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ABSTRACT

Band-to-band transition energy parameters for single-crystal actinide samples of uranium oxide and thorium oxide were determined and compared using spectroscopic ellipsometry and critical-point dielectric function analyses. Spectroscopic ellipsometry measurements from the near-infrared to the vacuum ultraviolet spectral region were used to determine the dielectric functions of uranium oxide and thorium oxide. The critical-point structure is similar between UO₂ and ThO₂ but strongly blue shifted for ThO₂. We find bandgap energies of 2.1 eV and 5.4 eV for UO₂ and ThO₂, respectively.
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Uranium dioxide (urania), UO₂, and thorium dioxide (thoria), ThO₂, have garnered interest recently as semiconductors suitable for neutron detection.1,2 Present knowledge of the electronic and optical properties of ThO₂ is scarce. Knowledge for UO₂ is also not exhaustive. Until recently, experimental studies of optical and electronic properties of UO₂ and ThO₂ have been limited due to the nonavailability of single-crystal samples of optical quality. Our previous research on these samples indicates high-quality ordered structures of near stoichiometric UO₂ as indicated by core level photoemission spectroscopy and other techniques3–7 and compared to previous measurements of growth by other processes.8 This study is a continuation of the previous work given that we have evidence that we have near-stoichiometric UO₂3,5,9,10 and ThO₂6,7,9 permitting detailed analysis of their optical properties.

Few measurements of optical properties in the near-infrared to ultraviolet spectral region exist for UO₂: Schoenes studied reflectance from UO₂ single crystals in the range of 0.03 eV–13 eV and determined the complex-valued dielectric function, \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + i\varepsilon_2 \), from
numerical Kramers–Kronig integral calculations. Meek et al. measured transmission of UO2 thin films and determined the absorption properties. He et al. used spectrscopic ellipsometry and density functional theory to explore the bandgap of uranium oxide thin films with various compositions. Siekhaus and Crowhurst and Dugan et al. used spectrscopic ellipsometry to determine the critical-point structures. Critical-point structures are associated with singularities in the joint density of states and caused by electronic band-to-band transitions. Critical-point features have different shapes corresponding to the type of the band structure singularities and can be characterized by critical-point transition energy, broadening, and amplitude parameters. For UO2, significant shifts were observed previously in the optical critical-point features obtained by reflectivity and by ellipsometry. No measurements of optical properties have been reported thus far for ThO2.

Both uranium and thorium are similar with 6 valence electrons in uranium (electron configuration [Rn] 5s2 6s2 5d10 6p4) and 4 valence electrons in thorium ([Rn] 5s2 6s2 5d10 6p6). Their oxides are equivalent in structure, fluorite (cubic), and similar in unit cell dimensions. A comparison of the dielectric functions of single-crystal UO2 and ThO2 is now possible from analysis of spectrscopic ellipsometry measurements from the near-infrared to vacuum ultraviolet spectral region. We performed a critical-point analysis on the dielectric functions and compared the band-to-band transition parameters obtained from the analysis for the two actinide oxides.

In order to obtain meaningful physical information from spectrscopic ellipsometric data, appropriate physical models must be utilized for data analysis. UO2 and ThO2 are both optically isotropic, and hence, it is assumed here that no polarization mode conversion between parallel (p) and perpendicular (s) occurs upon reflection of the light from the surfaces of the single crystals. The substrate–ambient boundary condition approximation was chosen, where the single-crystal actinides are considered as optically thick, quasihalf-infinite substrates. Finite roughness at the single crystal–ambient interface affects the spectrscopic ellipsometry data and must be accounted for. Specifically, mechanically polished single crystal surfaces contain residual surface defect regions. An effective medium approximation was used to mimic the effects of physical surface roughness by an effective over-layer with effective dielectric function values and with effective thicknesses much smaller than the wavelengths. Typically, the surface roughness layer thickness corresponds to the average physical surface height variation. Here, the dielectric function of the surface roughness layer was calculated by averaging $n$ of the actinide single crystal with void ($\varepsilon = 1$) with a variable volume ratio. The remaining model parameters are then the thickness of the surface roughness layer and the real and the imaginary parts of $\varepsilon$ for every wavelength. Thus, a wavelength-by-wavelength regression analysis was utilized to determine all unknown parameters.

The optical critical-point features govern the spectral shape of the dielectric function of materials in the band-to-band transition spectral region. Critical-point structures can be rendered by model dielectric function approaches. The critical-point features are accounted for by 5 Gaussian-broadened oscillators, to render the imaginary part for each of their critical-point contribution, $n$.

$$
\varepsilon_2(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n \left[ e^{-\left( \frac{\omega_2}{\gamma_2} \right)^2} - e^{-\left( \frac{\omega_2}{\gamma_2} \right)^2} \right],
$$

where

$$
\sigma_n = \frac{B_n}{2\sqrt{\ln(2)}},
$$

and the real part of $\varepsilon$ is obtained from Kramers–Kronig integration

$$
\varepsilon_1(E) = \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\varepsilon_2(\xi)}{\xi^2 - E^2} d\xi,
$$

Here, $A_n$, $E_n$, and $B_n$ denote the nth-critical-point amplitude, transition energy, and transition broadening parameters, respectively. $E$ is the photon energy, and $\varepsilon_\infty$ is the static contribution to the dielectric function.

The hydrothermal synthesis technique was used to grow ThO2 and UO2 single crystals for this study. Growth procedures for ThO2 and UO2 were quite similar in temperature, pressure, and mineralizer solution. The mineralizer solution for both growth reactions was a 6 M cesium fluoride solution (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%). Growth reactions were contained in sealed silver ampoules (99.95% Ag, Refining Systems Inc.) to minimize impurities that could be leached from the walls of the Inconel autoclave. These were sealed at either end via welding. For the ThO2 sample, a seed crystal of ThO2 was suspended in the upper portion of the silver tube on a silver seed rack. A charge of ThO2 nutrient/feedstock (99.99% thorium oxide, International Bio-analytical Laboratories) was placed in the lower portion of the tube with a porous silver baffle separating the feedstock and the seed crystal. The baffle limits thermal mixing and ensures two distinct temperature regions in the tube. Once fully assembled, the silver tubes were placed in a 250 mL Inconel autoclave. Counter-pressure water was added to 80%–85% of the remaining volume to prevent the silver tube from rupturing due to pressure from the mineralizer solution.

Band heaters were placed on the autoclave to form two temperature zones that correspond to the feedstock and seed crystal zones. The cesium fluoride solution dissolves the feedstock at the higher temperature (dissolution zone) and forms a saturated solution. By adjusting the seed crystal (crystallization zone) to a lower temperature, a natural convective flow was established, thereby circulating the saturated solution to the cooler region. The lower temperature results in a supersaturated solution and ThO2 is precipitated from solution onto the seed crystal. For ThO2, the dissolution zone temperature was 650 °C and the crystallization zone was 600 °C, which generated a pressure of 172 MPa. These conditions were maintained for 90 days. Upon cooling, the ThO2 seed crystal was extracted from the silver tube and rinsed to remove the residual cesium fluoride mineralizer. The UO2 sample was also grown on a ThO2 seed crystal, as no large UO2 substrates/seed were available. The mineralizer solution, temperatures, and pressures were exactly the same for the UO2 (99.998% uranium oxide, International Bio-analytical Laboratories) growth procedure, although the growth was only maintained for 50 days.

Both samples were ground flat along the (100) crystallographic plane and polished. The samples were sonicated in both acetone and de-ionized water to remove residual crystal bond and loose particulates. Lattice parameters for ThO2 and UO2 were obtained via single crystal X-ray diffraction (XtaLAB mini, Rigaku). Due to the excessive size of the ThO2 and UO2 crystals used in the ellipsometry measurements, lattice parameters could not be determined directly from these samples. Smaller spontaneously nucleated crystals of ThO2 and UO2...
grown under similar conditions were used to measure the representative lattice parameters. For ThO₂, the lattice parameter was (5.6070 ± 0.0006) Å, which is consistent with the expected value of a = 559.7 pm. The lattice parameters of UO₂ were observed in previous publications as (5.4703 ± 0.0006) Å corresponding to a stoichiometry of UO₂. Further discussion and evidence supporting stoichiometric nature of these samples can be found in the supplementary material.

Spectroscopic ellipsometry data were collected from 0.75 eV to 6.4 eV with a dual-rotating compensator ellipsometer (RC2, J. A. Woollam Co., Inc.) as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Data were acquired at seven angles of incidence (Φ_a = 45°, 50°, 55°, 60°, 65°, 70°, and 75°); however, while all are used in the data analysis, only three are shown for clarity. The spectral resolution from this instrument is 1 nm.

In the spectral range from 5 eV to 9 eV, data were collected with a nitrogen-purged rotating analyzer ellipsometer (VUV-VASE, J. A. Woollam Co., Inc.). In this region, data were acquired at three angles of incidence (Φ_a = 50°, 60°, and 70°). The resolution for this measurement was chosen to be 0.02 eV. Note also that although there is a significant overlap in the acquired data range, we show only data from 6.5 eV and above in Figs. 1 and 2 for clarity, with a dashed vertical line indicating the switch.

Figures 1 and 2 show experimental (symbols) and best-match model calculated (solid lines) spectroscopic ellipsometry data from multiple angles of incidence for the UO₂ and ThO₂ single crystals, respectively. We note excellent agreement between experimental data and model simulation data. The model simulation data were obtained by wavelength-by-wavelength determining ε and the surface roughness effective layer thickness. For the UO₂ crystal, we obtain a roughness effective layer thickness of approximately 4 nm, assuming that the roughness effective layer is formed from a 50:50 volume ratio of void and UO₂ material (dielectric function). For ThO₂, we obtain a roughness effective layer with a 10:90 volume ratio of void and ThO₂, with effective roughness layer thickness values of approximately 10 nm and 20 nm, differing between the VUV and RC2 measurements, respectively, which we identify as caused by surface effects due to the crystal polishing processes. The submicroscopic surface roughness also causes an angular spread of the reflected measurement beams, which enter the model analysis by considering the apertures of the two instruments. This modification of the model analysis consists of the consideration of a reflected beam with a spread over the angle of incidence which corresponds to the entrance pupil of the detector. This entrance is larger for the VUV instrument (approximately 8°) than for the near-IR–VIS instrument (approximately 3°). As a result, for the ThO₂ sample, a small offset is seen for the ellipsometry data across the spectral transition between the two instruments (Fig. 2). Note that this offset is purely instrumental and due to surface roughness, and both effects are thereby removed numerically as shown in the resulting dielectric function for ThO₂ in Fig. 4. Figures 3 and 4 depict the real
and imaginary parts of ε for UO₂ and ThO₂, respectively, obtained from our data analyses (symbols). For both samples, we observe a vanishing imaginary part of the dielectric response toward the near-infrared spectral range, indicative of a semiconductor material with nonvanishing bandgap energy. We observe a long tail-like feature in the ThO₂ dielectric function below the bandgap, indicative of the existence of crystalline defects within the ThO₂ sample.

Figures 3 and 4 also show the best-match model calculated critical-point contributions identified within our critical-point model analysis (solid lines). The individual critical-point contributions and their corresponding band-to-band transition center energy parameters are shown in the imaginary part of the dielectric functions with color coordinated vertical lines, in Figs. 3 and 4, thereby identifying the center energy, Eₙ, of each Gaussian oscillator rendering as close as possible the wavelength-by-wavelength determined functions ε for UO₂ and ThO₂, respectively. Table I and Table II list all critical-point parameter values within the investigated spectral range for the UO₂ single-crystal sample and for the ThO₂ single-crystal sample, respectively. We observe a large tail in the imaginary part of the dielectric function of ThO₂ below the bandgap which we model with a very broad Gaussian oscillator centered at higher energy within the investigated spectral range. This oscillator also accounts for all higher energy transitions which contribute to the dielectric function and a similar oscillator centered at higher energy was used in the UO₂ critical-point analysis as well.

The five distinct critical-point features in the optical response of both actinide oxides are enumerated on the order of ascending transition energy (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). These features are plotted using the same color codes in Figs. 3 and 4. In both cases, the 3rd (n = 3) critical-point features are the strongest contributions to the respective dielectric functions. As noted at the outset, while uranium and thorium have quite distinct configurations of valence electrons (uranium: [Rn] 5f⁶ 6d¹ 7s²; thorium: [Rn] 6d⁶ 7s²), UO₂ and ThO₂ have many similarities, as is also evident from this work. Uranium’s 5f electrons are not expected to participate in bonding and hence both actinide oxides crystallize in the same cubic fluorite structure, with only a slightly higher lattice constant for ThO₂.

The lowest critical-point transition energies, E₁UO₂ = 2.1 eV and E₁ThO₂ = 5.4 eV, are the fundamental band-to-band transition energy (bandgap energy) of the respective oxide. In spite of many similarities, it is clear that the critical-point features are sharper and more closely spaced for the wider bandgap material (ThO₂). This is expected for a wide bandgap oxide. In the case of UO₂, this experimentally determined lowest critical-point transition energy shows excellent agreement with the previously published results of band structure calculations, which predicted a bandgap energy of 2.19 eV. Several studies have been conducted of the band structure of ThO₂,22–24 The calculated values of the bandgap span a broad range of 3.3–6.9 eV. Our experimental value of 5.4 eV falls somewhere in the middle of this broad range but does not seem to match any specific published values.

In summary, high-quality, single-crystal actinide oxide samples of uranium oxide and thorium oxide were synthesized and investigated by structural and optical methods. A spectroscopic ellipsometry analysis determined the dielectric functions for both oxides, which reflect critical-point structures similar in appearance but shifted in photon energy. Five critical-point structures are observed in both materials, where the lowest bandgap energies of 2.1 eV are found for UO₂ and 5.4 eV for ThO₂. A strong blue shift by approximately 2–3 eV is obtained for all band-to-band transitions in ThO₂ with respect to UO₂.

See the supplementary material for details related to thoria and urania sample quality, stoichiometry, and electronic structure.
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