Air Force Institute of Technology

AFIT Scholar

Faculty Publications

8-28-2012

A Very Robust AlGaN/GaN HEMT Technology to High Forward Gate Bias and Current

Bradley D. Christiansen Air Force Institute of Technology

Eric R. Heller *Air Force Research Laboratory*

Ronald A. Coutu Jr. Air Force Institute of Technology

Ramakrishna Vetury *RF Micro Devices, Inc.*

Jeffrey B. Shealy *RF Micro Devices, Inc.*

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.afit.edu/facpub

Part of the Electrical and Electronics Commons, and the Electronic Devices and Semiconductor Manufacturing Commons

Recommended Citation

Christiansen, B. D., Heller, E. R., Coutu, R. A., Vetury, R., & Shealy, J. B. (2012). A Very Robust AlGaN/GaN HEMT Technology to High Forward Gate Bias and Current. Active and Passive Electronic Components, 2012, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/493239

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by AFIT Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of AFIT Scholar. For more information, please contact AFIT.ENWL.Repository@us.af.mil.

Research Article

A Very Robust AlGaN/GaN HEMT Technology to High Forward Gate Bias and Current

Bradley D. Christiansen,¹ Eric R. Heller,² Ronald A. Coutu Jr.,¹ Ramakrishna Vetury,³ and Jeffrey B. Shealy³

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433, USA

² Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433, USA ³ Defense and Power Business Unit, RF Micro Devices, Inc., Charlotte, NC 28269, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Bradley D. Christiansen, bradley.christiansen@wpafb.af.mil

Received 21 March 2012; Revised 10 July 2012; Accepted 28 July 2012

Academic Editor: Jung-Hui Tsai

Copyright © 2012 Bradley D. Christiansen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reports to date of GaN HEMTs subjected to forward gate bias stress include varied extents of degradation. We report an extremely robust GaN HEMT technology that survived—contrary to conventional wisdom—high forward gate bias (+6 V) and current (>1.8 A/mm) for >17.5 hours exhibiting only a slight change in gate diode characteristic, little decrease in maximum drain current, with only a 0.1 V positive threshold voltage shift, and, remarkably, a persisting breakdown voltage exceeding 200 V.

1. Introduction

There are recent reports stating that high negative gate bias causes the gates of GaN HEMTs to degrade. The signature of this degradation mechanism is an increase in gate leakage current [1-3].

Other reports state that forward gate current limits the survival times of GaN HEMTs, especially during RF operation [4, 5]. GaN HEMTs ($L_g = 0.7 \mu m$, $W_g = 2 \times 100 \mu m$) in [6] reached about 400 mA/mm forward gate current before burning out. The semi-insulating Fe-doped GaN of [6] was grown by MOCVD on sapphire substrates.

Reference [7] specifically considered the effects of high positive gate bias (up to +6 V) on GaN HEMTs with gateintegrated field plates, $L_g = 0.25 \,\mu$ m, and $W_g = 2 \times 25 \,\mu$ m. By stepping V_G from +0.5 V to +6 V in 0.5 V steps for 30 minutes per step, a reduction in V_{Gon} (V_G at a normalized gate current of 1 mA/mm) after 360 minutes was observed, accompanied by about a 10⁴ increase in gate leakage current and strong Ohmic gate behavior. The authors concluded that the large forward gate current and high temperature degraded the Schottky contact. Despite the reduction in V_{Gon} , [7] showed that there was little degradation in drain and source resistances and maximum drain current.

We show the results of stressing GaN HEMTs at extremely high gate current densities but for longer times and with more positive results. Despite the extremely high biases, the devices we tested survived well past the current density in [6] and were less conductive and with less V_{Gon} degradation than those in [7]. Remarkably, it is noted that the devices we tested survived the stresses—contrary to conventional wisdom—with very little degradation in device drain current and voltage capability.

2. Experimental

We stressed four nominally identical AlGaN/GaN HEMTs. All four devices had the same structure which consisted of a semi-insulating SiC substrate [8], a 0.5 μ m length optically defined gate with a gate-integrated field plate [8], and a source-connected field plate [9]. Gate width was 2 × 50 μ m. The gate contained a nickel Schottky barrier and thick gold overlay for low gate resistance. The highly resistive GaN buffer was grown by OMVPE [8]. The AlGaN barrier

gate-to-source gap [8]. The devices were tested on a Peltier thermal base plate in air. The power supplies used were Agilent models E5280A High-Power Source/Monitor Unit (SMU) Module (for the drain) and E5281A Medium-Power SMU Module (for the gate) in a model E5273A 2-Channel SMU.

We stressed the devices to define a safe operating area. An initially tested part (not shown) was stressed to $I_G \approx 260 \text{ mA/mm}$ at a base plate temperature of $T_{\rm bp} = 35^{\circ}\text{C}$ without any discernable degradation, which provided the reference and motivation for this study. Based on this observation, a detailed study of gate robustness was conducted as described next. For this detailed study, the source and drain were wire-bonded and the gate was contacted by a needle probe. The following sequence was used at $T_{\rm bp} = 45^{\circ}\text{C}$. The voltage-sweep and transfer-curve voltage ranges were divided into 201 linear, ~35 ms dwell steps (~7 seconds total sweep time).

- (1) Characterize with a transfer curve ($V_G = -6$ V to 1 V and $V_D = 10$ V) before any stress and after each stress sweep (steps 2–6).
- (2) Sweep V_G three times from 0 V to +2.5 V with $V_S = V_D = 0$ V.
- (3) Sweep V_G four times from 0 V to +2.5 V with $V_S = V_D = 0$ V and hold V_G at +2.5 V for 1 minute.
- (4) Sweep V_G from 0 V to +3.0 V with $V_S = V_D = 0$ V and hold V_G at +3.0 V for 1 minute. Repeat at +0.5 V increments to $V_G = +6.5$ V ($I_G = 1.89$ A/mm).
- (5) Sweep V_G from 0 V to +6.0 V with $V_S = V_D = 0$ V and hold V_G at +6.0 V for 30 minutes.
- (6) Repeat step 5 with 30-minute, 150-minute, 120minute, and >12-hour holding times, respectively, at $V_G = +6.0 \text{ V} (I_G \approx 1.82 \text{ A/mm})$. After the last hold, the device was in a small-bias state ($I_G \approx 300 \,\mu\text{A/mm}$, $V_S = V_D = 0 \text{ V}$) for two days due to the gate contact needle probe coming loose.

In summary, the test lasted more than 17.5 hours at V_G = +6.0 V in addition to 1 minute at V_G = +6.5 V.

3. Results and Discussion

Results from one of the tested devices are described in the following. In summary, the device survived for >17.5 hours the stress of biasing at $V_G = +6.0$ V and $I_G \ge 1820$ mA/mm forward gate current (see Figure 2), which is a current density of >360 kA/cm² and >10.9 W/mm power *through the gate*. $I_{D \text{max}}$ (defined as drain current at $V_G = 1$ V and $V_D = 10$ V) degraded slightly and saturated over stress duration (see Figure 1). After 210 minutes of stress, degradation in the ideality of I_G - V_G was observed at low currents (see the upper left inset of Figure 2), although it is noted that this ideality degradation did not significantly impact the current handling capability and breakdown voltage capability of the device.

The forward gate current values from our tested devices were far more than the value of 400 mA/mm (57 kA/cm²) reported in [6] that destroyed the particular GaN HEMTs tested in that report. The devices tested in this report were also less conductive at high gate bias (1.63 A/mm, 326 kA/cm^2 at $V_G = +5 \text{ V}$) compared to those of [7], wherein the forward gate current was reported to be 2 A/mm (800 kA/cm²) at $V_G = +5 \text{ V}$. We note that these comparisons are to devices with different gate lengths, contact and sheet resistances, and source-to-gate-to-drain gaps, although the point made strictly regards the robustness of the Schottky gate which is less sensitive to these differences.

Figure 1 shows the transfer curves and associated gate current in absolute value and transconductance of the device throughout stressing. Black lines show step 1 (initial) and the last repeat of step 2; red lines show the last repeat of step 3 and results of step 4; finally, green lines show steps 5-6. Initial slight improvement in gate current (trapping or burn-in behavior likely) gives way to degradation. The transfer curves exhibit a degradation trend with a saturation apparently after the first 30-minute holding time, much like a transient burn-in effect. Two separate causes-resulting in quick degradation in the short term and slow degradation in the long term—appear responsible for the electrical changes observed during exposure to bias. The gate current increases in a different fashion, with a decreased rate of changeleading to possible saturation-after the first several hours of stress. There was little drain current degradation at the tested current density—a 6.1% reduction, comparing the prestress $I_{D \max}$ of 787 mA/mm to the poststress $I_{D \max}$ of 739 mA/mm. In addition, there was only a 0.1 V positive shift in threshold voltage.

Figure 2 shows the device's gate diode curves during the stress sweep from $V_G = 0$ V to +2.5 V $\leq V_G \leq +6.5$ V (steps 2–6). During the first few sweeps, the gate current improved. After this, excess leakage at low gate bias appeared (see upper left inset), then the gate current increased with stress time and saturated. Despite the ideality degradation, the breakdown voltage remained above 200 V (based on satisfying the nominal 1 mA/mm industry criterion for breakdown). If there is any change in V_{Gon} it is slight and masked by other effects. In contrast, [7] observed a noticeable change in V_{Gon} of ~0.5 V in significantly shorter stress time.

There are insufficient details in [6, 7] to adequately compare and contrast those structures and the present structure. However, technology maturity, gate metal stack differences, and the source-connected field plate in the present technology are possible factors for the observed improvements. Reference [10] provides a physical explanation why positive gate bias and current may not be as damaging to GaN HEMTs as conventional wisdom dictated. Reference [10] also provides an example of observations that do not conform to expectations for thermally induced degradation: transconductance degraded more for a semi-ON state than for a higher-power ON state.

The high forward gate current seen in this testing would have caused significant degradation or failure in earlier vintage GaN HEMTs. The tested GaN HEMTs exhibited the ability to withstand nearly constant high forward gate stress and



FIGURE 1: Transfer and transconductance curves (a) and associated gate current in absolute value (b) of the device as measured during characterizations between gate stressing events. Insets show detail at regions of interest in the same data sets. Extra gate current is seen in (b) above $V_G \approx -3.5$ V after 210-minute stress (top curve) that is not seen after longer stress time (second-to-top curve). It is not known if there was a temporary test issue or if that is indeed real.

demonstrated a high level of allowable forward gate stress. The drain current failure criterion in [9] was $-10\% I_{DSS}$. The nominal industry gate leakage failure criterion is 1 mA/mm. Neither failure criterion was reached in the characterizations of the present testing (see Figure 1). Although the gate leakage increased more than two orders of magnitude (see Figure 1(b)), $I_{D max}$, V_{Gon} , and the breakdown voltage were not significantly affected. Of course, the maximum allowable degradation in any particular parameter depends on the specific application.

We should clarify that we do not anticipate continuous long-term bias in real-world operation at the high V_G conditions we have tested and are not stating that this has been shown to be practical. Instead, we showed that brief excursions, or short-term operation, up to $V_G = +6.5$ V may be feasible. Based on the stress time endured for this testing, such excursions would not be catastrophic.

To estimate device lifetime due to high forward gate stress, an appropriate accelerant and acceleration model



FIGURE 2: Gate diode curves during the stressing. Insets show additional detail for regions of interest of the same curves as the main plot and share the same units (i.e., mA/mm and V) as the main figure. The data were collected at stress times represented in Figure 1. Black curves represent the initial $V_G = +2.5$ V gate stresses. Red curves represent the gate voltage stress ramps of increasing magnitude collected just prior to the red curves of Figure 1. Green curves are gate voltage stress ramps collected just after the total stress times represented by the green curves of Figure 1.

would need to be determined (since testing at use conditions is impractical) through additional testing and analysis. In [3], the authors state that gate degradation due to high reverse gate bias is weakly dependent on temperature and strongly dependent on gate voltage. Gate voltage may also be an accelerant for forward gate stress. Physical failure analysis would also be required to understand the degradation due to high forward gate stress.

4. Conclusion

The mere survival of the device tested at $I_G \ge +1.8 \text{ A/mm}$ and $V_G \ge +6.0$ V for >17.5 hours is remarkable, in addition to the modest degradation in drain current that appears to saturate over stress time. The results reported herein are reproducible as evidenced by the similar responses of three devices, in addition to the fact that these parts are of standard commercial design from a baseline fabrication process. The results observed indicate that the GaN HEMTs tested are extremely robust to high forward gate bias and current. Devices based on the tested structure show the potential to withstand the rigors of forward gate bias and current during RF operation, and the high I_G tolerance seen may allow extra latitude to circuit designers. Further investigations are required to understand the time-temperature- V_G trade space and the full extent of degradation due to high forward bias and current under RF operation and over very long time periods (thousands of hours).

Disclosure

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States Air Force, Department of Defense, or the US Government.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Air Force Research Laboratory High-Reliability Electronics Virtual Center team for their support and Kelson Chabak, Antonio Crespo, Brian Poling, and Steve Tetlak for helpful suggestions. This research was funded by the Aerospace Components and Subsystems Division, Sensors Directorate, Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL/ RYD).

References

- J. Joh and J. A. del Alamo, "Critical voltage for electrical degradation of GaN high-electron mobility transistors," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 287–289, 2008.
- [2] J. A. del Alamo and J. Joh, "GaN HEMT reliability," *Microelectronics Reliability*, vol. 49, no. 9–11, pp. 1200–1206, 2009.
- [3] D. Marcon, T. Kauerauf, F. Medjdoub et al., "A comprehensive reliability investigation of the voltage-, temperature- and device geometry-dependence of the gate degradation on state-of-the-art GaN-on-Si HEMTs," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM '10)*, pp. 20.3.1– 20.3.4, San Francisco, Calif, USA, December 2010.
- [4] K. Joshin and T. Kikkawa, "Recent progress of high power GaN-HEMT for wireless application," in *Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Microwave Conference (APMC '06)*, pp. 1027– 1032, Yokohama, Japan, December 2006.
- [5] A. Bettidi, F. Corsaro, A. Cetronio, A. Nanni, M. Peroni, and P. Romanini, "X-band GaN-HEMT LNA performance versus robustness trade-off," in *Proceedings of the European Microwave Conference (EuMC '09)*, pp. 1792–1795, Rome, Italy, October 2009.
- [6] H. Xu, C. Sanabria, A. Chini, S. Keller, U. K. Mishra, and R. A. York, "A C-band high-dynamic range GaN HEMT low-noise amplifier," *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 262–264, 2004.
- [7] J. Joh, L. Xia, and J. A. Del Alamo, "Gate current degradation mechanisms of GaN high electron mobility transistors," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting* (*IEDM '07*), pp. 385–388, Washington, DC, USA, December 2007.
- [8] J. D. Brown, D. S. Green, S. R. Gibb et al., "Performance, reliability, and manufacturability of AlGaN/GaN high electron mobility transistors on silicon carbide substrates," *ECS Transactions*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 161–179, 2006.
- [9] S. Lee, R. Vetury, J. D. Brown et al., "Reliability assessment of AlGaN/GaN HEMT technology on SIC for 48V applications," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium (IRPS '08)*, pp. 446–449, Phoenix, Ariz, USA, May 2008.
- [10] G. Meneghesso, G. Verzellesi, F. Danesin et al., "Reliability of GaN high-electron-mobility transistors: state of the art and perspectives," *IEEE Transactions on Device and Materials Reliability*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 332–343, 2008.





Rotating Machinery

Hindawi



Journal of Sensors



International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks





Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering



Advances in OptoElectronics

Advances in Civil Engineering

> Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com









International Journal of Chemical Engineering



VLSI Design

International Journal of Antennas and Propagation



Active and Passive Electronic Components



Shock and Vibration



Advances in Acoustics and Vibration