Air Force Institute of Technology

AFIT Scholar

Faculty Publications

3-2020

Synthesizing General Electromagnetic Partially Coherent Sources from Random, Correlated Complex Screens

Milo W. Hyde IV Air Force Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.afit.edu/facpub

Part of the Electromagnetics and Photonics Commons, and the Optics Commons

Recommended Citation

Hyde M. W. (2020). Synthesizing general electromagnetic partially coherent sources from random, correlated complex screens. Optics, 1(1), 97–113. https://doi.org/10.3390/opt1010008

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by AFIT Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of AFIT Scholar. For more information, please contact AFIT.ENWL.Repository@us.af.mil.





Article Synthesizing General Electromagnetic Partially Coherent Sources from Random, Correlated Complex Screens

Milo W. Hyde IV

Air Force Institute of Technology, 2950 Hobson Way, Dayton, OH 45433, USA; milo.hyde@us.af.mil

Received: 8 February 2020; Accepted: 26 February 2020; Published: 1 March 2020



Abstract: We present a method to generate any genuine electromagnetic partially coherent source (PCS) from correlated, stochastic complex screens. The method described here can be directly implemented on existing spatial-light-modulator-based vector beam generators and can be used in any application which utilizes electromagnetic PCSs. Our method is based on the genuine cross-spectral density matrix criterion. Applying that criterion, we show that stochastic vector field realizations (corresponding to a desired electromagnetic PCS) can be generated by passing correlated Gaussian random numbers through "filters" with space-variant transfer functions. We include step-by-step instructions on how to generate the electromagnetic PCS field realizations. As an example, we simulate the synthesis of a new electromagnetic PCS. Using Monte Carlo analysis, we compute statistical moments from independent optical field realizations and compare those to the corresponding theory. We find that our method produces the desired source—the correct shape, polarization, and coherence properties—within 600 field realizations.

Keywords: coherence; space-variant filtering; statistical optics; vector sources

1. Introduction

In the time since Emil Wolf fundamentally linked polarization and spatial coherence [1–4], optical scientists have expended much effort designing partially coherent vector sources for many applications, such as free-space optical communications, optical tweezers, medicine, directed energy, and remote sensing [5–10]. This effort is due to the highly customizable nature of these vector sources, where beam shape, polarization, and coherence can be precisely controlled, not only in the source plane, but at other locations as well [11–31].

Following Wolf's notation, a wide-sense stationary electromagnetic (or vector) partially coherent source (PCS) is mathematically described by its cross-spectral density (CSD) matrix **W**:

$$\mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\omega) = \langle \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\omega) \boldsymbol{E}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\omega) \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle E_{x}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\omega) E_{x}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\omega) \rangle & \langle E_{x}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\omega) E_{y}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\omega) \rangle \\ \langle E_{y}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\omega) E_{x}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\omega) \rangle & \langle E_{y}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\omega) E_{y}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\omega) \rangle \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $\rho_{1,2} = \hat{x}x_{1,2} + \hat{y}y_{1,2}$, ω is the radian frequency (hereafter, assumed and suppressed), \dagger is the conjugate transpose, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the ensemble expectation operator, and $E = \hat{x}E_x + \hat{y}E_y$ is a realization of the stochastic electric field [1–3,5]. The CSD matrix **W** must satisfy the criteria enumerated in Refs. [2,5] to be physically realizable or genuine. The polarization state of the field can be ascertained from **W** via the elements of the Stokes vector (Stokes parameters), i.e.,

Optics 2020, 1

$$S_{0}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = W_{xx}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho}) + W_{yy}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho})$$

$$S_{1}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = W_{xx}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho}) - W_{yy}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho})$$

$$S_{2}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = W_{xy}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho}) + W_{yx}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho})$$

$$S_{3}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = j \left[W_{yx}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho}) - W_{xy}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\rho}) \right]$$
(2)

where $j = \sqrt{-1}$, or the polarization ellipse, viz.,

$$\varepsilon_{x}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[S_{1}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + \sqrt{S_{1}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + 4 |W_{xy}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho})|^{2}} \right] \right\}^{1/2} \cos(\omega t)$$

$$\varepsilon_{y}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[-S_{1}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + \sqrt{S_{1}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + 4 |W_{xy}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho})|^{2}} \right] \right\}^{1/2} \cos\{\omega t + \arg[W_{xy}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho})] \right\}$$
(3)

where *t* is time, and ε_x and ε_y are the *x* and *y* components of the polarized portion of the random electric field [5]. Note that plotting ε_y versus ε_x over one wave, traces out an ellipse.

There are generally two ways to synthesize electromagnetic PCSs. The first starts with a spatially incoherent, partially polarized source, and then "spatially filters" the vector components of that source to form the desired electromagnetic PCS [32,33]. The vector component spatial filters are optical systems that have the proper transfer functions, or impulse responses. This method produces the electromagnetic PCS in near real-time; however, in most cases, systems with the required transfer functions are not optically realizable. One very notable exception is electromagnetic Schell-model (uniformly correlated) sources, where the required transfer functions can be realized with spherical lenses [7,12,25,34–43]. We discuss this approach in more detail later in the paper.

The second method starts with a coherent, polarized source, and then transforms the vector components of that source into realizations drawn from the ensemble of all optical fields consistent with the desired electromagnetic PCS [5,14,26,37,44–50]. The transformation from coherent, polarized source to stochastic field realization is accomplished using spatial light modulators (SLMs). In contrast to the first technique, the desired electromagnetic PCS is not produced in real time, as this method relies on SLMs to produce random realizations. However, as we discuss and show in this paper, since field realizations can be computed numerically, this method can produce any physically realizable electromagnetic PCS. This approach is the focus of this paper.

Using the second synthesis method, an electromagnetic PCS can be generated using an apparatus like that in Figure 1. We note that there are variants of this design in the literature, e.g., setups that use a single SLM, use SLMs of different type, or operate on a different basis polarization (circular versus linear states) [7,51–58]. All function using the same basic principle, namely, independent control of the two basis polarization components.

We begin at the laser in Figure 1—light from which passes through a half-wave plate (HWP) followed by a polarizing beam splitter (PBS). The HWP-PBS combination serves to coarsely adjust the power between the horizontal (E_x) and vertical (E_y) legs of the apparatus (here, we assume a linear basis).

After being split into orthogonal linear components, the light is incident on SLMs—assumed to be liquid crystal (LC) SLMs. Since LCSLMs function by modulating the index ellipsoid of a uniaxial material, they can control polarized light only in a particular state (here, the vertical state) [59,60]. This explains the HWP before the SLM in the E_y leg.

SLMs (depicted as reflective SLMs in Figure 1) commonly modulate light by acting as phase gratings that produce the desired fields in the gratings' first diffraction orders [61]. For this reason, both the E_x and E_y legs contain spatial filters (SFs) that pass the first diffraction orders and block the others.



Figure 1. Schematic of an electromagnetic PCS generator—BE is beam expander, HWP is half-wave plate, PBS is polarizing beam splitter, LCSLM is liquid crystal spatial light modulator, M is mirror, and SF is spatial filter.

Lastly, the light in both legs is recombined in the final PBS—the HWP in the E_x leg is required for this to happen. A field realization is formed at a plane somewhere beyond the final PBS. The location of that plane ultimately depends on the focal lengths of the lenses in the SFs.

Beginning in the next section, we develop the second synthesis method and show that it is capable of generating any physically realizable electromagnetic PCS. Modeling each field's vector component as a deterministic function (corresponding to the component's shape) multiplied by a random screen (related to the SLM commands), we derive expressions (superposition integrals) for the E_x and E_y screens that yield electric field realizations consistent with the **W** of a desired electromagnetic PCS. Using this analysis, we develop a step-by-step procedure, or recipe, for generating the aforementioned screens from matrices of zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussian random numbers.

To validate our work, we simulate the apparatus in Figure 1 to generate field realizations of a new electromagnetic PCS. From these realizations, we compute planar cuts through the four-dimensional (4D) elements of **W** and compare those results to the corresponding theory. Lastly, we conclude with a brief summary of our work and key findings.

2. Materials and Methods

Our analysis begins with the necessary and sufficient criterion for a genuine CSD matrix **W** [32,33], namely,

$$\mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathbf{H}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{v}, \tag{4}$$

where $v = \hat{x}v_x + \hat{y}v_y$. The symbol **p** in Equation (4) is

Optics 2020, 1

$$\mathbf{p}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} p_{xx}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right) & p_{xy}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right) \\ p_{xy}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right) & p_{yy}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right) \end{bmatrix},$$
(5)

where $p_{xx}(v) \ge 0$, $p_{yy}(v) \ge 0$, and $p_{xx}(v) p_{yy}(v) - |p_{xy}(v)|^2 \ge 0 \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Lastly, **H** is

$$\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} H_{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{v}) & 0\\ 0 & H_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{v}) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (6)$$

where H_x and H_y are arbitrary kernels.

As noted in reference [32], Equation (4) provides a recipe for synthesizing electromagnetic PCSs. Generalizing the scalar case [62], a vector PCS with an arbitrary **W** can, in principle, be generated by passing a spatially incoherent field with a polarization matrix **p** through two linear optical systems. The transfer functions of those systems, which are generally space-variant (or shift-variant) and operate on the field's E_x and E_y vector components, are given by H_x and H_y , respectively.

For an arbitrary **W**, it is not likely that the required H_x and H_y are optically realizable. A notable exception is electromagnetic Schell-model sources, where H_x and H_y are Fourier kernels and can easily be realized using spherical lenses—this generally explains their popularity (see above references). Other transfer functions that can be realized physically are Fresnel and Mellin kernels [63].

To synthesize a general electromagnetic PCS, e.g., an electromagnetic nonuniformly correlated beam [15,24,64], we must generate random E_x and E_y realizations, where we perform the filtering (evaluate superposition integrals) numerically. The E_x and E_y realizations are then physically generated using a coherent light source and SLMs (see Figure 1). The electromagnetic PCS is formed from the incoherent addition of many such realizations.

It is important to note that electromagnetic PCSs synthesized in this manner are not produced in real time. This stands in contrast to the approach discussed in reference [32]. Unfortunately, this is the price of flexibility.

We desire to produce random optical field realizations of the form

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} E_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} E_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \tau_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) T_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \tau_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) T_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}), \qquad (7)$$

where τ_x and τ_y are deterministic complex functions (physically, the E_x and E_y shapes), and T_x and T_y are circular complex Gaussian screens. Taking the vector auto-correlation of E and comparing the result to Equation (4) produces

$$\tau_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}\right)\tau_{\beta}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}\right)\left\langle T_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}\right)T_{\beta}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}\right)\right\rangle =\int\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}p_{\alpha\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right)H_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{v}\right)H_{\beta}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\boldsymbol{v}\right)\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{v},\tag{8}$$

where $\alpha, \beta = x, y$. We let $H_{\alpha}(\rho, v) = \tau_{\alpha}(\rho) h_{\alpha}(\rho, v)$, which simplifies Equation (8) to

$$\langle T_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}\right)T_{\beta}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}\right)\rangle = \int\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}p_{\alpha\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right)h_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{v}\right)h_{\beta}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\boldsymbol{v}\right)\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{v}.$$
(9)

Since the diagonal **W** elements, i.e., W_{xx} and W_{yy} , can be isolated from an arbitrary **W** simply by passing the source through a horizontal or vertical linear polarizer, both W_{xx} and W_{yy} must satisfy the necessary and sufficient criterion for scalar CSD functions [33,62]. Therefore, we can use the recently derived scalar result to produce T_{α} with spatial statistics consistent with $W_{\alpha\alpha}$ [50], namely,

$$T_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} p_{\alpha\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{v}\right)\right]^{1/2} h_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{v}\right) \mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{v},\tag{10}$$

where r_{α} is a delta-correlated, complex, Gaussian random function. Note that $\langle r_{\alpha}(v_1) r_{\alpha}^*(v_2) \rangle = 2\delta(v_1 - v_2)$, where δ is the Dirac delta function.

Evaluating Equation (10) results in T_{α} , that when multiplied by τ_{α} , produce scalar fields whose ensemble-averaged auto-correlation equals $W_{\alpha\alpha}$. This handles the diagonal elements of **W**. To control the off-diagonal elements, we need to examine the cross-correlation of E_x and E_y , or equivalently via Equations (8) and (9), the cross-correlation of T_x and T_y .

Using Equation (10), we take the cross-correlation of T_x and T_y , namely,

$$\langle T_{x} (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}) T_{y}^{*} (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}) \rangle = \iiint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle r_{x} (\boldsymbol{v}_{1}) r_{y}^{*} (\boldsymbol{v}_{2}) \rangle \frac{1}{2} \left[p_{xx} (\boldsymbol{v}_{1}) p_{yy} (\boldsymbol{v}_{2}) \right]^{1/2} \\ \times h_{x} (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{1}) h_{y}^{*} (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}) d^{2} v_{1} d^{2} v_{2}$$

$$(11)$$

This expression must equal Equation (9) with $\alpha = x$ and $\beta = y$. This implies that $\langle r_x(v_1) r_y^*(v_2) \rangle = 2 [R^r(v_1) + jR^i(v_1)] \delta(v_1 - v_2)$, where R^r and R^i are the real and imaginary parts of the correlation coefficient between r_x and r_y , respectively. Substituting this expression in for $\langle r_x(v_1) r_y^*(v_2) \rangle$ and evaluating the integrals over v_2 produces

$$\langle T_{x}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}) T_{y}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}) \rangle = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[R^{r}(\boldsymbol{v}) + jR^{i}(\boldsymbol{v}) \right] \left[p_{xx}(\boldsymbol{v}) p_{yy}(\boldsymbol{v}) \right]^{1/2} h_{x}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{v}) h_{y}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2},\boldsymbol{v}) d^{2}\boldsymbol{v},$$
(12)

from which we deduce

$$p_{xy}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \left[R^{\mathrm{r}}(\boldsymbol{v}) + \mathrm{j}R^{\mathrm{i}}(\boldsymbol{v})\right] \left[p_{xx}(\boldsymbol{v}) p_{yy}(\boldsymbol{v})\right]^{1/2}.$$
(13)

Here, we are interested in generating electromagnetic PCSs, and therefore, it is more convenient to express Equation (13) as a function of the complex correlation coefficient in terms of p_{xy} . Expanding p_{xy} into real and imaginary parts and then inverting Equation (13) produces

$$R^{r}(v) = p_{xy}^{r}(v) \left[p_{xx}(v) p_{yy}(v) \right]^{-1/2} R^{i}(v) = p_{xy}^{i}(v) \left[p_{xx}(v) p_{yy}(v) \right]^{-1/2}.$$
(14)

Since R^{r} and R^{i} are correlation coefficients, they must satisfy $|R^{r}(v)| \leq 1$ and $|R^{i}(v)| \leq 1$ $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. It is important to check that this is indeed the case.

Recall the conditions on the elements of **p** given below Equation (5). Using the p_{xy} inequality and p_{xx} , $p_{yy} \ge 0$, we easily derive $|p_{xy}| (p_{xx}p_{yy})^{-1/2} \le 1$. This inequality along with the expressions in Equation (14) clearly imply that $-1 \le R^r$, $R^i \le 1$. The fact that the conditions on R^r and R^i are consistent with the physics-based stipulations on **p**, and further, that Equation (4) forms the basis of the T_x and T_y superposition integrals, means that the synthesis approach we present here is capable of producing any electromagnetic PCS with a genuine **W**.

We conclude this section with a summary of the above analysis. A stochastic optical field realization drawn from a random vector process with second-order moments equal to W can be generated in the following manner:

- 1. Identify τ_x , τ_y , h_x , h_y , p_{xx} , p_{yy} , and p_{xy} associated with the desired **W**.
- 2. Compute R^r and R^i using Equation (14).
- 3. Generate r_x and r_y . The means of r_x and r_y are zero, and the covariance matrix Σ is

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle (r_x^{\mathrm{r}})^2 \rangle & \langle r_x^{\mathrm{r}} r_x^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle & \langle (r_x^{\mathrm{r}} r_y^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle & \langle (r_x^{\mathrm{r}} r_y^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle \\ \langle r_x^{\mathrm{i}} r_x^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle & \langle (r_x^{\mathrm{i}})^2 \rangle & \langle r_x^{\mathrm{i}} r_y^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle & \langle (r_x^{\mathrm{i}} r_y^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle \\ \langle r_y^{\mathrm{r}} r_x^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle & \langle (r_y^{\mathrm{r}} r_x^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle & \langle (r_y^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle^2 \rangle & \langle r_y^{\mathrm{r}} r_y^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle \\ \langle r_y^{\mathrm{i}} r_x^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle & \langle r_y^{\mathrm{i}} r_x^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle & \langle (r_y^{\mathrm{i}} r_y^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle & \langle (r_y^{\mathrm{i}} \rangle^2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & R^{\mathrm{r}} & -R^{\mathrm{i}} \\ 0 & 1 & R^{\mathrm{i}} & R^{\mathrm{r}} \\ R^{\mathrm{r}} & R^{\mathrm{i}} & 1 & 0 \\ -R^{\mathrm{i}} & R^{\mathrm{i}} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(15)

Using the Cholesky factor [65] of Σ , i.e., $\Sigma = LL^T$ and

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ R^{\mathrm{r}} & R^{\mathrm{i}} & \sqrt{1 - (R^{\mathrm{r}})^{2} - (R^{\mathrm{i}})^{2}} & 0 \\ -R^{\mathrm{i}} & R^{\mathrm{i}} & 0 & \sqrt{1 - (R^{\mathrm{r}})^{2} - (R^{\mathrm{i}})^{2}} \end{bmatrix},$$
 (16)

we generate r_x and r_y using the following relations:

$$r_{x}(v) = r_{1}(v) + jr_{2}(v)$$

$$r_{y}(v) = r_{x}(v) \left[R^{r}(v) - jR^{i}(v) \right] + \sqrt{1 - \left[R^{r}(v) \right]^{2} - \left[R^{i}(v) \right]^{2}} \left[r_{3}(v) + jr_{4}(v) \right]'$$
(17)

where r_1 , r_2 , r_3 , and r_4 are mutually-independent, delta-correlated, Gaussian random functions.

4. Generate T_x and T_y using Equation (10). Since Equation (10) is evaluated numerically, we express it in discrete form for the reader's convenience:

$$T_{\alpha}[i,j] = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} r_{\alpha}[m,n] \left(\frac{1}{2} p_{\alpha\alpha} \left[m\Delta v_{x}, n\Delta v_{y}\right]\right)^{1/2} h_{\alpha} \left[i\Delta x, j\Delta y, m\Delta v_{x}, n\Delta v_{y}\right] \sqrt{\Delta v_{x}\Delta v_{y}}, \quad (18)$$

where Δx , Δy , Δv_x , and Δv_y are the grid spacings in the *x*-*y* and v_x - v_y planes, respectively. Note that Equation (18) is equivalent to a matrix-vector product.

5. Create a field realization *E* using Equation (7).

In the above analysis, we specify that r_{α} and subsequently T_{α} are Gaussian distributed. Mathematically, r_{α} could be any statistical distribution ("Any statistical distribution" must consider the means and covariances in Equation (15). For instance, textbook exponential, gamma, or Rayleigh random numbers cannot be used to seed r_{α} because their means never equal zero) (uniform, for instance) as long as the means and covariances equal those in Equation (15). This is easy to do, in practice, using linear combinations of independent, normal random numbers [see Equation (17)]. Note that this is not the case for random numbers of other distributions.

Besides convenience, there is also a physical reason to use Gaussian random numbers. The stochastic fields emitted by natural, thermal light sources are known, classically speaking, to be Gaussian distributed [66]. By using normal random numbers to seed r_{α} , our field realizations will have the same statistical distribution as natural light.

3. Results and Discussion

Here, we simulate the apparatus in Figure 1 to generate an electromagnetic Gaussian pseudo-Schell-model (EGPSM) source. Before discussing the details of the simulation, we present some background on EGPSM sources.

Pseudo-Schell-model (PSM) sources were first presented in reference [67]. A PSM source differs from a Schell-model source in that its degree of coherence [2,5,66] depends on the radial distance between two points, vice their vector difference. To date, all PSM-source references discuss scalar PSM beams. Here, we generalize those works by creating an electromagnetic PSM source to validate our synthesis method.

The CSD matrix elements of an EGPSM beam are

$$W_{\alpha\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{2}\right) = A_{\alpha}\exp\left(-\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{4\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}\right)A_{\beta}\exp\left(-\frac{\rho_{2}^{2}}{4\sigma_{\beta}^{2}}\right)B_{\alpha\beta}\exp\left[-\frac{\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)^{2}}{2\delta_{\alpha\beta}^{2}}\right],\tag{19}$$

where A_{α} and σ_{α} are the amplitude and root-mean-square width of the α field component, respectively. In Equation (19), $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is the complex correlation coefficient between the α and β field components, and $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is related to the width of the cross-correlation function. The H_{α} and $p_{\alpha\beta}$ that when substituted into Equation (4) produce Equation (19) are

$$H_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \tau_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) h(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{v}) = A_{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{4\sigma_{\alpha}^2}\right) \exp\left(j\rho v \cos\psi\right)$$

$$p_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{v}) = B_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}^2}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}^2 v^2\right)$$
(20)

where v and ψ are the magnitude and angle of v. In addition, $B_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ must satisfy

$$B_{xx} = B_{yy} = 1, B_{xy} = B_{yx}^*, |B_{xy}| \le 1, \delta_{xy} = \delta_{yx},$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{xx}^2 + \delta_{yy}^2}{2}} \le \delta_{xy} \le \sqrt{\frac{\delta_{xx}\delta_{yy}}{|B_{xy}|}}$$
(21)

for the EGPSM source to be physically realizable or genuine.

Having discussed the EGPSM source, we now turn to the simulation. Because our purpose here is solely a proof-of-concept, our simulation models an idealized version of the apparatus in Figure 1, i.e., perfect HWPs, Ms, PBSs, SFs, and LCSLMs. When using actual or physical SLMs (whether they be liquid crystal, deformable mirrors, or digital micromirrors), pixel pitch, active-area size, fill factor, and phase discretization or digitization all play roles in the quality of the synthesized field realizations. These SLM characteristics and their effects on beam control have been discussed before (please see Refs. [26,45,58,59,61] for more information). The MATLAB[®] version R2018b scripts (.m files) are included as Supplementary Materials to this paper.

In the simulation, we use $N_x = N_y = 512$ points per side grids with spacings in the *x*-*y* and v_x - v_y planes equal to $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.333$ mm and $\Delta v_x = \Delta v_y = 5.86$ m⁻¹, respectively. Note that the transfer functions h_{α} ($N_x N_y \times N_x N_y$ matrices) are, in general, not spatially band-limited. Representing them discretely is therefore a challenge, and the best we can achieve is to ensure that h_{α} satisfy the Nyquist-Shannon criterion over regions in which $p_{\alpha\alpha}$ and $|\tau_{\alpha}|$ have significant value. As a result, h_{α} are typically large matrices, and thus, require a computer with large amounts of memory to store. Because of the form of *h* in Equation (20), we can reduce its dimensionality by evaluating *h* at unique one-dimensional (1D) values of $\rho = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$, vice every combination of *x* and *y*. Therefore, in the simulation, *h* is 512 × 512², where the spacing in the ρ dimension is $\Delta \rho = 0.4723$ mm. This $\Delta \rho$, as well as the Δv_x and Δv_y specified above, are sufficient to accurately represent the EGPSM *h* without aliasing over the simulated region of interest. Figure 2 shows the real and imaginary parts of the simulated *h*.

We synthesize E_x and E_y realizations in the following manner:

- 1. Generate four $512^2 \times 1$ vectors of mutually-independent, zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussian random numbers, i.e., r_1 , r_2 , r_3 , and r_4 .
- 2. Produce r_x and r_y using item 1 and Equation (17), where R^r and R^i are represented as $512^2 \times 1$ vectors.
- 3. Multiply (element-wise) r_{α} by $\sqrt{p_{\alpha\alpha}\Delta v_x \Delta v_y/2}$ (represented as $512^2 \times 1$ vectors).
- 4. Compute the matrix-vector products of *h* [see Equation (20) and Figure 2] and item 3 to produce T_{α} (512 × 1 vectors).
- 5. Interpolate 512×1 vectors T_{α} (discrete functions of ρ) to 512×512 matrices T_{α} (2D discrete functions of *x*, *y*).
- 6. Multiply (element-wise) T_{α} by τ_{α} to form E_{α} realizations.

To give the reader insight into the characteristics of EGPSM field realizations, Figure 3 shows E_x and E_y instances generated using the above procedure and the EGPSM source parameters in Table 1: (a) and (b) show $|E_x|$ and arg (E_x) , respectively; (c) and (d) show the same for E_y . Lastly, (e) shows the "instantaneous" intensity, or $S_0 = |E_x|^2 + |E_y|^2$, overlaid by the polarization ellipses [see Equation (3)] computed at several locations across the beam's profile.



Figure 2. Real and imaginary parts—(**a**,**b**), respectively—of the EGPSM *h* in Equation (20). In the simulation, *h* is a 512×512^2 matrix as shown here.



Figure 3. EGPSM field realization—(**a**) $|E_x|$, (**b**) arg (E_x) , (**c**) $|E_y|$, (**d**) arg (E_y) , and (**e**) "instantaneous" S_0 overlaid with the polarization ellipses at several locations across the beam's profile.

Table 1. Simulated EGPSM Source Parameters.

A_{x}	1.25
A_y	1
σ_x	1 cm
σ_y	1.25 cm
B_{xy}	$0.5 \exp(-j\pi/5)$
δ_{xx}	3.33 mm
δ_{yy}	4.17 mm
δ_{xy}	5.12 mm

To verify that indeed the E_x and E_y instances depicted in Figure 3 are representative of the ensemble of all EGPSM field realizations, we compute the Stokes parameters [see Equation (2)] and **W** (x_1 , 0, x_2 , 0) from 10,000 independent E_x and E_y realizations. We then compare the simulated results to theory, which we compute using Equation (19) and Table 1.

The Stokes parameter results are shown in Figure 4. The figure is organized as follows: The images in column 1—i.e, (a), (c), (e), and (g)—show S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 theory (superscript "thy" in the caption and "Thy" column heading); column 2 [(b), (d), (f), and (h)] shows S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 simulation (superscript "sim" in the caption and "Sim" column heading). The theoretical and simulated Stokes parameters are plotted on the same false color scales defined by the bars immediately adjacent to column 2. Column 3 shows larger views of S_0^{thy} and S_0^{sim} —(j) and (k), respectively—both overlaid with the polarization ellipses at 81 different locations across the EGPSM beam's profile. Lastly, (i) shows the 2D correlation coefficients of the theoretical and simulated Stokes parameters plotted versus field realization (random trial) number. The inset shows a close up view of the correlation coefficients from trials 100 to 10,000.

107

The agreement between the simulated and theoretical results in Figure 4 is excellent. These results imply that our synthesis method produces a beam with the desired (correct) shape and polarization state. Further, Figure 4i shows that our method does so in roughly 500–600 field realizations—the simulated Stokes parameters are at least 99% similar to their theoretical counterparts.

As previously stated, the quality of the results in Figure 4 shows quite definitively that our method produces a beam with the proper shape and polarization characteristics. To verify that our method produces a beam with the correct spatial correlation or coherence properties, we need to examine the CSD matrix elements. Since each CSD matrix element is a 4D function, a 2D planar slice through that hyperspace must suffice for validation purposes.

Figure 5 shows the theoretical and simulated **W** (x_1 , 0, x_2 , 0). The figure is organized to mimic the layout of the 2 × 2 CSD matrix **W**. Each **W** "element"—labeled for the reader's convenience—consists of a 2 × 2 block of images. All blocks are arranged in the same way—theory is in column 1, simulation is in column 2, real parts are in row 1, and imaginary parts are in row 2. For instance, the 2 × 2 block corresponding to W_{xx} (the upper left-hand block) shows the real and imaginary parts of W_{xx}^{thy} in (a) and (b), respectively. The same results for W_{xx}^{sim} are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. The real and imaginary parts of W_{xx}^{thy} and W_{xx}^{sim} are plotted on the same false color scales defined by the bars at each row's end.

The agreement between the theoretical and simulated CSD matrix elements in Figure 5 is excellent. Note that the conspicuous discrepancies between W_{xx}^{thy} and W_{xx}^{sim} imaginary [(b) and (d)] and W_{yy}^{thy} and W_{yy}^{sim} imaginary [(n) and (p)] are, in fact, quantitatively small, being approximately 100 and 200 times smaller than their associated real parts, respectively. Both of these discrepancies are due to the fact that the imaginary parts of W_{xx}^{thy} and W_{yy}^{thy} are identically zero. To match those values in simulation, would require an infinite number of field realizations.

The excellent quality of the results in Figure 5 implies that our method is producing a beam with the correct, or desired spatial correlation properties. When combined with the excellent results in Figure 4, we can claim that we successfully produced the desired EGPSM source.



Figure 4. EGPSM Stokes parameter results—(**a**) S_0^{thy} , (**b**) S_0^{sim} , (**c**) S_1^{thy} , (**d**) S_1^{sim} , (**e**) S_2^{thy} , (**f**) S_2^{sim} , (**g**) S_3^{thy} , (**h**) S_3^{sim} , (**i**) 2D correlation coefficients of the theoretical and simulated Stokes parameters plotted versus field realization number, (**j**) S_0^{thy} overlaid with the polarization ellipses at several locations across the beam's profile, and (**k**) S_0^{sim} overlaid with the polarization ellipses at several locations across the beam's profile.



Figure 5. EGPSM **W** (x_1 , 0, x_2 , 0) results—(**a**,**b**) real and imaginary parts of W_{xx}^{thy} , (**c**,**d**) real and imaginary parts of W_{xy}^{sim} , (**e**,**f**) real and imaginary parts of W_{xy}^{sim} , (**i**,**j**) real and imaginary parts of W_{yx}^{thy} , (**k**,**l**) real and imaginary parts of W_{yx}^{sim} , (**m**,**n**) real and imaginary parts of W_{yy}^{thy} , (**k**,**l**) real and imaginary parts of W_{yx}^{sim} , (**m**,**n**) real and imaginary parts of W_{yy}^{thy} , and (**o**,**p**) real and imaginary parts of W_{yy}^{sim} .

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we developed a method to generate any physically realizable, or genuine electromagnetic PCS. Starting with the necessary and sufficient criterion for genuine CSD matrices, we derived integral expressions for two stochastic screens (associated with the field's vector components) that, when multiplied by the components' deterministic shapes, produced a desired electromagnetic PCS field realization. To aid the reader, we summarized our analysis by presenting a step-by-step recipe for synthesizing the aforementioned screens from matrices of zero-mean, unit-variance, independent Gaussian random numbers.

We validated our synthesis approach by generating (in simulation) field realizations of an EGPSM beam—a new electromagnetic PCS. From 10,000 EGPSM field realizations, we computed the Stokes parameters and planar cuts through the CSD matrix elements. We compared these results to the

corresponding theoretical quantities to verify we produced a beam with the desired shape, polarization, and coherence properties.

The simulated EGPSM results were found to be in excellent agreement with theory. In addition, we found that the simulated results converged to their theoretical counterparts in approximately 500–600 field realizations. This finding will be useful to optical scientists who are considering utilizing this approach for a particular application.

In conclusion, we note that the method presented here can be implemented on existing SLM-based vector beam generators with no additional hardware. Also, it can be used in any application which utilizes vector partially coherent beams. These include, but are not limited to, optical tweezers, medicine, directed energy, and remote sensing.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2673-3269/1/1/8/s1, The MATLAB[®] version R2018b (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) scripts (.m files) used to perform the simulations described in this paper are included as Supplementary Materials.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the US Air Force, the Department of Defense, or the US government.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Wolf, E. Unified theory of coherence and polarization of random electromagnetic beams. *Phys. Lett. A* 2003, 312, 263–267. [CrossRef]
- 2. Mandel, L.; Wolf, E. Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics; Cambridge University: New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- 3. Wolf, E. Introduction to the Theory of Coherence and Polarization of Light; Cambridge University: Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- 4. Agarwal, G.S.; Classen, A. Partial coherence in modern optics: Emil Wolf's legacy in the 21st century. *Prog. Opt.* **2019**. [CrossRef]
- 5. Korotkova, O. Random Light Beams: Theory and Applications; CRC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2014.
- 6. Gbur, G. Partially coherent beam propagation in atmospheric turbulence. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 2014, 31, 2038–2045. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Zhan, Q. (Ed.) Vectorial Optical Fields: Fundamentals and Applications; World Scientific: Hackensack, NJ, USA, 2014.
- 8. Efimov, A. Gigabit per second modulation and transmission of a partially coherent beam through laboratory turbulence. *Proc. SPIE* **2016**, *9739*, 163–168. [CrossRef]
- 9. Korotkova, O. Light propagation in a turbulent ocean. *Prog. Opt.* **2019**, *64*, 1–43. [CrossRef]
- 10. Korotkova, O.; Gbur, G. Applications of optical coherence theory. Prog. Opt. 2020. [CrossRef]
- 11. Borghi, R.; Gori, F.; Ponomarenko, S.A. On a class of electromagnetic diffraction-free beams. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **2009**, *26*, 2275–2281. [CrossRef]
- 12. Cai, Y.; Chen, Y.; Wang, F. Generation and propagation of partially coherent beams with nonconventional correlation functions: A review. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **2014**, *31*, 2083–2096. [CrossRef]
- 13. Voelz, D.; Xiao, X.; Korotkova, O. Numerical modeling of Schell-model beams with arbitrary far-field patterns. *Opt. Lett.* **2015**, *40*, 352–355. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hyde, M.W.; Basu, S.; Voelz, D.G.; Xiao, X. Experimentally generating any desired partially coherent Schell-model source using phase-only control. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *118*, 093102. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lajunen, H.; Saastamoinen, T. Propagation characteristics of partially coherent beams with spatially varying correlations. *Opt. Lett.* **2011**, *36*, 4104–4106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Santarsiero, M.; Martínez-Herrero, R.; Maluenda, D.; de Sande, J.C.G.; Piquero, G.; Gori, F. Partially coherent sources with circular coherence. *Opt. Lett.* **2017**, *42*, 1512–1515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Piquero, G.; Santarsiero, M.; Martínez-Herrero, R.; de Sande, J.C.G.; Alonzo, M.; Gori, F. Partially coherent sources with radial coherence. *Opt. Lett.* **2018**, *43*, 2376–2379. [CrossRef]
- Yu, J.; Cai, Y.; Gbur, G. Rectangular Hermite non-uniformly correlated beams and its propagation properties. *Opt. Express* 2018, 26, 27894–27906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 19. Mei, Z.; Korotkova, O. Random sources for rotating spectral densities. *Opt. Lett.* **2017**, *42*, 255–258. [CrossRef]
- 20. Hyde, M.W. Generating electromagnetic Schell-model sources using complex screens with spatially varying auto- and cross-correlation functions. *Results Phys.* **2019**, *15*, 102663. [CrossRef]
- 21. Mei, Z.; Korotkova, O. Electromagnetic Schell-model sources generating far fields with stable and flexible concentric rings profiles. *Opt. Express* **2016**, *24*, 5572–5583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Liang, C.; Mi, C.; Wang, F.; Zhao, C.; Cai, Y.; Ponomarenko, S.A. Vector optical coherence lattices generating controllable far-field beam profiles. *Opt. Express* **2017**, *25*, 9872–9885. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, Y.; Wang, F.; Yu, J.; Liu, L.; Cai, Y. Vector Hermite-Gaussian correlated Schell-model beam. *Opt. Express* 2016, 24, 15232–15250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Tong, Z.; Korotkova, O. Electromagnetic nonuniformly correlated beams. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 2012, 29, 2154–2158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Ostrovsky, A.S.; Olvera, M.A.; Rickenstorff, C.; Martínez-Niconoff, G.; Arrizón, V. Generation of a secondary electromagnetic source with desired statistical properties. *Opt. Commun.* **2010**, *283*, 4490–4493. [CrossRef]
- 26. Hyde, M.W.; Bose-Pillai, S.; Voelz, D.G.; Xiao, X. Generation of vector partially coherent optical sources using phase-only spatial light modulators. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **2016**, *6*, 064030. [CrossRef]
- 27. Hyde, M.W. Shaping the far-zone intensity, degree of polarization, angle of polarization, and ellipticity angle using vector Schell-model sources. *Results Phys.* **2019**, *12*, 2242–2250. [CrossRef]
- 28. Mei, Z.; Korotkova, O.; Shchepakina, E. Electromagnetic multi-Gaussian Schell-model beams. J. Opt. 2013, 15, 025705. [CrossRef]
- 29. Mao, H.; Chen, Y.; Liang, C.; Chen, L.; Cai, Y.; Ponomarenko, S.A. Self-steering partially coherent vector beams. *Opt. Express* **2019**, *27*, 14353–14368. [CrossRef]
- 30. Mao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Mei, Z. Electromagnetic fractional multi-Gaussian Schell-model beams and their statistical properties. *J. Opt.* **2019**, *21*, 035601. [CrossRef]
- 31. Mishra, S.; Gautam, S.K.; Naik, D.N.; Chen, Z.; Pu, J.; Singh, R.K. Tailoring and analysis of vectorial coherence. *J. Opt.* **2018**, *20*, 125605. [CrossRef]
- Shirai, T. Interpretation of the recipe for synthesizing genuine cross-spectral density matrices. *Opt. Commun.* 2010, 283, 4478–4483. [CrossRef]
- 33. Gori, F.; Ramírez-Sánchez, V.; Santarsiero, M.; Shirai, T. On genuine cross-spectral density matrices. *J. Opt. A Pure Appl. Opt.* **2009**, *11*, 085706. [CrossRef]
- 34. Grella, R. Synthesis of generalized Collett-Wolf sources. J. Opt. 1982, 13, 127–131. [CrossRef]
- 35. Santarsiero, M.; Borghi, R.; Ramírez-Sánchez, V. Synthesis of electromagnetic Schell-model sources. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 2009, 26, 1437–1443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Hyde, M.W. Controlling the spatial coherence of an optical source using a spatial filter. *Appl. Sci.* **2018**, *8*, 1465. [CrossRef]
- 37. Cai, Y.; Chen, Y.; Yu, J.; Liu, X.; Liu, L. Generation of partially coherent beams. *Prog. Opt.* **2017**, *62*, 157–223. [CrossRef]
- 38. Wang, H.; Peng, X.; Liu, L.; Wang, F.; Cai, Y.; Ponomarenko, S.A. Generating bona fide twisted Gaussian Schell-model beams. *Opt. Lett.* **2019**, *44*, 3709–3712. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Friberg, A.T.; Tervonen, E.; Turunen, J. Interpretation and experimental demonstration of twisted Gaussian Schell-model beams. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **1994**, *11*, 1818–1826. [CrossRef]
- 40. Wang, F.; Liang, C.; Yuan, Y.; Cai, Y. Generalized multi-Gaussian correlated Schell-model beam: From theory to experiment. *Opt. Express* **2014**, *22*, 23456–23464. [CrossRef]
- 41. Wang, F.; Wu, G.; Liu, X.; Zhu, S.; Cai, Y. Experimental measurement of the beam parameters of an electromagnetic Gaussian Schell-model source. *Opt. Lett.* **2011**, *36*, 2722–2724. [CrossRef]
- 42. Liu, X.; Wang, F.; Liu, L.; Zhao, C.; Cai, Y. Generation and propagation of an electromagnetic Gaussian Schell-model vortex beam. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 2015, *32*, 2058–2065. [CrossRef]

- 43. Piquero, G.; Gori, F.; Romanini, P.; Santarsiero, M.; Borghi, R.; Mondello, A. Synthesis of partially polarized Gaussian Schell-model sources. *Opt. Commun.* **2002**, *208*, 9–16. [CrossRef]
- 44. Shirai, T.; Korotkova, O.; Wolf, E. A method of generating electromagnetic Gaussian Schell-model beams. *J. Opt. A Pure Appl. Opt.* **2005**, *7*, 232–237. [CrossRef]
- 45. Hyde, M.W.; Bose-Pillai, S.R.; Wood, R.A. Synthesis of non-uniformly correlated partially coherent sources using a deformable mirror. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2017**, *111*, 101106. [CrossRef]
- 46. Shirai, T.; Wolf, E. Coherence and polarization of electromagnetic beams modulated by random phase screens and their changes on propagation in free space. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **2004**, *21*, 1907–1916. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ostrovsky, A.S.; Martínez-Niconoff, G.; Arrizón, V.; Martínez-Vara, P.; Olvera-Santamaría, M.A.; Rickenstorff-Parrao, C. Modulation of coherence and polarization using liquid crystal spatial light modulators. *Opt. Express* 2009, 17, 5257–5264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ostrovsky, A.S.; Rodríguez-Zurita, G.; Meneses-Fabián, C.; Olvera-Santamaría, M.A.; Rickenstorff-Parrao, C. Experimental generating the partially coherent and partially polarized electromagnetic source. *Opt. Express* 2010, *18*, 12864–12871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Basu, S.; Hyde, M.W.; Xiao, X.; Voelz, D.G.; Korotkova, O. Computational approaches for generating electromagnetic Gaussian Schell-model sources. *Opt. Express* **2014**, *22*, 31691–31707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Hyde, M.W. Stochastic complex transmittance screens for synthesizing general partially coherent sources. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 2020, *37*, 257–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Rosales-Guzmán, C.; Ndagano, B.; Forbes, A. A review of complex vector light fields and their applications. *J. Opt.* **2018**, *20*, 123001. [CrossRef]
- 52. Yu, Z.; Chen, H.; Chen, Z.; Hao, J.; Ding, J. Simultaneous tailoring of complete polarization, amplitude and phase of vector beams. *Opt. Commun.* **2015**, *345*, 135–140. [CrossRef]
- 53. Chen, Z.; Zeng, T.; Qian, B.; Ding, J. Complete shaping of optical vector beams. *Opt. Express* 2015, 23, 17701–17710. [CrossRef]
- Mitchell, K.J.; Turtaev, S.; Padgett, M.J.; Čižmár, T.; Phillips, D.B. High-speed spatial control of the intensity, phase and polarisation of vector beams using a digital micro-mirror device. *Opt. Express* 2016, 24, 29269–29282. [CrossRef]
- 55. Rosales-Guzmán, C.; Bhebhe, N.; Forbes, A. Simultaneous generation of multiple vector beams on a single SLM. *Opt. Express* **2017**, *25*, 25697–25706. [CrossRef]
- 56. Rosales-Guzmán, C.; Bhebhe, N.; Mahonisi, N.; Forbes, A. Multiplexing 200 spatial modes with a single hologram. *J. Opt.* **2017**, *19*, 113501. [CrossRef]
- 57. Fu, S.; Zhai, Y.; Wang, T.; Yin, C.; Gao, C. Tailoring arbitrary hybrid Poincarè beams through a single hologram. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2017**, *111*, 211101. [CrossRef]
- 58. Scholes, S.; Kara, R.; Pinnell, J.; Rodríguez-Fajardo, V.; Forbes, A. Structured light with digital micromirror devices: A guide to best practice. *Opt. Eng.* **2019**, *59*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 59. Restaino, S.R.; Teare, S.W. *Introduction to Liquid Crystals for Optical Design and Engineering*; SPIE Press: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2015.
- 60. Zhang, Z.; You, Z.; Chu, D. Fundamentals of phase-only liquid crystal on silicon (LCOS) devices. *Light Sci. Appl.* **2014**, *3*, e213. [CrossRef]
- 61. Rosales-Guzmán, C.; Forbes, A. *How to Shape Light with Spatial Light Modulators*; SPIE Press: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2017.
- 62. Gori, F.; Santarsiero, M.; Borghi, R.; Ramírez-Sánchez, V. Realizability condition for electromagnetic Schell-model sources. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 2008, 25, 1016–1021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Goodman, J.W. Introduction to Fourier Optics, 3rd ed.; Roberts & Company: Englewood, CO, USA, 2005.
- Hyde, M.W.; Xiao, X.; Voelz, D.G. Generating electromagnetic nonuniformly correlated beams. *Opt. Lett.* 2019, 44, 5719–5722. [CrossRef]

- 65. Watkins, D.S. Fundamentals of Matrix Computations, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- 66. Goodman, J.W. Statistical Optics, 2nd ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2015.
- 67. de Sande, J.C.G.; Martínez-Herrero, R.; Piquero, G.; Santarsiero, M.; Gori, F. Pseudo-Schell model sources. *Opt. Express* **2019**, 27, 3963–3977. [CrossRef]



 \odot 2020 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).