they intersect again and the local detonation velocity increases. A successful detonation is sustainable within 30% of the theoretical $V_{CJ}$ as the detonation velocity constantly decreases and increases along the shock waves.

3. Cell size

The triple points move and interact in a distinctive pattern throughout a detonation. The triple point pattern is observable after a detonation passes through a tube coated with soot. The triple point removes soot from the detonation and leaves behind a diamond shape with a characteristic cell width dimension, $\lambda$, unique to the reactants, as seen in Fig. 6.

![Figure 6. Detonation cell width](image)

The cell width is a characteristic length scale of a detonation. The larger the cell width, the more distance is required for DDT. Also, Fievisohn showed that strong reflections caused detonation reinitiation. Figure 7 shows the transverse wave intersections and cell structure reestablish on the right after strong reflections off the obstacle in the center of the figure and off the wall reinitiate the detonation.
A substantial amount of experimental data is available showing the detonation cell widths for most of the common fuel-oxidizer mixtures. Cell width has been shown to vary as a function of equivalence ratio as in Fig. 8.

![Figure 8. Cell size variation with equivalence ratio.](image)

Another useful characteristic length scale of a detonation is the critical tube diameter. The critical tube diameter, $d_c$, is the minimum diameter from which a planar detonation confined in a tube can successfully transition into an unconfined area. Zeldovich has shown that while a confined detonation propagates in a plane, the planar detonation transitions, or diffracts, into an unconfined area and propagates spherically. Transitioning from a confined area into an unconfined area occurs during this research, for example, upon exiting a detonation tube or
entering the crossover duct in a branched detonation. Zeldovich showed that a diffracting
detonation wave propagating across a change in area will not propagate from a tube having a
diameter less than \( d_c \). Instead, the shock wave and combustion front of the detonation decouple
and do not recombine without intervention or a change in conditions.

A diffracting detonation from a tube with a diameter greater than \( d_c \) is known as supercritical.
A supercritical detonation will successfully propagate naturally into the unconfined area. From a
tube with a diameter less than \( d_c \), a diffracting detonation is known as subcritical. The shock
wave and combustion front of the subcritical detonation will decouple and not reinitiate
naturally. From a tube with a diameter equal to \( d_c \), a detonation is critical. The shock wave and
combustion front of the critical detonation will initially decouple, but will reinitiate naturally.
These different phenomena are depicted in Fig. 9.

Figure 9. Supercritical, critical, and subcritical detonation diffractions
Furthermore, cell width is related to the critical tube diameter as determined by Mitrofanov and Soloukhinin and noted by multiple authors as in Eq. (12) for a circular tube and in Eq. (13) for a planar channel.\textsuperscript{18,20}

\[ d_c = 13\lambda \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ d_c = 10\lambda \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The crossover section in this research uses planar channels to facilitate Schlieren photography of the detonation as explained in Section III.

Critical tube diameter has also been shown to vary with equivalence ratio as shown in Fig. 10.

![Figure 10. Critical tube diameter variation with equivalence ratio\textsuperscript{18}](image)

Table 3 shows the cell sizes used in this research. As a point of reference, this research utilizes detonation tubes of 2 in (50.8 mm). The detonations are expected to be subcritical as they diffract from the tube based on Eq. (13).

Table 3. Pertinent fuels and cell sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Equivalence Ratio</th>
<th>Cell Size, $\lambda$ (mm)</th>
<th>Critical tube diameter, $d_c$ (mm)</th>
<th>Actual tube diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Alkane</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>50-70</td>
<td>500-700</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Previous Research

In recent experiments by Nielsen, the crossover geometry was varied in a tail-tail setup in order to find the configuration that provided the most consistent branched detonation. Based on Nielsen’s results with hydrogen, the “D” geometry, with a convex surface in the direction of the primary tube flow and a flat surface facing the flow in the primary tube, consistently reinitiated a detonation in the secondary tube (Fig. 11).
Additionally, Nielsen’s experiments illustrated one of the challenges with the tail-tail configuration: When the engine valves close after filling the primary detonation tube with fuel and air, the mixture continues moving aft. As the volume of the fuel-air mixture expands, the pressure drops causing the flow in the tube to reverse direction. In the tail-tail configuration when the flow reverses, the local equivalence ratio in the crossover duct decreases due to entrainment of the ambient air. This can be seen in Figs. 12 and 13.

![Figure 12. Tail-tail crossover with fuel-air mixture moving aft as intake valves close](image1)

![Figure 13. Tail-tail crossover illustrating entrainment of ambient air as lower pressure causes flow reversal](image2)

The leaned-out equivalence ratio leads to inconsistent reinitiation after decoupling in the crossover duct. He successfully reduced those inconsistencies by placing a nozzle over the open
end of the primary tube to force fuel and air through the crossover duct and into the secondary
detonation tube. Nielsen settled on a “D” geometry, but with a) only a single filling tube, b)
only a hydrogen-air mixture and c) with a nozzle on the tail end of the primary tube. The current
experiment removes the constraints in a, b and c, and includes testing with hydrocarbon fuels.

5. Research Objectives

This research reports on three main objectives. The first objective of this research is to apply
Nielsen’s findings regarding crossover geometry to a configuration with two tubes. As a
continuous branching PDE would actually fill multiple tubes, this research looks at the more
practical case of filling the crossover duct by means of two tubes with a hydrogen-air mixture, an
ethylene-air mixture, and an n-alkane-air mixture with none of the two-tube tests having the
nozzle on the tail end of the primary detonation tubes. The second objective is to exploit the
potential of the “D” geometry by testing it with varied crossover widths in search of an optimal
width. The final objective is to address the entrainment of ambient air into the crossover duct in
the tail-tail location by varying the location of the crossover from a tail-tail location to a new
location in the center of the detonation tube. This location will be referred to as a mid-mid tube-
to-tube detonation initiation. Sketches of the two configurations can be seen in Figs. 14 and 15.

Figure 14. Two-tube tail-tail crossover configuration.
Figure 15. Two-tube mid-mid crossover configuration.
III. Methodology

1. Facility

Experiments were performed in the Detonation Engine Research Facility (DERF) of the Advanced Concepts Group within the Air Force Research Laboratory at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. This facility includes a test cell of over 750,000 cubic feet and a control room, surrounded by reinforced concrete, where systems are controlled remotely, testing is monitored and data acquisition systems are run. The PDE in this study employs a General Motors Quad 4, Dual Overhead Cam cylinder head for filling the tubes with a fuel-air mixture. The engine head can be seen at the top of Fig. 16. The openings where the cylinder head would mount to four cylinders in an internal combustion engine are numbered sequentially from the left in Fig. 16. Also in Fig. 16, tubes 2 and 4 are shown mounted to the cylinder head in the two-tube configuration.

Figure 16. Two-tube setup looking forward from the tail end of the crossover section
Each detonation tube has two valves to fill the tube with a fuel-air mixture and two valves to purge the tube with air. The primary detonation tube uses a spark plug in the cylinder head to ignite the fuel-air mixture. The resulting deflagration accelerates across the DDT spiral and transitions to a detonation. The length of the DDT spiral varies according to the fuel being tested. The lengths of the DDT spirals used in this research for hydrogen, ethylene, and an n-alkane are 18, 24, and 48 in respectively. In Eq. (14) the definition of fill fraction helps to determine the volume of fuel-air mixture to be used. The ratio of the volume of fuel-air mixture to the volume of the detonation tube, less the DDT spiral volume, equals the desired fill fraction.

\[
\text{Fill fraction} = \frac{\text{Volume of fuel/air mixture}}{\text{Volume of detonation tube} - \text{Volume of DDT spiral}} \tag{14}
\]

Similarly, the volume of purge air to be used is determined by the desired purge fraction as defined in Eq. (15).

\[
\text{Purge fraction} = \frac{\text{Volume of purge air}}{\text{Volume of detonation tube} - \text{Volume of DDT spiral}} \tag{15}
\]

Equivalence ratio is defined in Eq. (16).

\[
\text{Equivalence Ratio} = \frac{\text{fuel/air ratio}}{(\text{fuel/air ratio})_{stochiometric}} \tag{16}
\]
Fill fraction, purge fraction, and equivalence ratio are all controlled remotely in the test facility control room. For each run, a fill fraction equal to unity and a purge fraction equal to 0.5 was used. The equivalence ratio was varied to find an equivalence ratio close to unity and which would consistently produce detonations for the given fuel and crossover location. For hydrogen and ethylene, equivalence ratios of unity and 1.3 respectively were used with both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations. For the n-alkane, however, the mid-mid configuration more consistently produced detonations at a lower equivalence ratio than the tail-tail configuration as shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Equivalence Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>Tail-Tail &amp; Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>Tail-Tail &amp; Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Alkane</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Alkane</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Test configurations

The detonation tubes used in this research are 2 inches in diameter and 4 feet in length. While the PDE can handle up to four detonation tubes, this research used only two tubes. The primary detonation tube contained a DDT spiral. In Fig. 17, the primary detonation tube is connected to a crossover section that contains both a primary and secondary tube connected via a crossover duct. The width of the crossover duct was varied from 0.5 in to 2.5 in. The various test conditions used in this research are tabulated in Tables 8-10 in Section IV.
The two-tube configuration, as the name implies, has two detonation tubes extending from the engine head to the crossover section. The two-tube configuration in this research used tubes 2 and 4 as numbered in Fig. 16. Both tubes are fueled and purged from the head end.

Figure 18 shows the numbered ion probes (1-10) used for measuring detonation wave speeds. The ion probes are spark plugs and are placed in pairs along the detonation tubes and around the crossover section. The ion probes detect the passing of a combustion wave and allow for calculation of the detonation wave speed. When the ion probes are charged with a voltage they
are utilized as capacitors, storing energy until a combustion wave passes. The ionized combustion wave closes the electrical circuit across the gap in the ion probe, thereby decreasing the circuit voltage. The drop in voltage indicates the passing of the combustion wave. The voltage drop and the time at which the voltage drops are recorded by the data acquisition system. The detonation wave speeds are calculated from the distance between paired ion probes divided by the difference in passing time:

\[
\text{Detonation wave speed} = \frac{\Delta x_{1-2}}{\Delta t_{1-2}}
\]

(17)

The resulting speed is compared with \( V_{CJ} \) to determine if the combustion wave is traveling fast enough to be considered an actual detonation for the fuel of interest. It can thus be determined if a detonation has propagated to a region of interest within the test section. This research used \( V_{CJ} \) equal to the values in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>( V_{CJ} ) (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>1850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Alkane</td>
<td>(~1750)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum number of available ion probe channels on the data acquisition system is 12. One channel records the spark used to ignite the fuel-air mixture. Ion probe drops follow the spark signal in time (Fig. 19). The observer uses the recorded spark signal as a benchmark in time to help identify at what time to begin looking for ion probe voltage drops. If no ion probe drops are seen between spark signals, no combustion wave passing was recorded. Four sparks were fired at 10 Hz for each run in order to observe multiple detonations without allowing too
many detonations to melt the polycarbonate windows in the crossover section used for Schlieren photography.

![Spark signal and ion probe voltage drops](image)

Figure 19. PDE spark signals and ion probe voltage drops

The remaining 11 channels were divided into five pairs, as seen in Fig. 18. Also seen in Fig. 18 are the distances between pairs of ion probes. Ten inches separate ion probe pairs on the detonation tubes. There are 4.5 in between ion probe pairs on the half of the crossover section closest to the engine head. There are 1.5 in between ion probe pairs on the half of the crossover section closest to the tail end.

The two-tube tests included the crossover in the tail-tail location and in the mid-mid location. Figure 20 shows the mid-mid location; the engine head is outside the picture to the left, and the tail end of both tubes is on the far right side of the picture.
The two-tube configuration uses a tube adapter shown in Fig. 18 to reorient the flow from the horizontal detonation tubes into the vertical crossover duct. This reorientation of the flow enables the observer to use Schlieren photography through the walls of the crossover section to see the detonation wave.

The crossover section is enclosed by 0.5 inch-thick polycarbonate on either side to allow Schlieren photography through the crossover duct. Schlieren photography is used for two reasons. First, Schlieren photography provides exceptional flow visualization.\textsuperscript{24} Schlieren helps in identifying a combined, or coupled, shock and combustion front, as seen in Fig. 21 with hydrogen and air. Second, Schlieren photography helps in secondarily verifying detonation wave speed.\textsuperscript{7} From two consecutive digital images, the number of photo pixels that the wave moves is noted. The pixel spacing is computed from a known distance (e.g., the distance between detonation tubes). The calculated wave movement in meters is divided by the frame time in seconds to find the wave speed as in Eq. (17).
As seen in Fig. 21, the left-to-right running detonation in the primary tube diffracts at the crossover duct causing the shock wave and combustion front to begin decoupling. With 2 in diameter detonation tubes and with the fuels and cell widths listed in Table 3, this is anticipated based on Eq. (13). After the subcritical detonation diffracts into the crossover duct, the detonation is not expected to reinitiate naturally, as discussed in regards to Fig. 9. Nielsen found the “D” geometry consistently reinitiated in the crossover duct with a hydrogen-air mixture as the shock wave and combustion front reflected off the flat portion of the geometry facing the flow and again reflected off the top of the secondary detonation tube. These reflections were sufficient to recouple the shock wave and combustion front and reinitiate the detonation. Ideally, this reinitiation occurs in a short span of tube in order to effectively produce thrust in the secondary tube.

To determine whether detonations exist upstream and downstream of the crossover duct in the primary detonation tube, and to help determine if detonations reinitiate in the secondary tube,
there are three regions of interest within the PDE system. In Fig. 22, Region I is prior to the crossover where DDT occurs. Region II is in the primary tube downstream of the crossover at the tail end of the tube. Region III is in the secondary detonation tube where a detonation reinitiation should occur after decoupling in the crossover. The Schlieren field-of-view captures Regions I and III only.

3. Uncertainty

The uncertainty of a measured value quantifies the dispersion of the values measured for a particular parameter. For a given probability, the actual value of the measured parameter lies within the uncertainty with a certain confidence. The two types of uncertainty are bias and precision. Bias uncertainty, B, is constant and is calculated as the root sum square of the estimated sources of bias.
Precision uncertainty, \( p \), varies randomly with repeated measurements of the same parameter. When repeated measurements were available, the precision uncertainty was calculated within 95% probability using the standard deviation, \( \sigma \):\(^{24} \)

\[
p_k = 2\sigma_k
\]  

(19)

The overall uncertainty is equal to the root sum square of the bias and precision uncertainties:

\[
U = \sqrt{B^2 + p^2}
\]  

(20)

For this research, an uncertainty analysis was conducted for the detonation wave speeds measured with the ion probes and the Schlieren photographs. For the ion probe measurements, the two sources of bias uncertainty are the location of the ion probe and the arrival time of the detonation wave.\(^9 \) The bias uncertainty in location, \( B_{\Delta x} \), is \( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \) in based on the fact that the holes for the ion probes were drilled on a mill with accuracy to \( 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \) in.\(^7 \) The bias uncertainty in arrival time, \( B_{\Delta t} \), has two sources of bias uncertainty: ion probe response time and data sampling rate. As shown by Hopper, the ion probe response time is \( 0.1 \mu s \).\(^9 \) The bias uncertainty in ion probe response time is therefore half the response time, or \( \pm 0.05 \mu s \).\(^9 \) The data
sampling rate is 1 MHz. The bias uncertainty in the data sampling rate is therefore ±0.5 µs. Using Eq. (18), \( B_{\Delta t} \) is estimated to be 0.502 µs.\(^9\)

The only source of precision uncertainty that was considered for the ion probe measurements was the arrival time of the detonation wave. The precision uncertainty in the arrival time of the detonation wave, \( p_{\Delta t} \), is dominated by the three dimensional effects of the detonation wave and was calculated by Hopper to be ±2.5 µs.\(^9\) For the ion probe measurement uncertainty calculation, the precision uncertainty in location, \( p_{\Delta x} \), is zero.

The overall bias and precision uncertainty in the wave speed are calculated as shown by Hopper using Eqs. (21) and (22).\(^9\)

\[
B_{ws} = \frac{B_{\Delta x}}{\Delta t} + \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t^2} B_{\Delta t}^2 
\]

\[
p_{ws} = \frac{p_{\Delta x}}{\Delta t} + \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t^2} p_{\Delta t}^2 
\]

The values for \( \Delta x \) vary based on the distance between the ion probe pair of interest. The values of \( \Delta t \) vary based on the \( V_{CJ} \) of the fuel of interest. The calculated values for \( B_{ws}, p_{ws} \), and \( U_{ws} \) are tabulated in Table 6. As seen in Table 6, wave speed uncertainty increases as the distance between ion probe pairs decreases.
Table 6. Bias, precision, and overall uncertainty for detonation wave speeds of multiple fuels and multiple ion probe pairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance between ion probes, Δx (in)</th>
<th>Bias uncertainty, $B_{ws}$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Precision uncertainty, $P_{ws}$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Overall uncertainty, $U_{ws}$ (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>n-Alkane</td>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>54.64</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td>41.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>13.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following uncertainty analysis for the detonation wave speeds measured with the Schlieren photography is based on the analysis presented by Nielsen. $B_{Δx}$ is 1 pixel due to the difficulty determining exactly where the front of the detonation wave is in a given photograph. $B_{Δt}$ is ±1 μs due to the uncertainty of the time between frames. $p_{Δx}$ is 0.5 pixels due to the human error associated with selecting the correct pixel. The photography software showed no precision errors after reviewing the elapsed time between 100 frames. Therefore, $p_{Δt}$ is assumed to be zero. Using Eqs. (20) - (22) the bias, precision and overall uncertainties are calculated and tabulated in Table 7.

Table 7. Bias, precision, and overall uncertainty for detonation wave speeds of multiple fuels using Schlieren photography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bias uncertainty, $B_{ws}$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Precision uncertainty, $P_{ws}$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Overall uncertainty, $U_{ws}$ (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>n-Alkane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145.11</td>
<td>137.28</td>
<td>133.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in Table 7, the uncertainty of the Schlieren photography in measuring detonation wave speeds is high. This is not unexpected. Schlieren photography is excellent for flow visualization, and is primarily used in this research to confirm that shock waves and combustion fronts are coupled. Schlieren photography was not primarily used for measuring speed. Due to the high uncertainty in wave speeds from the Schlieren photography, the ion probe data is used first, provided that voltage drops across the ion probes are noted for a given run. If one or both
ion probes in a pair does not show a voltage drop for a given run, the Schlieren photography is used secondarily to confirm that a detonation is present by examining the photographs for shock waves coupled to combustion fronts and then to measure wave speed. If only one ion probe shows a voltage drop typical of a detonation wave but the ion probe pair is not within the Schlieren field of view, the detonation wave is assumed, although no detonation wave speed is recorded for that region. Figure 23 is a flowchart showing the conditions for using either ion probe data or Schlieren photography to calculate wave speeds.

Figure 23. Wave speed data flowchart
IV. Analysis and Results

1. Test configuration

The two-tube tests include the crossover duct filled and purged by both the primary and secondary detonation tubes, a configuration that can be directly applied to a realistic operational application of detonation branching. Both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations were examined for each fuel-air mixture.

Each test shown in the following tables consisted of four sparks fired at 10 Hz at the indicated crossover width. Firing four sparks at 10 Hz allowed multiple detonations to be observed during each run without concern for the detonations melting the polycarbonate windows used for Schlieren photography. A successful detonation was considered approximately 70% of $V_{CJ}$ or greater to allow for some detonation wavespeed variation from the theoretical $V_{CJ}$ without considering the choked flame in the combustion products as a detonation. The average successful detonation wave speed over the four sparks in each of the three regions of interest is shown in Tables 8-10. Appendix A includes wavespeeds for each of the three regions after each spark was fired.

2. Hydrogen tests

Table 8 shows the tabulated results for the hydrogen-air mixture in both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations at varying crossover widths. Detonation wave speeds are also shown nondimensionalized by $V_{CJ}$. Ion probe number 10 (reference Fig. 18) in Region II did not register voltage drops during the hydrogen tests. The voltage drops across ion probe number 9 in Region II were indicative of a detonation. In accordance with the wave speed data flowchart (Fig. 23) these detonations were assumed to have occurred, although no Region II wave speed is recorded.
Hydrogen was successful in producing detonations in all three regions in both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations, as expected. Hydrogen detonation velocities have been recorded within 30\% of stoichiometric $V_{CJ}$ at equivalence ratios as low as 0.4.\textsuperscript{23} The 2.5 in crossover width mid-mid configuration test was repeated because the first test produced only two detonations across the four sparks. The second test produced three detonations.

In Fig. 24, a hydrogen detonation is shown in a tail-tail configuration with a 2.5 in crossover width. Frame 1 shows the coupled shock wave and combustion front in Region I. The planar detonation in Region I is subcritical because the height of the planar channel in Region I (50.8 mm) is less than the critical tube diameter for hydrogen (80 mm); therefore, the detonation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th># Tubes</th>
<th>Spiral Length (in)</th>
<th>Equivalence Ratio</th>
<th>Crossover width (in)</th>
<th>Config</th>
<th>Detonation Wave Speed (m/s)</th>
<th>Nondimensional Detonation Wave Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>2305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>2247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>2263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>2284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>2511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>2156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>2213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>2333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>2268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1898</td>
<td>2587</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
diffractions as it enters the crossover duct, decoupling the shock wave and combustion front. The decoupled shock wave and combustion front are visible in frame 7 of Fig. 24. As the shock wave and combustion front strike the opposing flat face of the crossover geometry in frame 8, they are recoupled. The flash of visible light in frame 8 and subsequent detonation wave propagation in frame 9 indicate the strong reflection and detonation reinitiation respectively.

The reinitiated detonation propagates spherically as if unconfined and quickly decouples as seen in frame 11. In frame 12, the decoupled shock wave and combustion front are recoupled again as they strike the top of the secondary detonation tube with a strong reflection. The reinitiated detonation wave in the secondary detonation tube interacts with the transverse shock wave present, enabling the detonation to propagate and exit the Schlieren field of view, as seen in frames 13-17. More hydrogen detonation photographs are located in Appendix B.

Figure 24. Detonation of hydrogen and air in a tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width, sequentially from left to right, top to bottom. The detonation resulted in a successful Region III reinitiation.
Figure 24 also shows several things that recur in many of the detonation photographs. After the detonation reinitiates in the crossover duct in frame 8, the shock wave and combustion front recouple and propagate into the secondary detonation tube as labeled in frame 9. The lower portion of the spherically propagating shock wave travels back into the primary detonation tube, starting in frame 9, where it reflects off the bottom of the tube in frame 15. The shock wave is visible in frames 9-17 and labeled in frame 13. Also visible in the photographs, is some high temperature sealant that has spread into the Schlieren field of view. The sealant is labeled in frame 16. Figure 25 shows an example of some sealant that would be visible within the Schlieren field of view. The sealant was not observed to noticeably affect the flow as the effect was confined to the edges and did not significantly alter the bulk flow.

![Image](image.png)

Figure 25. High temperature sealant within the Schlieren field of view. The sealant adheres the polycarbonate to the crossover geometry and keeps the high pressure flow from leaking around the crossover geometry.

3. Ethylene tests

The ethylene test results are tabulated in Table 9 including detonation wave speeds nondimensionalized by $V_{CJ}$. As seen in Table 9, the first two tests were run at crossover widths of 2.5 in and equivalence ratio of 1.2. During these tests there were no successful detonations in
Region II as indicated by the lack of detonation wave speed in Table 9. Holding the crossover width at 2.5 in, the equivalence ratio was increased to 1.3. The 2.5 in crossover width tests were repeated three times with the increased equivalence ratio. Successful Region II detonations were observed during two of the three tests at 2.5 in and for all subsequent crossover widths. The diffracting subcritical detonation may have had more difficulty reinitiating across a wider crossover duct from Region I to Region II particularly at the lower equivalence ratio. As seen in Table 9, when the crossover width is decreased below 2.5 in and when the equivalence ratio is increased to 1.3, the detonation successfully transitions from Region I to Region II.

The 1.5 in mid-mid configuration test was repeated because the first test produced only one detonation across four sparks. The second test produced two detonations. The ethylene tests run in the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations with the crossover width at 0.5 in did not produce any successful Region III detonations. Ethylene detonations with a crossover width of 0.5 in were not able to reinitiate in the secondary detonation tube because the reinitiation was limited to the crossover duct by the small crossover width as shown later.
Table 9. Ethylene test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th># Tubes</th>
<th>Spiral Length (in)</th>
<th>Equivalence Ratio</th>
<th>Crossover width (in)</th>
<th>Config</th>
<th>Detonation Wave Speed (m/s)</th>
<th>Nondimensional Detonation Wave Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Region I</td>
<td>Region II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>2437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2032</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>2447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>2064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>2120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>1833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>2439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>2231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>2123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>2123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>2349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>1826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 26 shows an ethylene detonation in a tail-tail configuration with a 2.5 in crossover width. More visible light is observed in the combustion of ethylene as compared to hydrogen. The ethylene detonation is initially coupled, then diffracts into the crossover duct and is labeled as decoupled in frame 7. Strong reflections and subsequent detonation reinitiations within the crossover tube and at the top of the secondary detonation tube are labeled in frames 9 and 13 respectively. Frame 17 shows the detonation wave as it exits the Schlieren field of view. The detonation that reinitiated at the top of the secondary detonation tube in frame 13 reflects
strongly in frame 17 off the bottom of the secondary detonation tube. The reflection is labeled in frame 18. Figure 26 also shows a common image in many of the detonation photographs. Burn marks are left on the polycarbonate where the crossover geometry and polycarbonate meet. The burn marks are visible in the Schlieren field of view when the crossover width is readjusted to a wider setting. The visible burn marks at each crossover width setting of previous tests are labeled in frame 1.

Figure 26. Detonation of ethylene and air in a tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width, sequentially from left to right, top to bottom. The detonation resulted in a successful Region III reinitiation.

Figure 27 shows an ethylene detonation in a tail-tail configuration with a 0.5 in crossover width. All ethylene detonations with the 0.5 in crossover width failed to reinitiate in Region III.
as noted in Table 9. Frame 5 shows the detonation reinitiation in the crossover width. Unlike reinitiations at larger crossover widths, the reinitiation at the 0.5 in crossover width occurs much lower on the crossover geometry. The reinitiation reflects back off the “D” geometry in frame 6. It appears that the detonation emerging from the crossover width into the secondary detonation tube in frame 7 is unable to reinitiate at the top of the secondary detonation tube in frames 11 and 12. The strong reflection and reinitiation were limited to the crossover duct by the smaller crossover width. A deflagration propagates through Region III and exits the Schlieren field of view. The deflagration wave speed is noted in Table A-2 in Appendix A. More ethylene detonation photographs are located in Appendix C.

Figure 27. Detonation of ethylene and air in a tail-tail configuration with 0.5 in crossover width, sequentially from left to right, top to bottom. The small crossover width limits the reinitiation to the crossover duct. The detonation resulted in a failed Region III reinitiation.
4. n-Alkane tests

The n-alkane test results are tabulated in Table 10 including detonation wave speeds nondimensionalized by $V_{CJ}$. The voltage drops across ion probe number 9 (reference Fig. 18) in Region II were indicative of a detonation, although ion probe number 10 did not register voltage drops during the n-alkane tests. In accordance with the wave speed data flowchart (Fig. 23) these detonations were assumed to have occurred although no Region II wave speed was recorded.

The n-alkane Region I detonation wavespeeds in Table 10 are lower than $V_{CJ}$ for all crossover widths in both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations with the exception of the tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in and 1.5 in crossover widths. The lower wavespeeds may be an indication in Region I that the n-alkane is taking more distance to transition to a detonation and has not fully accelerated to $V_{CJ}$ by Region I due to its large cell size.$^{12}$

No successful Region III detonations were observed with the 0.5 in crossover in either the tail-tail or the mid-mid configuration. Similar to ethylene, the n-alkane detonations do not transition through the crossover when the crossover width is decreased to 0.5 in. The reinitiation is reflected off the crossover geometry within the smaller crossover duct and does not emerge into Region III as shown later.

The tail-tail configuration produced detonations at an equivalence ratio of 1.2 while the mid-mid configuration produced detonations more consistently at an equivalence ratio of 1.1. This may be an indication that the mid-mid configuration is able to run at a leaner equivalence ratio because it is not as affected by entrainment of the ambient air as the tail-tail configuration, however, this research did not extensively examine equivalence ratio variation.
Like the hydrogen and ethylene tests, the n-alkane tests were initially run with the DDT spiral and spark in tube 4 (reference Fig. 16). There was a problem, however, with one of the valves closing in tube 4, and detonations would not initiate in tube 4. The DDT spiral and spark were switched into tube 2 in order to remedy the initiation failures. As a result, the n-alkane detonation photographs depict the primary detonation tube on the top and the secondary detonation tube on the bottom. The detonations proceed from top to bottom as opposed to proceeding from bottom to top as with hydrogen and ethylene. Additionally, oil was sprayed through the leaking valve in tube 4 and covered the polycarbonate window. The Schlieren light source must shine through the oil coated polycarbonate and as a result, the field of view in the Schlieren photographs is darker for the n-alkane detonations. The overall quality of the n-alkane
photographs is lower. More visible light is observed in the combustion of n-alkane as compared to hydrogen and ethylene.

Figure 28 shows an n-alkane detonation in the tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width. The shock wave and combustion front decouple in frame 6. Strong reflections and detonation reinitiations occur in frame 9 in the crossover width and in frame 13 at the bottom of the secondary detonation tube. The detonation exits the Schlieren field of view in frames 17 and 18.

![Figure 28](image)

Figure 28. Detonation of an n-alkane and air in a tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width, sequentially from left to right, top to bottom. The detonation resulted in a successful Region III reinitiation.

Figure 29 shows a decoupled n-alkane shock wave and combustion front in Region I (labeled in frame 1) that successfully reinitiates in Region III. It appears in frames 1-6 that the shock wave and combustion front are coupling as the distance between the two decreases. The coupling is incomplete in frame 6 as the wave diffracts into the crossover duct. The strong reflections in frame 10 in the crossover duct and in frame 15 at the bottom of the secondary
detonation tube successfully initiate a detonation in Region III without a successful detonation in Region I. The reinitiation in frame 11 likely helped recouple the detonation in Region II, although there is no video of Region II to show how the detonation recoupled. The failed Region I detonation may be the result of the n-alkane deflagration taking more distance to transition to a detonation. While the n-alkane deflagration was not completely transitioned to a detonation in Region I, it likely would have completely transitioned given more distance before the crossover duct. The reinitiations in frames 10, 11 and 15 completed the transition resulting in detonations in Regions II and III.

Figure 29. Detonation of an n-alkane and air in a mid-mid configuration with 2.0 in crossover width, sequentially from left to right, top to bottom. A failed Region I detonation enters in frame 1 and successfully reinitiates in Region III.
The n-alkane detonations fail to reinitiate in Region III with the 0.5 in crossover width as shown in Table 10. Figure 30 shows an n-alkane detonation with the 0.5 in crossover width. The reinitiation in frame 6 reflects off the “D” geometry in frame 7. While frame 14 shows somewhat of a reflection at the bottom of the secondary detonation tube, it is too weak to reinitiate the detonation in Region III. The strong reflections were limited to the crossover duct. More n-alkane detonation photographs are located in Appendix D.

Figure 30. Detonation of an n-alkane and air in a tail-tail configuration with 0.5 in crossover width, sequentially from left to right, top to bottom. The small crossover width limits the strong reflections within the crossover resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation.
5. Region III detonations only

This research was primarily focused on the optimum crossover location and width to achieve branched detonation. The analysis of Regions II and III considers only those runs which originated from a successful Region I detonation. This is done in order to isolate the results as a function of crossover width and location and not as a function of the number of primary tube DDT attempts. Region I detonations will be examined briefly later. Each run at a particular crossover width noted in Tables 8 – 10 consisted of four sparks at 10 Hz in order to observe multiple detonations at each crossover width. Figures 31-33 show the percentage of hydrogen, ethylene, and n-alkane Region III detonations respectively which reinitiate after decoupling in the crossover duct. Data points are connected to show trends only and not to imply linear relationships where no data was recorded.

Figure 31. Region III hydrogen detonations for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations
As seen in Fig. 31, regardless of crossover location or crossover width, hydrogen produced Region III detonations 100% of the time. Hydrogen was expected to successfully produce Region III detonations in both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations across various crossover widths. As mentioned earlier, hydrogen detonates consistently even at low equivalence ratios.\(^{23}\)
In Fig. 32, there are no ethylene Region III detonations at the 0.5 in crossover for either the tail-tail or mid-mid configuration, however, Region III detonations occur 100% of the time for crossover widths of 1.5 in and greater. Ethylene detonations do not successfully transition through the crossover when the crossover width is 0.5 in because the strong reflection does not propagate successfully into Region III as shown earlier.

In Fig. 33, the n-alkane Region III detonations do not occur at all at the 0.5 in crossover width, then steadily increase to 100% at the 1.75 in and 2.0 in crossover widths for the mid-mid and tail-tail configurations respectively. The trend of increasing successful detonations with increasing crossover widths in Fig. 33 appears to suggest again that the smaller crossover widths are less conducive to detonation propagation. At 0.5 inches the crossover width does not allow the reinitiated detonation to propagate into Region III.

6. Region II detonations only

Figures 34-36 show the percentage of successful Region II detonations originating from a successful Region I detonation. In Fig. 34, hydrogen detonates successfully in Region II 100% of the time for all crossover widths in both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations. Hydrogen again consistently detonates as expected regardless of varying conditions.

In Fig. 35, the ethylene Region II mid-mid configuration successfully detonates 100% of the time at each of the crossover widths. The ethylene Region II tail-tail configuration, however, detonates 100% of the time at crossover widths of 0.5 in – 2.0 in, but successful detonations steadily decrease as the crossover width is increased up to 2.5 in. It is possible that entrainment of ambient air decreases the number of successful detonations as the crossover width increases in the tail-tail configuration.
Figure 36 shows that the n-alkane consistently detonated 100% of the time in Region II at each of the crossover widths. The n-alkane tail-tail configuration does not appear to be affected by entrainment of the ambient air in Region II. Strong n-alkane reflections off the corner of the crossover duct may have allowed the n-alkane detonation to successfully transition into Region II.\textsuperscript{19}

Figure 34. Region II hydrogen detonations for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations
7. Regions II and III simultaneous detonations

A detonation originating in Region I ideally propagates to both Regions II and III. While detonations often propagated to either Region II or Region III, the propagation did not always
occur in both regions. Figures 37 – 39 show successful detonations in both Regions II and III originating from the same Region I detonation.

Figure 37. Regions II and III hydrogen detonations for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations

Figure 38. Regions II and III ethylene detonations for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations
Figure 37 shows that hydrogen again was not affected by a change in crossover width or a change in crossover location. Regardless of the different conditions, hydrogen maintains high detonability.

Figure 38 shows the successful Region II and III ethylene detonations in the tail-tail configuration increase from a crossover width of 0.5 in to 1.5 in then decrease steadily as the crossover width increases above 2.0 in. In the mid-mid configuration, the successful ethylene detonations similarly increase from a crossover width of 0.5 in to 1.5 in, however, the mid-mid configuration then consistently produces 100% detonations for crossover widths greater than 1.5 in.

In the tail-tail configuration at the 0.5 in crossover width, the failure of the detonation reinitiation to propagate into Region III limits detonation success. At crossover widths greater than 2.0 in, however, entrainment of the ambient air may limit detonation success as the mid-mid configuration continued to produce 100% successful detonations in both Regions II and III.
In Fig. 39, the n-alkane detonations increase as the crossover width increases, suggesting that at crossover widths of 0.5 in and 1.5 in, the smaller crossover width limits successful detonation reinitiations. Figures D-5, D-6, D-10, and D-12 show crossover widths of 0.5 in and 1.5 in limiting n-alkane detonation reinitiations in Region III.

The n-alkane appears less affected than ethylene by entrainment of the ambient air at larger crossover widths. As mentioned earlier, strong n-alkane reflections may have contributed to the high detonation success rate in Region II. Both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations produce detonations in Regions II and III equivalently at all crossover widths with the exception of 1.75 in where there was no tail-tail configuration data.

8. The PDE system

It is beneficial to define the PDE system in terms of inputs and desired outputs in order to establish a baseline for performance. Figure 40 depicts the PDE system as viewed for purposes of this research.

As seen in Fig. 40, for every spark in the primary detonation tube there are two desired detonations: one out the tail end of the primary detonation tube and one through the crossover.
duct into the secondary detonation tube. For every X, an optimal system would produce 100% Y1 and 100% Y2. A figure of merit, defined as the sum of Y1% plus Y2%, is used as a baseline in order to compare the performance of the different configurations. The desired figure of merit is 200.

Figure 41 compares the hydrogen figures of merit for both tail-tail and mid-mid configurations every time a spark was fired, regardless of a successful detonation in Region I. This research was primarily focused on the optimum crossover location and width to achieve branched detonation. The analyses of Regions II and III considered only those runs which originated from a successful Region I detonation. Analyzing Regions II and III in this manner isolates the results as a function of crossover width and location and not as a function of the number of primary tube DDT attempts. Detonations did not occur in Region I 100% of the time, however. Each run at a particular crossover width noted in Tables 8 – 10 consisted of four sparks at 10 Hz in order to observe multiple detonations at each crossover width. Figure 42 shows the percentage of Region I hydrogen detonations. Comparing Figs. 41 and 42, it is clear that the hydrogen figure of merit is limited by successful Region I detonations at the 2.5 in crossover width in the mid-mid configuration.
In Fig. 43, the ethylene tail-tail figure of merit is better than the mid-mid figure of merit. Compared to the Region I data in Fig. 44, the ethylene mid-mid figure of merit appears limited by Region I detonations. In Fig. 38, with a successful Region I detonation, the ethylene mid-mid
configuration produced 100% detonations in Regions II and III more often than the tail-tail configuration. While this research did not examine the variables affecting successful Region I detonations, the higher success rate of Region I detonations in the tail-tail configuration contributed to the higher tail-tail figure of merit.

Figure 43. Ethylene figure of merit for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations

Figure 44. Ethylene Region I detonations for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations
Figure 39 shows that smaller crossover widths (0.5 in and 1.5 in) appear to limit successful n-alkane detonation propagation in both the tail-tail and mid-mid configurations. The smaller crossover width likewise limits the figure of merit in Fig. 45. There appears to be no correlation between Region I detonations and figure of merit for the n-alkane, as seen in Figs. 45 and 46. As shown earlier, the n-alkane detonation can successfully reinitiate and propagate into another region without a Region I detonation.

Figure 45. n-Alkane figure of merit for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations
Figure 46. n-Alkane Region I detonations for tail-tail and mid-mid configurations

9. Crossover width

Figure 47 depicts the crossover width and detonation tube height.

Figure 47. Depiction of crossover width, \( w_{cr} \), and detonation tube height, \( h_{td} \)
The crossover ratio, $r_{cr}$, is defined in Eq. (23).

$$r_{cr} = \frac{w_{cr}}{ht_d}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

For hydrogen and ethylene in the mid-mid configuration with a crossover ratio of 1.0, the Region III detonation reinitiates, recouples across the height of the secondary detonation tube and becomes planar in the case of hydrogen and nearly-planar in the case of ethylene before the detonations leave the Schlieren field of view. The crossover ratio of 1 appears to reduce the distance in which the shock and combustion front recouple across the entire tube and become planar, possibly indicating a more efficient branched detonation and an optimal crossover ratio. Reinitiated planar detonations can be seen in Figs. 48 and 50 as compared to Figs. 49 and 51. Each pair of figures depicts a 13 µs interval. A crossover width of 1.5 in is shown in Figs. 49 and 51 as an example of the crossover ratio not equal to unity. Crossover ratios less than unity were less consistent in producing successful detonation reinitiations.

Figure 48. Mid-mid configuration hydrogen-air detonation with 2.0 in crossover width reinitiates, recouples across the entire secondary detonation tube and becomes planar in secondary tube prior to leaving the visible section of the crossover.
Figure 49. Mid-mid configuration hydrogen-air detonation with 1.5 in crossover width reinitiates but is neither coupled across the entire secondary tube nor planar prior to leaving the visible section of the crossover.

Figure 50. Mid-mid configuration ethylene-air detonation with 2.0 in crossover width reinitiates, recouples across the entire secondary tube and becomes nearly-planar prior to leaving the visible section of the crossover.
Figure 51. Mid-mid configuration ethylene-air detonation with 1.5 in crossover width reinitiates but is neither coupled across the entire secondary tube nor planar prior to leaving the visible section of the crossover.

Figure 52 shows that the effects of crossover width on the distance required for a reinitiated planar n-alkane detonation are inconclusive due to the quality of the Schlieren photographs.

Figure 52. Mid-mid configuration n-alkane-air detonation with 2.0 in crossover width. It is not clear whether the detonation is planar or not due to the quality of the photograph.
V. Conclusions

This research reports on how crossover location and width affect tube-to-tube initiation in a PDE. Branched detonations were observed in Regions II and III for a crossover width of 2.0 in regardless of crossover location. For the mid-mid crossover location, branched detonations were observed 100% of the time for crossover widths of 1.75-2.5 in.

1. Effects of crossover location

The mid-mid crossover location is more conducive to hydrocarbon branched detonation propagation than the tail-tail configuration. The mid-mid location produces 100% detonations in Regions II and III with a crossover width between 1.75-2.5 in. The mid-mid crossover is less affected than the tail-tail crossover location by the leaned-out equivalence ratio in the crossover section due to entrainment of the ambient air. It will be important to note in the employment of multiple mid-mid crossover locations across multiple detonation tubes, that the crossovers should be alternating and offset from each other far enough that the branched detonation is able to reinitiate and begin traveling in the direction of the detonation tube before diffracting into another crossover duct. Ensuring that the detonation has enough distance to reinitiate and begin traveling down the tube will avoid undesired detonation diffraction across multiple mid-mid crossover locations simultaneously.

2. Effects of crossover width

Branched detonations propagate more successfully through crossover widths approximately equal to the detonation tube height. Ethylene detonations occur in Regions II and III 100% of the time for crossover widths of 1.5-2.5 in for the mid-mid configuration. A crossover width of 2.25-2.5 in produces ethylene detonations in all three regions in the mid-mid configuration every time there was a spark. The n-Alkane detonations occur in Regions II and III 100% of the time
for crossover widths of 2.0-2.5 in for either the tail-tail or the mid-mid configuration. A
crossover width of 2.5 in produces n-alkane detonations in all three regions in the mid-mid
configuration for every spark.

3. Future Work

a. Crossover width

By repeating the tests in this research with multiple detonation tube sizes, the results could be
used to validate the optimal crossover ratio. Based on data from tests with multiple detonation
tube sizes, the optimal crossover ratio that provides the most consistent branched detonation
could be utilized in an application regardless of the size of the detonation tube.

b. Thrust

Thrust measurements were not taken during this research. It would be worth examining
whether the tail-tail or mid-mid configuration has any effect on the amount of thrust produced.
Additionally, the amount of thrust produced from the secondary detonation tube may be affected
by the distance required for the reinitiated detonation to become planar, because a planar
detonation may produce thrust more efficiently than a reinitiated detonation that is not yet planar.
If the detonation becomes planar in a shorter distance, the amount of thrust produced from the
secondary detonation tube may be greater. Utilizing the ratio of crossover width to detonation
tube height that results in the shortest distance required to produce a planar detonation may help
maximize the thrust in the secondary detonation tube regardless of the detonation tube height.

c. Region I detonations

This research focused on how crossover location and width affected branched detonations in
Regions II and III. As seen in the results, Region I detonations were not consistent. Future
testing could vary spiral length and tube size in order to isolate which combination of variables
produce consistent Region I detonations. A spiral length and tube size that consistently produce Region I detonations combined with the crossover width and crossover location that consistently produce Region II and III detonations may be utilized in an overall best configuration to most consistently produce branched detonations.

**d. Ion probes**

Due to the limited number of ion probe channels, this research did not use ion probes on the tail end of the secondary detonation tube. Future tests may include these ion probes to ensure that the branched detonation is propagating out the tail end of the secondary detonation tube. Detonations that fail to successfully propagate out the tail end of the secondary detonation tube in either the tail-tail or mid-mid configuration would likely decrease the amount of thrust produced.
Appendix A. Summary of tests

Each run in Tables A-1 through A-3 consisted of 4 sparks at 10 Hz. A dash mark in the wave speed column indicates a failed detonation attempt with no recorded wave speeds. For the hydrogen and n-alkane tests, detonations in Region II were assumed in accordance with Fig. 23, although Region II detonation wave speeds were not recorded due to having only one good ion probe voltage drop.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run #</th>
<th>Crossover width (in)</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Wave Speed (m/s) Region I</th>
<th>Wave Speed (m/s) Region III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>3527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>2267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #</td>
<td>Crossover width (in)</td>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>Wave Speed (m/s)</td>
<td>Region I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td></td>
<td>2081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2152</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>2437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>3175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1854</td>
<td>2540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1763</td>
<td>2116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>2721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>1313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2442</td>
<td>1465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>2721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>2116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1468</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>2152</td>
<td>2241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>1524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>1860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>1792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run #</td>
<td>Crossover width (in)</td>
<td>Configuration</td>
<td>Wave Speed (m/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Region I</td>
<td>Region III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>2241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>2381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>1175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1524</td>
<td>1336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>804</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>1854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>2731</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Tail-Tail</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1814</td>
<td>1814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>1428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>1716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>1854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>1827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>2152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>3298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>1309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>1544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>1693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>1854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>1351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Mid-Mid</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1329</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. Hydrogen detonation photographs

Figure B-1. Hydrogen-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure B-2. Hydrogen-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.25 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure B-3. Hydrogen-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure B-4. Hydrogen-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 1.75 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure B-5. Hydrogen-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 1.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation.

Figure B-6. Hydrogen-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 0.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation.
Figure B-7. Hydrogen-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation.

Figure B-8. Hydrogen-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.25 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation.
Figure B-9. Hydrogen-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure B-10. Hydrogen-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 1.75 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure B-11. Hydrogen-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 1.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure B-12. Hydrogen-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 0.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Appendix C. Ethylene detonation photographs

Figure C-1. Ethylene-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure C-2. Ethylene-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.25 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure C-3. Ethylene-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure C-4. Ethylene-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 1.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure C-5. Ethylene-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 0.5 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation

Figure C-6. Ethylene-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure C-7. Ethylene-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.25 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure C-8. Ethylene-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure C-9. Ethylene-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 1.75 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure C-10. Ethylene-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 1.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure C-11. Ethylene-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 0.5 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation.
Appendix D. n-Alkane detonation photographs

The DDT spiral and spark were switched into tube 2 in order to remedy initiation failures in tube 4 due to a valve that would not close properly. As a result, the n-alkane detonation photographs depict the primary detonation tube on the top and the secondary detonation tube on the bottom. The detonations proceed from top to bottom as opposed to proceeding from bottom to top as with hydrogen and ethylene. Additionally, oil was sprayed through the leaking valve in tube 4 and covered the polycarbonate window. As a result, the field of view in the Schlieren photographs is darker for the n-alkane detonations.

Figure D-1. n-Alkane-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure D-2. n-Alkane-air failed detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.25 in crossover width
Figure D-3. n-Alkane-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.25 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure D-4. n-Alkane-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation
Figure D-5. n-Alkane-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 1.5 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation
Figure D-6. n-Alkane-air detonation in tail-tail configuration with 0.5 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation
Figure D-7. n-Alkane-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region I detonation, but a successful Region III reinitiation.

Figure D-8. n-Alkane-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.0 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation.
Figure D-9. n-Alkane-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 1.75 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation

Figure D-10. n-Alkane-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 1.5 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation
Figure D-11. n-Alkane-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 2.5 in crossover width resulting in a successful Region III reinitiation.

Figure D-12. n-Alkane-air detonation in mid-mid configuration with 0.5 in crossover width resulting in a failed Region III reinitiation.
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**Title:** Determination of Effective Crossover Location and Dimensions for Branched Detonation in a Pulsed Detonation Engine

**Abstract:**
A study is presented of the optimal crossover duct location and width to obtain consistent branched detonation transition from one detonation tube to another. On a Pulsed Detonation Engine (PDE) with detonation branching, the duct location at which the detonation crosses from one (primary) tube to a branched (secondary) tube impacts the number of successful detonations. In this paper, a comparison is made of the effects of the location and width of the crossover duct for hydrogen, ethylene and an n-alkane. The crossover location is varied from the aft end of the detonation tube to the middle of the detonation tube while the crossover width is varied from 2.5 in to 0.5 in. Detonation wave speeds are measured and compared to Chapman-Jouguet velocities in order to determine successful detonations. Regardless of crossover location, all three fuels are demonstrated 100% of the time to transition between 2 in detonation tubes with a crossover width of 2 in. With a mid-location crossover duct, all three fuels are demonstrated 100% of the time to transition detonations between 2 in detonation tubes with a crossover width between 1.75 in and 2.5 in.
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